Vol. 3(4), 127-133

Journal of Energy & Environmental Policy Options

Challenges and Opportunities for Coal Power Plants in Adopting Circular Economy Practices

Pedro Stewart[a]

Abstract

The circular economy represents a contemporary approach to balanced development, focusing on reducing resource consumption and minimizing waste production. In light of the European Commission's initiatives to promote the circular economy. The study aims to assess the level of awareness and readiness among these coal power plants to transition from a traditional linear economy model, which is characterized by a 'take, make, dispose' approach, to a circular economy model, which emphasizes sustainability and resource efficiency. The research also seeks to identify the extent to which these power plants have already incorporated circular economy principles into their operations and what further steps are needed to fully embrace this model. The findings of the study offer insights into the energy sector's current position within the broader context of transitioning from a linear to a circular economy. The results reveal both the challenges and opportunities that coal power plants face as they work to align with circular economy principles. The study also highlights the foundational work that has been done in this area and outlines the potential for further development and intensification of activities related to the circular economy. This research contributes to the ongoing dialogue on the circular economy, particularly within the energy sector, and underscores the importance of proactive adaptation to new environmental and economic paradigms.

Keywords: Circular Economy, Coal Power Plants, Energy Sector, Sustainability
JEL Codes: Q53, Q42, L94

1. INTRODUCTION

The circular economy (CE) is a concept in the production and consumption of goods that focuses on preserving the value of materials and energy throughout the entire value chain for as long as possible. This approach emphasizes maximizing resource efficiency at every stage of a product's life cycle, from the initial design and manufacturing phases to the end of its use. By extending the lifespan of products and encouraging practices like recycling, reusing, refurbishing, and remanufacturing, CE aims to minimize waste and reduce the need for new raw materials. This model contrasts with the traditional linear economy, which follows a 'take-make-dispose' pattern, leading to significant resource depletion and environmental impact. The circular economy not only seeks to reduce waste but also promotes sustainable growth, offering economic benefits such as reduced production costs and new job opportunities in repair and recycling industries. By maintaining the value of resources within the economic cycle, the CE model supports a shift towards a more sustainable and resilient economic system (European Commission, 2015).

The circular economy represents a new dimension of diversified progress, focusing on sustainable economic growth by centering activities around the efficient use of secondary raw materials. This approach aims to minimize the consumption of primary resources while maximizing the reuse, recycling, and recovery of materials, thereby reducing the reliance on extracting new raw materials from the environment. The shift to a circular model involves redesigning production processes, rethinking product design, and reimagining consumption patterns, which enables businesses and industries to extend the lifecycle of products and reduce the overall environmental footprint. By adopting circular practices, economies can achieve growth that is not only robust but also more resilient to resource scarcity and environmental challenges. For example, processes such as remanufacturing, product life extension, and material recovery help in reducing waste generation, thus curbing the need for landfill space and minimizing pollution. This results in decreased greenhouse gas emissions and a reduction in other forms of environmental degradation. Additionally, the circular economy supports the development of new business models that are based on sharing, leasing, and service-based solutions. These models promote the reuse of products, keeping them in circulation for a longer time and fostering a more sustainable consumption pattern among consumers. It also opens new avenues for innovation, as businesses develop products designed for disassembly, reuse, or upgradeability, making it easier to recover valuable materials and components at the end of their useful life.

Furthermore, this economic model aligns with global sustainability goals, such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) set by the United Nations, particularly those related to responsible consumption and production, climate action, and life on land. It offers a pathway for countries to achieve economic growth while reducing their ecological footprint, thus balancing development with the conservation of natural resources. The circular economy creates opportunities to enhance economic performance while preserving the environment, addressing climate change, and fostering social well-being. It is an innovative approach that seeks to harmonize economic activities with the need to protect our planet for future generations, making it a critical framework for the transition toward a sustainable and regenerative global economy

[a] Department of Land Economy, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

