Journal of Energy & Environmental Policy Options

Analyzing the Impact of Oil Price Volatility on Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth in Nigeria

Rasaq Adejumobi[a]

Abstract

The fluctuation of oil prices remains a critical issue in Nigeria, significantly impacting foreign direct investment despite the various reforms implemented to attract such investments. Nigeria's heavy reliance on oil as a primary revenue source has led to concerns over the vulnerability of its economy to fluctuations in oil prices, resulting in disruptions to foreign investment and export activities. This study explores the interplay among foreign direct investment, oil prices, exports, and economic growth in developing economies, with a specific focus on Nigeria. Foreign direct investment is often viewed as a catalyst for long-term economic growth and a vital contributor to increasing a country's capital stock. However, Nigeria's over-reliance on oil revenues and the subsequent collapse in export earnings have raised questions about the sustainability of foreign direct investment inflows and their impact on economic growth. While foreign direct investment is considered essential for economic development, its effectiveness in Nigeria has been hindered by factors such as oil price volatility and the resulting economic instability. The study employs the structural vector autoregression method to analyze the relationship between oil price fluctuations, foreign direct investment, and economic growth in Nigeria. The findings reveal that, contrary to expectations, oil price fluctuations do not significantly enhance foreign direct investment inflows into the country. Moreover, shocks in oil prices negatively impact both economic growth and foreign direct investment in Nigeria, highlighting the adverse effects of oil price volatility on the economy. To address these challenges, the Nigerian government must take proactive measures to mitigate the adverse effects of oil price fluctuations. This includes implementing policies to minimize the impact of oil price shocks on the economy and to diversify revenue sources away from oil dependence. Diversification efforts should focus on developing other sectors of the economy, such as agriculture, manufacturing, and services, to reduce reliance on oil revenues and enhance economic resilience. Furthermore, the government should prioritize the effective utilization of revenues derived from oil exports to drive sustainable economic development. Investment in infrastructure, education, healthcare, and other critical sectors can stimulate economic growth and create opportunities for sustainable development beyond oil revenues. By addressing the challenges posed by oil price fluctuations requires a multifaceted approach that includes diversifying the economy, minimizing the impact of oil price shocks, and effectively utilizing oil revenues for sustainable development. By implementing appropriate policies and strategies, Nigeria can reduce its vulnerability to oil price volatility and achieve long-term economic growth and prosperity.

Keywords: Oil Price Fluctuations, Foreign Direct Investment, Economic Growth, Nigeria
JEL Codes: F21, Q43, O55

1. INTRODUCTION

Fluctuations in oil prices have consistently posed a major challenge for Nigeria, affecting its ability to attract foreign direct investment (FDI) despite numerous reform initiatives aimed at encouraging such investments (Baumeister & Peersman, 2009). The volatility in the oil market creates an unpredictable economic environment, which can deter potential investors who seek stable conditions for their investments. Nigeria's economy, heavily reliant on oil exports, often experiences significant revenue fluctuations in response to global oil price changes. This economic instability undermines investor confidence, making it difficult for the country to achieve sustainable growth through FDI. In response, the Nigerian government has implemented various policy reforms intended to diversify the economy and create a more conducive environment for foreign investors. These reforms include improving regulatory frameworks, enhancing transparency, and investing in infrastructure development. Despite these efforts, the overarching influence of oil price volatility continues to challenge Nigeria's economic resilience and its attractiveness as a destination for foreign direct investment.

Oil price fluctuations have persistently posed significant challenges for Nigeria, impacting its capacity to attract foreign direct investment (FDI) despite various reform efforts (Baumeister & Peersman, 2009). The inherent volatility in the oil market leads to an unpredictable economic environment, which can deter investors seeking stability. As Nigeria's economy heavily relies on oil exports, it frequently experiences notable revenue fluctuations in response to global oil price changes. This economic instability erodes investor confidence, complicating the nation's efforts to achieve sustainable growth through FDI.

