Journal of Energy & Environmental Policy Options

RESDO

Evaluating the Impact of Public Awareness Campaigns on Sustainable Practices

Emma Durbin[a], Jacob Filer[b]

Abstract

The concept of sustainable development has long been a cornerstone of political discourse and has emerged as a global priority. Achieving sustainable development, however, relies not only on effective government policies but also on active societal engagement. To foster this engagement, a variety of direct and indirect tools are employed to promote and reinforce positive changes in social attitudes. Among these, public awareness campaigns stand out as one of the most crucial mechanisms. The primary aim of this article is to explore the role of public awareness campaigns in advancing sustainable development. The article examines how these campaigns can effectively contribute to shaping public perceptions and behaviors that align with sustainability goals. By raising awareness and educating the public about the importance of sustainable practices, these campaigns play a pivotal role in driving societal change. Furthermore, the article discusses the importance of properly assessing the impact of public awareness campaigns. It argues that the success of these campaigns should not only be measured by immediate changes in behavior but also by their long-term influence on societal values and norms. The assessment of their effectiveness requires a comprehensive approach that considers both qualitative and quantitative indicators, including changes in public knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors over time. Through this analysis, the article contributes to the understanding of how public awareness campaigns can be strategically designed and evaluated to maximize their contribution to sustainable development. The findings underscore the need for continuous monitoring and adaptation of these campaigns to ensure they remain relevant and effective in promoting sustainability. The article highlights the indispensable role of public awareness campaigns in achieving sustainable development and offers insights into how their positive impact can be maximized and accurately assessed, ensuring that they contribute meaningfully to the global sustainability agenda.

Keywords: Sustainable Development, Public Awareness, Social Attitudes, Behavioral Change
JEL Codes: Q01, Q56, D83

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of sustainable development (SD) has been a central topic in socio-economic and political discussions for several decades. Its origins can be traced back to the 1987 report by the World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED), commonly known as the Brundtland Report. This groundbreaking report defined sustainable development as development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Since its introduction, SD has evolved into a multifaceted framework that addresses not only environmental preservation but also economic growth and social equity. It has become a guiding principle for global policies aimed at ensuring long-term sustainability, influencing everything from international treaties to corporate social responsibility initiatives. Today, sustainable development continues to shape the way nations and organizations approach challenges such as climate change, resource depletion, and social inequality, reinforcing the need for balanced growth that benefits both current and future generations. Sustainable development is a term with various interpretations, but it is generally understood as a form of socio-economic progress that integrates political, economic, and social activities while maintaining the natural balance. This approach ensures that fundamental ecological processes are preserved, allowing for the basic needs of individuals and communities to be met, both now and in the future. The focus is on achieving development that does not exhaust natural resources or harm ecosystems, ensuring that future generations can also meet their essential needs (Environmental Protection Law Act, 2001). This holistic understanding of sustainable development emphasizes the interconnectedness of environmental sustainability, economic stability, and social well-being.

Sustainable development is grounded in three interconnected pillars: economic, social, and environmental. Each of these dimensions plays a crucial role in ensuring that development is sustainable and beneficial over the long term. Economic sustainability refers to practices that support stable and equitable economic growth without depleting resources or creating significant financial disparities. Social sustainability, on the other hand, emphasizes fostering social cohesion, equity, and well-being for all members of society, ensuring that basic human needs such as education, healthcare, and equal opportunities are met. Environmental sustainability focuses on the preservation and responsible management of natural resources, aiming to protect ecosystems and biodiversity while minimizing pollution and environmental degradation. To truly achieve sustainable development, it is essential to strike a balance between these three elements, integrating them in a way that allows for mutual reinforcement rather than conflict. A harmonious relationship between economic growth,

---

[a] Faculty of Business Management and Social Sciences, International Black Sea University, Tbilisi, Georgia
[b] Faculty of Business Management and Social Sciences, International Black Sea University, Tbilisi, Georgia

social inclusion, and environmental protection is key. For instance, promoting economic activities that provide short-term financial benefits but damage ecosystems can lead to long-term consequences that undermine both social and economic stability. Similarly, social inequities or exclusion can erode economic progress and contribute to unsustainable practices.