(Lieder & Rashid, 2016). The concept of the circular economy (CE), advocated by the European Commission (EC) since June 2014, aims to foster the development of a sustainable, low-carbon, and resource-efficient economy that remains competitive in a global market. This approach focuses on reducing waste and emissions, optimizing the use of resources, and transitioning to renewable energy sources. By promoting the circular economy, the EC seeks to decouple economic growth from resource use, enabling economic progress without depleting natural resources or compromising environmental integrity. This strategy supports long-term economic resilience and competitiveness by reducing dependency on finite resources, lowering production costs, and encouraging innovation in green technologies and sustainable business models. Additionally, it contributes to the EU's broader climate goals, aiming for significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, as well as enhancing Europe's energy security and reducing its environmental footprint (European Commission, 2014). This approach holds particular significance for coal power plants, which are major contributors to greenhouse gas emissions and environmental pollution. These plants are responsible for releasing approximately 350 million tons of CO_2 equivalent (CO_2eq) annually, significantly impacting global carbon levels. In addition to gaseous emissions, coal power plants produce substantial solid waste in the form of coal combustion by-products, amounting to around 20 million tons (Kledyński & Szarek, 2016). Of this waste, approximately 7 million tons (35%) are stored rather than being reused or repurposed, adding to environmental challenges related to waste management and land use (Szczygielski & Niewiadomski, 2017). Integrating circular economy principles into the operations of coal power plants could facilitate the reuse of these by-products, reduce emissions, and contribute to the overall sustainability of energy production. By focusing on reducing waste and enhancing resource efficiency, the circular economy approach can help mitigate the environmental impact of coal-based energy, making it a vital strategy for transitioning to a cleaner, more sustainable energy sector.

The proposed implementation of the circular economy (CE) necessitates extensive systemic changes within manufacturing enterprises. This transformation involves not only internal adjustments but also coordinated efforts among various stakeholders, including governments, businesses, and consumers. For businesses, these changes might include adopting new production processes, redesigning products for durability and recyclability, and improving waste management practices. Governments play a crucial role by creating supportive regulatory frameworks, providing incentives for sustainable practices, and promoting awareness of the benefits of circular models. Meanwhile, consumers are essential to this transition as their choices and behaviors drive demand for sustainable products and services, encouraging companies to adopt circular practices. The shift towards a circular economy requires a collaborative approach, where all parties work together to achieve long-term sustainability goals and minimize the environmental impact of production and consumption (Szyja, 2016).

For the coal power industry, transforming its current production system toward a circular economy (CE) model can substantially reduce its environmental footprint while enhancing economic gains. By adopting CE principles, such as the reuse and recycling of coal combustion by-products and improving energy efficiency, coal power enterprises can minimize waste and lower greenhouse gas emissions. This shift not only contributes to environmental sustainability but also helps reduce operational costs through better resource utilization. Furthermore, it can open new revenue streams, such as repurposing coal by-products for use in construction materials or other industries. The circular approach enables coal power companies to align their operations with stricter environmental regulations, potentially avoiding penalties and accessing incentives for sustainable practices, making it a win-win strategy for both the environment and economic profitability (Dong et al., 2017).

Research conducted at Chinese power distribution plants has highlighted the significant potential of eco-design in advancing circular economy (CE) practices within the power industry. By incorporating sustainable design principles into products, optimizing the supply chain, and effectively utilizing waste materials and waste heat generated by power plants, these studies demonstrate how the power sector can achieve substantial environmental and economic benefits. For instance, implementing processes to repurpose waste heat for nearby industrial processes or residential heating can significantly reduce overall energy consumption and emissions. A notable example of CE in practice within the coal power sector is the industrial park in Kalundborg, Denmark, known as the Kalundborg Symbiosis. This industrial park is a pioneer in the field of industrial symbiosis, where multiple enterprises, including a coal power plant, collaborate to reuse each other's waste streams and by-products. For instance, surplus heat from the power plant is used to warm local homes, while other by-products, such as ash and gypsum, are repurposed for use in construction and other industries. This interconnected system not only minimizes waste but also creates a more efficient use of resources, leading to reduced costs and a lower environmental footprint. The Kalundborg Symbiosis serves as a successful model for how CE principles can be implemented in the coal power industry, offering insights for similar transformations in other regions.