[a] Department of Economics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Nigeria's dependence on crude oil as a primary revenue source intensifies this challenge, as the country has limited control over oil prices, which are influenced by organizations such as OPEC (Umar & Kilishi, 2010). This reliance on external factors places Nigeria in a vulnerable position, where global oil market dynamics have a substantial impact on its economic stability. In response, the Nigerian government has introduced various policy reforms aimed at diversifying the economy and fostering a more investor-friendly environment. These reforms focus on improving regulatory frameworks, increasing transparency, and investing in infrastructure development. Despite these initiatives, the persistent influence of oil price volatility continues to undermine Nigeria's economic resilience and its appeal as a destination for foreign direct investment. The unpredictable nature of oil earnings complicates budgeting processes, leading to uncertainty and imprecision (Baumeister & Peersman, 2009). While periods of high oil revenue enable the government to invest in various sectors, the volatility of these earnings results in inconsistent investments within the Nigerian economy (Umar & Kilishi, 2010). This inconsistency hampers steady economic development and the execution of long-term infrastructure projects, posing ongoing obstacles to achieving sustained growth. Nigeria's heavy reliance on crude oil as a primary revenue source exacerbates the challenge, as the country lacks control over oil prices, which are determined by organizations such as OPEC (Umar & Kilishi, 2010). This dependence on an external factor beyond its control places Nigeria in a vulnerable position, where global oil market dynamics significantly impact its economic stability. The volatility in oil prices complicates budgeting processes, leading to uncertainty and imprecision (Baumeister & Peersman, 2009). This financial unpredictability makes it challenging for the government to plan and execute long-term economic strategies effectively. In response, the Nigerian government has implemented various policy reforms intended to diversify the economy and create a more conducive environment for foreign investors. These reforms include improving regulatory frameworks, enhancing transparency, and investing in infrastructure development. Despite these efforts, the overarching influence of oil price volatility continues to challenge Nigeria's economic resilience and its attractiveness as a destination for foreign direct investment.

Fluctuations in oil prices have long presented a substantial challenge for Nigeria, significantly impacting its capacity to attract foreign direct investment (FDI) despite various reform efforts aimed at drawing such investments (Baumeister & Peersman, 2009). The inherent volatility in the oil market creates an uncertain economic environment, deterring potential investors who seek stability. Given Nigeria's heavy dependence on oil exports, the country frequently experiences dramatic revenue shifts in response to global oil price changes, further undermining investor confidence and hindering sustainable economic growth through FDI. This dependence on crude oil as a primary revenue source exacerbates Nigeria's economic challenges, as the country lacks control over oil prices, which are largely dictated by organizations such as OPEC (Umar & Kilishi, 2010). The unpredictable nature of oil earnings makes it difficult for the government to manage budgetary processes effectively, resulting in uncertainty and imprecision (Baumeister & Peersman, 2009). Such financial unpredictability complicates long-term economic planning and the implementation of strategic initiatives. High oil revenues, when available, enable the government to invest in various sectors. However, the fluctuating and unpredictable nature of these revenues leads to inconsistent investments in the Nigerian economy (Umar & Kilishi, 2010). This inconsistency poses significant obstacles to the steady economic development and infrastructure projects necessary for sustained growth.

In an effort to mitigate these challenges, the Nigerian government has pursued various policy reforms aimed at diversifying the economy and enhancing the investment climate. These reforms include improving regulatory frameworks, increasing transparency, and investing in infrastructure development. Despite these initiatives, the pervasive influence of oil price volatility continues to impede Nigeria's economic stability and its appeal as a destination for foreign direct investment. Nigeria's vulnerability to oil shocks has resulted in fluctuations in both foreign direct investment (FDI) and national income (Umar & Kilishi, 2010). The country's heavy dependence on oil exports exposes it to the volatile nature of the global oil market, where fluctuations in oil prices can have profound effects on its economic stability. These oil shocks not only impact Nigeria's ability to attract FDI but also affect its overall national income. The susceptibility to oil shocks underscores the challenges faced by Nigeria in maintaining a stable and diversified economy. The unpredictable nature of oil prices creates uncertainty for investors, discouraging them from committing capital to the country's economy. Consequently, Nigeria experiences fluctuations in FDI inflows, which can hinder its efforts to achieve sustained economic growth and development. Moreover, the reliance on oil revenue as a primary source of national income exacerbates the impact of oil shocks on Nigeria's economy. Sudden changes in oil prices can lead to significant fluctuations in government revenues, affecting its ability to finance essential public services and infrastructure projects. This volatility in national income further undermines the country's economic stability and resilience.

According to Giraud (1995), oil price shocks, influenced by demand-supply dynamics and political factors, can have various adverse effects on economies. These effects include capital flight, investment discouragement, inflation, and the anticipation of higher future taxes. In the context of Nigeria, susceptibility to such oil price shocks can lead to fluctuations in both foreign direct investment (FDI) and national income (Umar & Kilishi, 2010). Nigeria's heavy reliance on oil exports exposes it to the volatile nature of the global oil market, where fluctuations in oil prices can have profound effects on its economic stability. These oil shocks not only impact Nigeria's ability to attract FDI but also affect its overall national income. Furthermore, Giraud (1995) emphasizes that oil price fluctuations can significantly impact the economic cycle of Nigeria. The susceptibility to such fluctuations can lead to adverse effects on various aspects of the economy, including capital flight, investment discouragement, inflation, and anticipation of higher future taxes. In the context of Nigeria, these fluctuations can result in fluctuations in both foreign direct investment (FDI) and national income (Umar & Kilishi, 2010). Nigeria's heavy reliance on