In practice, sustainable development involves a forward-thinking approach where the activities and decisions of today do not compromise the ability of future generations to enjoy the same resources and opportunities. This approach means ensuring that economic growth does not come at the cost of social justice or environmental health. For example, investing in renewable energy, promoting green technologies, and encouraging sustainable agricultural practices can yield economic returns while preserving environmental resources and improving social conditions, such as providing jobs and reducing inequality. As Flint (2013) suggests, sustainable development is about making decisions and implementing actions that offer present-day economic benefits without jeopardizing the social and environmental choices available to future generations. It challenges businesses, governments, and societies to think beyond short-term gains and to consider the broader, long-term impacts of their actions. Ultimately, the success of sustainable development relies on a comprehensive and integrated approach where economic, social, and environmental factors are not treated in isolation but are seen as equally important pillars for creating a sustainable and just future for all.

The primary objective of sustainable development (SD) is to create a multidimensional approach that fosters recovery and enhances the quality of life for all individuals, regardless of their circumstances. At its core, acting sustainably involves a broad range of efforts aimed at ensuring long-term well-being for both people and the planet. This includes reducing waste and pollution to minimize environmental harm, ensuring that disadvantaged populations have increased access to opportunities, and conserving natural resources to maintain ecological balance. Sustainable development also emphasizes building connections between diverse groups, encouraging collaboration and cooperation across sectors, and promoting efficiency in the use of resources. By fostering these connections, sustainable development helps communities work together more effectively to achieve shared goals. Moreover, it focuses on the development and utilization of local assets, which in turn helps to revitalize economies and strengthen communities from within. In this context, sustainability is not just about environmental preservation but about creating a more just and equitable society where economic growth and social progress are achieved in harmony with nature. This means taking steps to ensure that the needs of today are met without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. By promoting practices such as resource conservation, waste reduction, and social inclusivity, sustainable development seeks to create a world where both people and the environment can thrive.

As Flint (2004) notes, the pursuit of sustainable development involves not only safeguarding natural ecosystems but also improving human welfare by enhancing cooperation, efficiency, and the wise use of resources. It is about fostering a sense of collective responsibility and innovation to address pressing global challenges while ensuring that local economies and communities are resilient, adaptable, and capable of long-term growth. Sustainable development provides a framework for creating a more balanced and equitable future, where progress is measured not just in economic terms, but also in terms of human well-being and environmental sustainability. The development of programs aimed at achieving sustainable development is a key responsibility of policymakers, both at the global and local levels (OECD, 2001: 3). These decision-makers play a critical role in shaping the strategies and frameworks necessary to promote sustainability across various sectors. However, the ultimate success of such initiatives largely depends on the people. Individual attitudes, opinions, and understanding of the challenges surrounding sustainable development are essential for driving positive change. While governments and authorities can implement legal regulations and policies to encourage certain behaviors, true societal engagement requires deeper efforts to influence internal attitudes and foster a shared sense of responsibility.

One of the most effective tools for changing public perception and motivating collective action is the use of public awareness campaigns (PACs). These campaigns are designed to inform and educate the public about important issues, in this case, the need for sustainable development. When carefully planned and executed, PACs can effectively communicate the urgency of environmental, social, and economic challenges, as well as highlight the role that individuals and communities play in addressing them. The broad reach of public awareness campaigns allows them to engage diverse audiences, raising awareness and inspiring action at a grassroots level. These campaigns can help bridge the gap between policy initiatives and individual behaviors by making the concepts of sustainable development more accessible and relatable. Through targeted messaging, PACs can motivate people to adopt sustainable practices in their daily lives, such as reducing waste, conserving energy, and supporting fair trade. In order to achieve meaningful results, public awareness campaigns must be thoughtfully crafted to resonate with different demographic groups and cultures. They should aim to foster a sense of personal responsibility and empowerment, encouraging individuals to view sustainable development as a shared goal that benefits not only their immediate community but future generations as well. When people feel informed, inspired, and capable of making a difference, they are more likely to embrace sustainable behaviors and contribute to the broader goal of long-term environmental, social, and economic sustainability. Thus, while policymakers lay the foundation through regulations and programs, public awareness campaigns serve as a crucial vehicle for instilling the values and behaviors necessary for the realization of sustainable development on a wider scale.