The power plant within the Kalundborg Symbiosis draws water from Lake Tissø for its cooling processes, demonstrating an integrated approach to resource use. After being used in the cooling process, the water is then repurposed by nearby pharmaceutical enterprises, enhancing resource efficiency. Additionally, a refinery located in Kalundborg supplies fuel to the power plant, while the plant processes waste from the refinery to generate thermal energy. This thermal energy is further utilized to support local greenhouses, fisheries, and residential heating, showcasing a closed-loop system that benefits various stakeholders. Moreover, the by-products of the power plant's operations are put to valuable use. For instance, the gypsum produced as a result of combustion processes is repurposed for manufacturing plasterboards, contributing to the construction industry. Similarly, the ashes generated are utilized by cement plants and as a material in road construction. This symbiotic relationship between the power plant and surrounding industries exemplifies the principles of the circular economy, where waste streams are converted into resources, minimizing environmental impact while fostering economic collaboration. The Kalundborg model illustrates how industrial ecosystems can operate more

sustainably by sharing resources, reducing waste, and maximizing the utility of each output.

Enterprises within the Kalundborg Symbiosis collaborate through the principles of industrial symbiosis, which focuses on maximizing the efficient use of raw materials and waste products. This approach minimizes the need for new natural resources, thereby reducing the environmental impact associated with their extraction. By optimizing resource use and repurposing by-products, these enterprises are able to reduce CO_2 emissions and improve local air quality, thus contributing to the overall sustainability of the region. Such practices align with the broader goals of sustainable development, as they not only enhance economic viability but also support environmental preservation. The successful implementation of the circular economy (CE) in industrial settings relies heavily on the development of effective tools and frameworks for monitoring and measuring progress. This is particularly crucial as many elements of the CE model, such as recycling and waste reduction, are already being integrated into the pro-environmental activities of various enterprises as part of their commitment to sustainability (Mapa drogowa, 2016). Monitoring tools can provide valuable insights into the efficiency and impact of these activities, helping to ensure that the transition towards a fully circular economy is both effective and measurable. By tracking the progress of CE initiatives, stakeholders can better identify areas for improvement, share best practices, and ensure that the industry continues to advance towards a more sustainable and resource-efficient future. The aim of this study was to assess the attitudes toward the concept of the circular economy (CE) within the coal power industry. To achieve this, a survey was conducted among employees of energy companies in the Małopolska Province. The survey provided valuable insights into the knowledge, perspectives, and actions of these companies concerning CE principles. It also helped identify the potential for transitioning the energy sector toward a circular economy model. The findings of this survey offer a better understanding of how CE could be integrated into the coal power industry, highlighting both the challenges and opportunities for change.

This research was part of the broader project titled “The Circular Economy Conception Towards Eco-innovations and Sustainability of Regions.” The project aimed to develop a standardized framework for measuring and evaluating the implementation of CE at the regional level. By establishing consistent measurement tools, the project sought to facilitate the comparison of CE practices across different regions and to support the adoption of eco-innovative solutions. Such efforts are crucial for promoting regional sustainability and ensuring that the transition to a circular economy is both effective and measurable.

2. Discussion

In the coal power industry of the Małopolska Region, companies have a clear understanding of the circular economy (CE) concept, recognizing it as a strategy for enhancing resource efficiency and reducing the environmental impact of their operations. These enterprises acknowledge that implementing CE practices can lead to more efficient use of materials, which in turn helps to minimize waste and pollution. Moreover, they see significant potential in the circular economy for reducing production costs. This cost reduction is primarily attributed to the improved efficiency in material use, which allows companies to make the most of available resources and reduce expenses related to raw material procurement. As a result, the adoption of CE practices not only aligns with environmental goals but also provides economic benefits, making it an attractive approach for the power sector in this region. The coal power industry has already undertaken a range of activities that align with sustainable development principles, with a particular focus on carbon efficiency projects. These initiatives include efforts to reduce carbon emissions, improve energy efficiency, and manage waste more effectively. Through these actions, coal power enterprises have developed values and attitudes that resonate with the core ideas of the circular economy (CE), such as minimizing waste and optimizing the use of resources. This alignment suggests a growing awareness within the industry of the need to balance economic activities with environmental stewardship.