2. DISCUSSION

A public awareness campaign is a key component of social marketing strategies (Kotler and Zaltman, 1971; Kotler et al., 2002; Andreason, 2004). According to a widely accepted definition, it is "a series of coordinated activities planned for a

defined period, directed at a particular target audience, with the goal of increasing awareness, influencing thought processes, and promoting behavioral change concerning a specific social issue" (Fundacja Komunikacji Społecznej, 2010). These campaigns are designed to raise awareness and educate the public about important issues, encouraging individuals to rethink their attitudes and adopt new behaviors that address pressing social challenges. By strategically using various communication tools, public awareness campaigns aim to create long-lasting social change, often focusing on issues such as health, environmental sustainability, or public safety. Public awareness campaigns are essential in the context of sustainable development, as they help bridge the gap between knowledge and action by equipping people with the information and motivation necessary to make informed decisions that align with the broader goals of sustainability. In social campaigns, tools and advertising techniques commonly used in commercial marketing are often employed to maximize their impact. These techniques include advertising across various media platforms such as television, radio, the internet, and print media. While advertising may not always be the central element of a well-designed social campaign—since direct intervention and community engagement are equally important—it plays a crucial role in shaping public perception. Advertising helps to draw the attention of a broad audience to the social issue at hand, raising awareness and creating a sense of urgency around the problem.

By utilizing familiar marketing techniques, social campaigns can effectively capture the public's attention and communicate their message in a way that resonates with diverse groups. Well-crafted advertisements can simplify complex issues, making them more accessible and encouraging individuals to engage with the campaign's goals. This approach not only increases visibility but also enhances the campaign's credibility, making it easier to motivate behavior change on a larger scale. Ultimately, while advertising alone may not drive the desired change, it serves as a powerful tool for amplifying the message of social campaigns and ensuring it reaches as many people as possible, thereby supporting broader efforts aimed at fostering social change and solving critical issues. The success of a social campaign is primarily determined by its effectiveness, which is evaluated based on the impact it has on the target audience. Every year, numerous campaigns are launched to address various social, environmental, and health issues, such as those mentioned in Table 2. However, not all campaigns achieve their desired goals. A significant reason for this shortfall is that campaign creators often fail to conduct adequate research before launching a public awareness campaign (PAC) (Maison and Bruin, 2002: 148). This type of research is crucial to ensure that the campaign design aligns with the expectations of the target audience and that it has the potential to drive the intended changes in behavior and attitudes. To genuinely measure the success of a PAC, it is important not only to evaluate the results after the campaign has ended but also to monitor its progress during the intervention. Ongoing assessments allow for real-time adjustments to be made if the campaign is not meeting its goals. Evaluating the campaign both during and after its execution provides valuable insights into whether the objectives have been met and helps in understanding what improvements could be applied to future campaigns.

The CROC campaign, which was conducted from 1999 to 2008, serves as an example of a successful initiative. Its primary message, "The Philippine crocodile; something to be proud of!" aimed to raise awareness about the endangered Philippine crocodile. The campaign was successful, as demonstrated by a study that showed a significant increase in awareness and positive attitudes toward conservation. In the core areas where the campaign was presented, 67% of respondents became aware that the Philippine crocodile is legally protected, while 41% in the peripheral areas also gained this knowledge. In contrast, 82% of the control group, where the campaign was not active, remained unaware of the crocodile's legal protection. Furthermore, 79% of respondents in the core and peripheral areas supported the conservation of the Philippine crocodile in the wild, compared to only 21% in the control group. When asked whether others in the community supported the conservation efforts, 50% of respondents in the core areas believed that there was community support, compared to only 4% in the control group. Additionally, 65% of respondents in the core area felt that conserving the Philippine crocodile could benefit the community, while only 11% in the control group shared this view. These findings clearly demonstrate that the CROC campaign contributed significantly to raising awareness and changing societal behavior toward the conservation of the Philippine crocodile.