However, it is important to note that the primary driver behind these sustainability efforts has been compliance with the regulatory framework set by the United Kingdom's climate and energy policies. The UK’s stringent regulations around carbon emissions, renewable energy targets, and environmental conservation have compelled coal power companies to adopt more sustainable practices. This legal framework includes mechanisms like carbon pricing, emission reduction targets, and mandatory reporting of greenhouse gas emissions, which have pushed companies to improve their environmental performance to avoid penalties and meet national climate goals. As a result, while the coal power industry has adopted practices that align with CE principles, these shifts are often more reactive than proactive. The transformation towards CE within these enterprises is largely a response to external pressures, such as government policies, international climate agreements, and market demands for cleaner energy sources. For instance, the industry has invested in carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies, explored the repurposing of coal combustion by-products, and sought ways to enhance the energy efficiency of their operations—all of which contribute to reducing their overall environmental impact. Furthermore, while regulatory requirements have spurred initial progress, they have also revealed opportunities for companies to achieve economic gains through circular practices. For example, by reducing waste and increasing the efficiency of resource use, coal power plants can lower their operational costs and enhance their competitiveness in a market that is increasingly focused on sustainability. Additionally, the shift towards CE practices can open up new revenue streams, such as the sale of by-products like fly ash and gypsum, which can be used in industries like construction and agriculture. Despite the progress made, the full potential of the circular economy in the coal power sector remains untapped, as many companies continue to view CE primarily as a means of regulatory compliance rather than a strategic approach to long-term sustainability and innovation. Moving forward, fostering a deeper commitment to CE within the industry will require not only maintaining a robust regulatory framework but also encouraging voluntary actions and industry-led initiatives. This could include incentives for innovation, support for research and development, and the

creation of collaborative platforms where industry stakeholders can share best practices and explore new business models centered on circularity.

While legal obligations have played a critical role in driving the adoption of CE-related practices within the coal power industry, there is an opportunity to build on this foundation by encouraging a more proactive embrace of CE principles. This shift could enable the industry to not only meet regulatory requirements but also to contribute more significantly to the broader transition toward a sustainable, low-carbon economy. By doing so, the coal power sector could play a crucial role in achieving the UK's climate goals, reducing environmental impacts, and ensuring a more sustainable and competitive future for the energy industry. The economic benefits of cost reduction and the emphasis on environmental protection are currently seen as the primary motivations for power industry enterprises to engage in circular economy (CE) implementation activities. These companies recognize that adopting CE practices, such as improving resource efficiency, minimizing waste, and optimizing energy use, can lead to significant savings in operational costs. Additionally, these measures help companies meet environmental regulations and reduce their ecological footprint, aligning their operations with broader sustainability goals.

However, despite these advantages, many power industry enterprises do not view the implementation of CE as a strategic opportunity to gain a competitive edge in the market. Instead, they tend to see it mainly as a way to achieve compliance with environmental standards and reduce expenses rather than a pathway to market differentiation. This perspective suggests that while companies are willing to adopt CE practices to improve their internal efficiencies and meet regulatory demands, they may not fully recognize the potential for CE to serve as a driver of innovation or as a means to attract environmentally conscious customers. Additionally, these enterprises do not perceive the transition to a circular economy as a potential threat to their market position. They may see it as a neutral change, driven by the need to adapt to evolving regulatory landscapes and societal expectations, rather than as a competitive force that could reshape industry dynamics. This viewpoint could reflect a lack of awareness or underestimation of how CE can reshape market preferences, such as increasing demand for sustainable products and services.

For these companies, the focus remains on maintaining compliance and achieving immediate economic benefits rather than leveraging CE as a broader strategic advantage. As a result, they may be missing opportunities to differentiate themselves in the market by developing new business models, products, or services that emphasize circularity. There is a gap in recognizing how adopting CE principles could allow them to position themselves as industry leaders in sustainability, appeal to new customer segments, or create value-added services related to resource management and waste recovery. Encouraging a shift in this mindset may require targeted efforts, such as raising awareness of the long-term market trends favoring sustainability, providing incentives for circular innovation, and highlighting successful case studies where CE has been used to achieve a competitive edge. By understanding the full strategic potential of the circular economy, power industry enterprises could move beyond seeing CE as a compliance necessity to viewing it as a key factor in future growth and market leadership. From the perspective of ongoing processes and the nature of waste generated, power industry enterprises perceive the most significant potential of the circular economy (CE) in the management of coal combustion by-products. These by-products, such as fly ash, gypsum, and bottom ash, represent a considerable opportunity for reuse in various industries, including construction, agriculture, and manufacturing. Recognizing this, many companies in the sector have engaged in waste management partnerships, seeking to repurpose these materials as part of their efforts to integrate CE principles into their operations.