Similarly, the Chesapeake Club campaign, which ran for two years from 2004 to 2005, aimed to change people's fertilizing habits to improve the water quality in Chesapeake Bay. The focus of this campaign was to encourage residents to delay fertilizing their lawns until the fall and to emphasize maintaining a healthy lawn rather than simply achieving a green one. Like the CROC campaign, the Chesapeake Club campaign was also successful, as it effectively changed public behavior by promoting more environmentally sustainable practices in lawn care, which contributed to better water quality in Chesapeake Bay. Both campaigns exemplify how well-researched, thoughtfully designed public awareness campaigns can raise awareness and bring about significant behavior changes. The key to their success lay in conducting thorough research before launching the campaign, actively monitoring the campaign's impact during the intervention, and measuring the results afterward to evaluate its effectiveness in meeting the intended goals. Another noteworthy example is the Campaign to Protect the Sichuan Golden Snub-Nosed Monkey. This campaign made a concerted effort to measure its impact on the environment. From 2008 to 2010, the campaign's organizers used various channels to encourage the local community to adopt fuel-efficient stoves and reduce fuel wood consumption, thereby protecting the habitat of the golden snub-nosed monkeys. The results of the study show a significant improvement in knowledge, attitudes, and interpersonal communication, with increases ranging from 16 to 49 percentage points pre- and post-campaign. Post-campaign findings revealed that within one year, 28.0% of those surveyed had adopted the new stove technology, and this figure rose to 43.1% within 2.5 years. Among households that adopted fuel-efficient stoves, wood consumption and gathering time decreased by 40.1% and 38.2%, respectively. Preliminary research also suggests that the adoption of these

stoves contributed to a reduction in forest destruction, highlighting the campaign's environmental impact.

Assessing the effectiveness of media messages within social campaigns requires methods that differ slightly from those used to evaluate general public awareness campaigns. Commonly used techniques for media message assessment include questionnaires (e.g., Gibson et al., 2014) and focus groups (e.g., Freimuth, 2000). However, it is increasingly recognized that results obtained through these traditional methods may not always be fully reliable. Study participants often struggle to evaluate media messages in a way that accurately reflects their true feelings (Zaltman, 2003). In recent years, marketing professionals have increasingly turned to cognitive neuroscience tools to gain insights into the unconscious opinions of consumers (Lindstrom, 2008; Ohme et al., 2010). These methods provide access to data that cannot be captured through standard surveys or focus groups, offering a more nuanced understanding of how media messages are received. As a result, neuroscience tools are gaining popularity in commercial marketing, giving rise to the emerging field of neuromarketing (Fischer et al., 2010; Zurawicki, 2010; Morin, 2011; Agarwal and Dutta, 2015). In the realm of social marketing, however, the application of neuroscientific tools remains relatively rare, particularly in campaigns focused on environmental issues. While a few exceptions exist (e.g., Zelinková et al., 2014; Mauri et al., 2014; Falk et al., 2015), such methods have not yet been widely adopted to assess the role of media messaging in social campaigns. Moreover, there has been little effort to combine data from different sources, such as neuroscientific tools and traditional questionnaires, to obtain more comprehensive insights. Integrating these approaches could provide a deeper understanding of how media messages influence public attitudes and behaviors in social campaigns, offering new avenues for evaluating and enhancing their effectiveness.

To effectively assess the impact of media messages in social campaigns, a comprehensive methodological framework should be developed. This framework would provide tools to measure the effectiveness of such messages by considering two critical aspects: the activation of conscious thinking among the audience and the long-term durability of social consciousness. The proposed framework must include methods for evaluating key factors relevant to public awareness campaigns and for synthesizing data collected during the evaluation process. To achieve these goals, the framework could use a triangulation approach that combines cognitive neuroscience methods with traditional diagnostic surveys. By integrating these diverse data sources, it would be possible to create an aggregate measure that reflects both conscious responses and deeper, unconscious cognitive processes triggered by media messages. This approach would allow researchers to not only track immediate audience reactions but also measure the lasting impact of campaigns on societal attitudes and behaviors. As illustrated in Figure 1, the framework would map out a comprehensive approach to gathering and analyzing data. The result of this research would be a clearer understanding of how advertising messages contribute to the activation of conscious thought processes and the formation of social consciousness. By using this method, it would be possible to evaluate the effectiveness of media messages in prompting behavioral change and raising awareness over time. Currently, the development of such a methodological framework is underway at the University of Szczecin, as part of the project titled "The impact of media messages on the effectiveness of social campaigns." This initiative seeks to provide a more sophisticated approach to assessing the influence of media messages on public consciousness, helping to improve the design and execution of future social campaigns. By advancing the understanding of how media influences social awareness, this framework could significantly enhance the effectiveness of campaigns aimed at fostering positive social change.