However, a significant challenge to the effective implementation of CE practices lies in the limited market demand for the raw materials recovered from these waste streams. Despite their efforts to collaborate with other industries and stakeholders, companies often find it difficult to secure buyers for their recycled by-products. This lack of demand hampers their ability to fully close the loop in their production processes and realize the economic and environmental benefits of circularity. The difficulty in finding purchasers for recycled materials can be attributed to several factors. These may include a lack of awareness or familiarity with the potential applications of these materials, stringent regulatory standards that make the use of recycled by-products less attractive, or simply a preference for using virgin materials due to perceived quality differences. As a result, the materials that could otherwise be diverted from waste streams and put back into productive use often remain underutilized. This situation highlights a critical need for developing stronger market linkages and creating incentives that encourage the use of recycled materials. Strategies such as public awareness campaigns, quality certifications for recycled materials, and government-backed programs that promote the use of industrial by-products in construction and other sectors could help create a more favorable market for these materials. Additionally, fostering a culture of collaboration across industries and promoting research into new applications for coal combustion by-products could open up new opportunities for their use.

By addressing these market barriers, power industry enterprises could significantly enhance their capacity to implement CE practices, turning potential waste into valuable resources. This would not only contribute to a more sustainable approach to waste management but also support the industry's economic viability by creating new revenue streams. For the coal power sector, such a shift would be a crucial step towards reducing its environmental footprint and achieving a more resource-efficient future. This situation highlights a broader issue: the lack of social acceptance for the use of recycled products, which poses a significant barrier to the circular economy (CE) in the coal power industry. The respondents emphasized that for the sector to fully align with CE principles, there is a pressing need for initiatives aimed at increasing public awareness and fostering positive attitudes towards the use of coal combustion by-products beyond electric and thermal energy. Without societal buy-in, these by-products, despite their potential value, are often met with resistance, limiting the market for their reuse. To achieve a successful transition to a circular economy, it is crucial to create conditions that bring together all stakeholders—government authorities, enterprises, and consumers. This involves

more than just regulatory support; it requires a coordinated effort to build a strong foundation based on awareness, a genuine willingness to adopt CE principles, and a commitment to intensifying current activities. Governments are expected to play a pivotal role by initiating public awareness campaigns, supporting research on innovative uses of recycled materials, and offering incentives to encourage the adoption of these materials in various industries. Enterprises must continue to explore and adopt innovative waste management practices, while consumers need to shift their perception of recycled products as viable and sustainable alternatives to those made from virgin materials. Building a culture that values sustainability and recognizes the benefits of recycling and resource efficiency is essential to create demand for these recycled products. Furthermore, establishing a consistent policy framework that aligns the interests of all economic actors is critical. This framework should support investments in circular practices, promote collaborative initiatives between industries, and ensure that the market conditions are favorable for the reuse of industrial by-products. Only through such a collaborative and integrated approach can the circular economy become a reality in the coal power sector, transforming waste into valuable resources and contributing to both economic and environmental sustainability.

3. Conclusion

The interviewees highlighted in their responses that transitioning from a linear economy to a circular economy (CE) system is accompanied by significant concerns, particularly regarding the substantial financial investments required for such a transformation. Many expressed doubts about the economic feasibility of implementing CE practices, as these often involve considerable initial costs for upgrading equipment, adopting new technologies, and redesigning processes to support recycling, reuse, and resource efficiency. These concerns are especially pertinent in industries like coal power, where shifting to circular practices might require investments in new machinery for better waste management, technologies for capturing and repurposing by-products, or even modifying existing infrastructure to support more sustainable practices. For many companies, the need to allocate significant financial resources toward these changes can be a major deterrent, especially when the immediate economic benefits of CE might not be readily apparent or quickly realized. Additionally, the interviewees pointed out that these financial outlays are often seen as risky, particularly in a market environment where the demand for recycled products is uncertain and the return on investment might be delayed. This uncertainty can make it difficult for companies to justify the expenditure required to transition towards circularity, leading to hesitation or a preference for maintaining existing linear processes.

To address these financial concerns and facilitate the shift towards a circular economy, it is crucial to provide support mechanisms, such as government subsidies, low-interest loans, or tax incentives, aimed specifically at investments in circular practices. Such support could alleviate the initial financial burden and encourage enterprises to adopt CE approaches without fear of jeopardizing their financial stability. Additionally, showcasing successful examples of circular economy implementation, along with the long-term savings and competitive advantages they can bring, may help to reduce apprehensions and demonstrate the potential return on investment for these changes. Ultimately, the successful adoption of CE principles requires a balance between encouraging enterprises to invest in sustainable practices and providing the necessary financial support to make those investments more viable. By addressing these economic concerns, the transition from a linear to a circular economy can become a more achievable goal, enabling companies to align their operations with environmental objectives while also ensuring their long-term profitability and competitiveness. Despite the concerns about the financial burden of transitioning to a circular economy (CE), interviewees recognize that ongoing investment and modernization efforts—particularly those aimed at improving energy efficiency—are closely aligned with CE principles. These actions, such as upgrading equipment to reduce energy consumption, implementing technologies for waste reduction, and enhancing processes for recycling and reuse, are viewed as critical steps towards a more sustainable and circular approach. However, to sustain and expand these efforts, the interviewees emphasized the need for substantial financial support. They identified financial assistance for investment activities as one of their most pressing expectations from government authorities, alongside changes in regulatory frameworks. Such support could come in the form of grants, low-interest loans, tax incentives, or subsidies specifically targeted at modernization projects that contribute to the adoption of CE practices.

Financial backing from the government is seen as a crucial enabler for companies, helping them to overcome the high initial costs associated with transitioning to circular processes. It would not only lower the financial barriers for implementing energy-efficient technologies and modernizing infrastructure but also encourage more companies to take proactive steps towards adopting CE principles. This support is especially important in a competitive industry, where companies may be hesitant to make significant investments without assurance of a supportive policy environment and potential return on investment. Moreover, regulatory changes that simplify the process of adopting CE practices, such as streamlined permitting for new technologies or favorable policies for using recycled materials, are also considered vital. These adjustments could create a more conducive environment for circular economy initiatives, ensuring that investments in sustainable practices are both financially viable and aligned with broader industry goals. While the transition to a circular economy presents challenges, especially in terms of investment requirements, there is a clear recognition of the need for modernization. Financial support and favorable regulations from authorities are seen as essential for encouraging and accelerating these efforts, ensuring that the industry can move towards a more sustainable and efficient future while maintaining its economic stability. The study indicates that implementing circular economy (CE) principles within industrial plants is a gradual process that requires time and consistent support from government authorities, both at regional and national levels.

For a successful transition, this support is crucial in overcoming the challenges associated with the shift from a linear to a circular model, especially in industries with established practices like coal power. Achieving meaningful changes in the

current economic system involves several key actions. One of the most important steps is to establish a clear and accurate assessment of the existing situation. This involves painting a reliable picture of the current practices, resource use, and waste management processes within these plants. Such a comprehensive understanding serves as a foundation for evaluating what progress has been made so far and identifying areas where further efforts are needed. This assessment can guide future initiatives by pinpointing specific challenges, opportunities for improvement, and the effectiveness of previously implemented CE measures. This clear evaluation helps in setting realistic goals for the next stages of CE implementation, ensuring that strategies are well-informed and targeted. It allows for the development of tailored policies that address the unique needs and capacities of different regions, industries, and enterprises. For example, regions that have made substantial progress in certain aspects of CE can focus on more advanced practices, while others may need support in laying the groundwork.

Additionally, the role of authorities is not only to provide financial support but also to facilitate knowledge-sharing, create incentives, and foster collaboration between various stakeholders. By supporting research, innovation, and the dissemination of best practices, authorities can ensure that the transition to a circular economy is informed by evidence and aligned with broader sustainability goals. This collaborative approach will help create a stable framework that encourages businesses to adopt CE practices with confidence, knowing that they are working within a supportive policy environment. The study underscores that a successful shift towards a circular economy is a long-term endeavor that requires strategic support from both regional and national authorities. Accurate assessments of current practices, clear goal-setting, and targeted actions are essential for verifying past accomplishments and guiding future initiatives, ensuring a smooth transition towards a more sustainable and circular economy. Additionally, a fundamental task for institutions promoting the circular economy (CE) in Europe and globally is to clearly demonstrate the financial benefits that can result from adopting CE-based solutions. By showcasing how companies can achieve cost savings, generate new revenue streams, and enhance operational efficiency through circular practices, these institutions can help build a stronger business case for the transition to CE. This includes highlighting examples of successful implementations where companies have reduced production costs by optimizing resource use, extended product lifecycles, or transformed waste into valuable inputs for other industries. Moreover, these institutions play a crucial role in developing and implementing new business models that align with CE principles. These models include concepts such as product-as-a-service, sharing economies, repair and refurbishment services, and closed-loop supply chains. By helping businesses understand and adopt these innovative approaches, institutions can support the transformation of industries towards a more circular and sustainable framework. For instance, institutions can facilitate pilot projects and provide guidance on how to integrate circular practices into existing business operations, as well as how to capitalize on market opportunities presented by the growing demand for sustainable products and services.