3. CONCLUSION

Public awareness campaigns play a vital role in shaping people's attitudes, particularly in promoting environmental actions, encouraging positive behavioral changes, and raising general awareness about ecological issues. These campaigns serve as powerful tools to inform and motivate the public to adopt sustainable practices, support conservation efforts, and engage in actions that benefit the environment and society as a whole. However, reaching a broad audience with such campaigns often comes at a significant cost. The financial investment required to effectively disseminate messages to all citizens can be substantial, especially when utilizing various media channels like television, radio, internet, and print. Despite these costs, the effectiveness of public awareness campaigns can vary. While some campaigns may lead to immediate and meaningful changes in behavior, others may struggle to achieve long-term results. The durability of their impact often depends on factors such as the quality of the message, the relevance to the target audience, and the frequency and consistency of exposure. Additionally, societal, cultural, and economic contexts play a role in how well the public engages with the campaign's message.

Overall, while public awareness campaigns are indispensable in fostering environmental consciousness and driving societal change, it is crucial to ensure that they are well-researched, carefully planned, and evaluated regularly to maximize their effectiveness and sustain their impact over time. The development of a comprehensive methodological framework for measuring the effectiveness of public awareness campaigns is essential for enhancing their impact. Such a framework would provide standardized tools and processes to evaluate how well individual campaigns achieve their goals, whether in terms of raising awareness, changing attitudes, or fostering behavioral change. By establishing clear metrics for effectiveness, campaign creators can gain valuable insights into what works and what doesn't, enabling them to refine their strategies. This methodological approach would not only improve the evaluation process but also help campaign designers tailor their messages to better resonate with their target audiences, ensuring a more significant social impact. By understanding which aspects of a campaign are most effective in driving engagement, lasting awareness, and behavioral changes, future campaigns can be optimized to achieve greater results with the same or even fewer resources. Ultimately, the creation of effectiveness measures through this framework will allow public awareness campaigns to have

a more profound and sustainable influence on societal attitudes and behaviors. As a result, new insights will be gained regarding the relationship between different forms of communication and their impact on the thought processes and awareness of the audience. This deeper understanding will enhance existing knowledge about how human behavior evolves in response to specific messaging strategies. By exploring how various communication techniques influence thinking and awareness, the framework will contribute to the refinement of public awareness campaigns, allowing for more targeted and effective approaches.

Additionally, the development of these insights will provide valuable tools for measuring the effectiveness of campaigns, enabling a more systematic evaluation of how different forms of communication affect behavior change. This expanded knowledge will not only improve the design and implementation of future campaigns but also offer a more comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms that drive societal shifts in awareness and action, ultimately supporting more impactful and sustainable social change initiatives. The proposed methodology will make a valuable contribution to research in the field of behavioral sciences. By establishing clear guidelines and rules for creating effective public awareness campaigns, it will provide a solid foundation for designing campaigns that can significantly impact human behavior. With these insights, campaigns can be crafted in a way that promotes deeper, more lasting changes in societal attitudes and actions, leading to more meaningful contributions to various social, environmental, and public health issues. Once these rules are in place, the ability to design campaigns that effectively influence public behavior will not only lead to positive short-term outcomes but also play a crucial role in the long-term development of civilization. Campaigns can be tailored to address pressing global challenges, such as climate change, public health, and social equity, fostering widespread adoption of behaviors that support sustainable development and societal progress. By integrating scientific principles into campaign design, the methodology will pave the way for more effective, scalable, and impactful initiatives that shape the future of societies and improve the quality of life for current and future generations.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, S., & Dutta, T. (2015). Neuromarketing and consumer neuroscience: current understanding and the way forward. *Decision,* 42(4), 457–462.

Andreason, A. R. (2004). A social marketing approach to changing mental health practices directed at youth and adolescents. *Health Marketing Quarterly,* 21(4), 51–75.

DeWan, A. A., Green, K. M., Li, X., & Hayden, D. (2013). Using social marketing tools to increase fuel-efficient stove adoption for conservation results. *Conservation Evidence,* 10, 4-8.

Donovan, R., & Henely, N. (2003). Social Marketing: Principles and Practice. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Environmental Protection Law Act (2001). (Dz.U.2001.62.627). Warsaw: Chancellery of the Sejm.

Falk, E. B., O'Donnell, M. B., Tompson, S., Gonzalez, R., Dal Cin, S., Strecher, V., Cummings, K. M., & An, L. (2015). Functional brain imaging predicts public health campaign success. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience,* 1-11.

Fischer, C. E., Chin, L., & Klitzman, R. (2010). Defining Neuromarketing: Practices and Professional Challenges. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry,* 18(4), 230-237.

Flint, R. W. (2004). Sustainable development: what does sustainability mean to individuals in the conduct of their lives and businesses. In Mudacumura G. M., & Shamsul Haque M. S. (Eds.), *Handbook of development policy studies* (pp. 67–87). New York: Marcel Dekker.

Flint, R. W. (2013). Practice of Sustainable Community Development: A Participatory Framework for Change. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Freimuth, V., Cole, G., & Kirby, S. (2001). Issues in evaluating mass media-based health communication campaigns. In Rootman I., Goodstadt M., Hyndman B., McQueen D. V., Potvin L. (Eds.), *Evaluation in health promotion: principles and perspectives* (pp. 475-492). World Health Organization [WHO]: Regional Office for Europe.

Gibson, L. A., Parvanta, S. A., Jeong, M., & Hornik, R. C. (2014). Evaluation of a mass media campaign promoting using help to quit smoking. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine,* 46(5), 487-495.

Kotler, P., & Zaltman, G. (1971). Social marketing: An approach to planned social change. *Journal of Marketing,* 35, 3–12.

Kotler, P., Roberto, N., & Lee, N. (2002). Social marketing: Improving the quality of life. California: Sage Publications.

Landers, J., Mitchell, P., Smith, B., Lehman, T., & Conner, C. (2006). Save the crabs, then eat 'em: A culinary approach to saving the Chesapeake Bay. *Social Marketing Quarterly,* 12(1), 15-28.

Lindstrom, M. (2008). Buyology: Truth and Lies About Why We Buy. New York: Doubleday.

Ma, L., Mulley, C., & Liu, W. (2016). Social marketing and the built environment: What matters for travel behaviour change? *Transportation,* 1-21.

Maison, D., & Bruin, R. (2002). The impact of research on the effectiveness of a social advertising campaign. In Maison, D., Wasilewski, P. (Eds.), *Propaganda of good hearts: The thing about social advertising* (pp. 148-169). Kraków: Agencja Wasilewski.

Mauri, M., Ciceri, A., Songa, G., Sirca, F., Onorati, F., & Russo, V. (2014). The effects of social communication: A research study on neuroscientific techniques application. In *Proceedings of International Conference Measuring Behavior.* Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Morin, C. (2011). Neuromarketing: The New Science of Consumer Behavior. *Society,* 48(2), 131-135.

O'Brien, K. (2005). Making A Splash: The Clean Water Campaign From Grassroots to Mass Media. In *Proceedings of the 2005 Georgia Water Resources Conference,* Athens: University of Georgia.

OECD (2001). Policies to Enhance Sustainable Development. Paris: OECD Publications.

Ohme, R., Matukin, M., & Pacula-Leśniak, B. (2010). Biometric Measures for Interactive Advertising Research. *Journal of Interactive Advertising,* 11(2), 60-72.

Pearson, E. L., Lowry, R., Dorrian, J., & Litchfield, C. A. (2014). Evaluating the Conservation Impact of an Innovative Zoo-Based Educational Campaign: 'Don't Palm Us Off' for Orang-utan Conservation. *Zoo Biology,* 33(3), 184-191.

van der Ploeg, J., Cauillan-Cureg, M., van Weerd, M., & De Groot, W. T. (2011). Assessing the effectiveness of environmental education: mobilizing public support for Philippine crocodile conservation. *Conservation Letters,* 4, 313-123.

Varcoe, J. (2004). Assessing the effectiveness of social marketing.

WCED (1987). Our Common Future. World Commission on Environment and Development. Oxford: University Press.

Zaltman, G. (2003). How Customers Think: Essential Insight into the Mind of the Market. Cambridge: Harvard Business School Press.

Zelinková, J., Shaw, D. J., Mareček, R., Mikl, M., Urbánek, T., Havlíčková, D., Zámečník, P., & Brázdil, M. (2014). An evaluation of traffic-awareness campaign videos: empathy induction is associated with brain function within superior temporal sulcus. *Behavioral and Brain Functions,* 10(27), 1-8.

Zurawicki, L. (2010). Neuromarketing: Exploring the Brain of the Consumer. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.