This support might also involve offering training, resources, and best practice examples to ensure that businesses of all sizes can navigate the transition effectively. By focusing on both the economic benefits and the practical implementation of new business models, these institutions can help overcome one of the significant barriers to CE adoption: the perception that transitioning to circular practices is costly and complex. Demonstrating the long-term financial gains and providing the tools needed to integrate these practices into daily operations can encourage more businesses to embrace the circular economy, ultimately contributing to global efforts for a more sustainable future. The success of implementing circular economy (CE) practices within the energy sector in the United Kingdom will be influenced by a variety of external and internal factors. A key determinant is the ability to foster effective cooperation between scientific research institutions and the business community, supported by local, regional, and national authorities. Such collaboration can drive innovation, develop new technologies, and promote best practices essential for the CE transition in the energy sector. Additionally, the availability of financial resources plays a critical role in facilitating this transformation. Investments are needed for upgrading infrastructure, adopting energy-efficient technologies, and developing systems for better waste management and resource recovery. Access to funding can help mitigate the financial risks associated with the shift towards CE practices, making it easier for companies to undertake the necessary changes without compromising their economic stability. Moreover, policy support from different levels of government is crucial. Authorities can provide incentives, create favorable regulatory frameworks, and ensure that the transition to circular practices is backed by stable and predictable policy conditions. Such support can reduce uncertainties and encourage companies to invest in long-term CE strategies, knowing they have a supportive environment. Ultimately, the development of the energy sector in line with CE principles will depend on how well these factors—collaboration between science and industry, financial support, and governmental backing—are coordinated. When aligned, they can create a conducive ecosystem for innovation, reduce the costs and risks of transformation, and ensure that the energy sector can transition to a more sustainable and resource-efficient model.

REFERENCES

Dong, S., Wang, Z., Li, Y., Li, F., Li, Z., Chen, F., & Cheng, H. (2017). Assessment of Comprehensive Effects and Optimization of a Circular Economy System of Coal Power and Cement in Kongtong District, Pingliang City, Gansu Province, China. *Sustainability,* 9(5), 787.

Energetyka (2016). Energetyka cieplna w liczbach – (2016).

European Commission (2014). Towards a circular economy: A zero waste programme for Europe. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Brussels.

European Commission (2015). Closing the loop - An EU action plan for the Circular Economy. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Brussels.

GUS (2015). Bank Danych Lokalnych GUS.

Kalundborg Symbiosis. (2018).

Kledyński, Z., & Szarek, Ł. (Eds.). (2016). Zagospodarowanie ubocznych produktów spalania. Monografie Circular Economy. OWPW, Warszawa.

Lelek, Ł., & Koneczna, R. (2012). Sposoby ograniczenia i instrumenty wsparcia redukcji emisji CO2 w energetyce Małopolski. *Polityka Energetyczna,* 15(2).

Lieder, M., & Rashid, A. (2016). Towards circular economy implementation: a comprehensive review in context of manufacturing industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 115.

Mapa drogowa. (2016). Mapa drogowa Transformacji w kierunku gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Projekt z dnia 21 grudnia 2016 r.

Szczygielski, T., & Niewiadomski, M. (2017). Zarys modelu biznesowego dla energetyki Bezodpadowa Energetyka Węglowa (BEW). Materials from the meeting of the Circular Economy working group, 25 May 2017, Warsaw.

Szyja, P. (2016). Istota, zakres i praktyka kształtowania gospodarki okrężnej. *Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego we Wrocławiu,* 453.