oil exports exposes it to the volatile nature of the global oil market, where changes in oil prices can have profound effects on its economic stability. This study seeks to enhance the existing body of literature by offering updated insights into the dynamics between oil price shocks and foreign direct investment (FDI) occurrences in Nigeria. Employing the Structural Vector Autoregression (SVAR) method, the research aims to delve into the intricate interactions among fluctuations in oil prices, FDI flows, and economic growth within the Nigerian context. Specifically, the study aims to scrutinize the effects of oil price shocks on both FDI and economic growth in Nigeria spanning the period from 1980 to 2014.

The paper is structured into distinct sections, each serving a particular purpose within the research framework. It commences with an introduction, providing a comprehensive overview of the study's objectives and contextual backdrop. Following this, the subsequent section delineates stylized facts, offering relevant background information and key observations pertinent to the subject matter. Subsequently, the paper delves into the theoretical underpinnings and literature review, elucidating the theoretical model employed and presenting a synthesis of existing scholarly works germane to the research topic. A critical aspect of the paper is the delineation of the measurement methodology and data sources utilized for the variables under scrutiny. This section elucidates the meticulous approach adopted in measuring variables and underscores the importance of robust data sources in ensuring the validity and reliability of the study's findings. Moving forward, the empirical analysis constitutes a pivotal component, wherein the findings of the SVAR analysis are presented and analyzed comprehensively. Through this empirical examination, the study endeavors to unravel the nuanced impacts of oil price shocks on both FDI inflows and economic growth trajectories in Nigeria.

2. THEORETICAL MODEL

The study applied the theory of Solow model as applicable to oil price shocks. The production function takes the form

$$Y_t = F(K_t, A_t L_t) \tag{1}$$

Where t denotes time; Y denotes output; K denotes capital; A denotes technological progress derived through knowledge; L denotes labour.

The k which is capital can be derived through the foreign direct cashflow (investment).

$$FDI = f(K) \tag{2}$$

It is clear that the effect of oil price shock on FDI and growth rate of output. Specifically, the oil prices and FDI have positive contribution to the economic growth. Pieschacon (2009) after using VAR concluded that the impulse responses of oil price shock on output, the real exchange rate and private consumption differ greatly between countries and that fiscal policy is a key transmission instrument which determines oil price shocks in any economy. Olomola & Adejumo (2006) investigated the effect of oil price shock on output, inflation, the exchange rate and the money supply in Nigeria using quarterly data from 1970 to 2003. He employed VAR method of analysis, and concluded that oil price shocks affect the real exchange rate, leading to real exchange rate appreciation giving rise to the Dutch Disease. To investigate the effect of oil price shocks on FDI and economic growth in Nigeria from 1980 to 2014. Time series data for; oil price (OILP), foreign direct investment (FDI), exchange rate (EXR), trade-openness (TRAOP), Inflation (INF) and Gross Domestic Product (GDP) were sourced for the study. The data for the study are sourced from Central Bank of Nigeria-Statistical Bulletin and World Bank.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 provides the results of the unit root analysis conducted for various variables using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. Each row of the table represents a different variable included in the analysis, while the columns display the ADF statistics, critical value at the 5% significance level, and the decision regarding the stationarity of the variable. The ADF statistics represent the test statistics obtained from the ADF test for each variable. These statistics are compared against critical values at the 5% significance level to determine the stationarity of the variables. For the variable OILP (Oil Price), the ADF statistic is -7.490299, which is lower than the critical value of -2.954021, leading to the decision to reject the null hypothesis of a unit root. Therefore, OILP is stationary at the 5% significance level. Similarly, for the variables FDI (Foreign Direct Investment), EXCH (Exchange Rate), TRAOP (Trade Openness), INFL (Inflation), and GDP (Gross Domestic Product), the ADF statistics are all lower than their respective critical values. Thus, the null hypothesis of a unit root is rejected for these variables as well, indicating their stationarity. In summary, based on the results of the ADF test, all the variables examined in Table 1 are stationary at the 5% significance level.

Table 1: Unit root analysis

VARIABLES	ADF STATISTICS	Critical value at 5 % LEVEL	DECISION
OILP	-7.490299	-2.954021	1(1)
FDI	-3.186436	-2.971853	1(1)
EXCH	-4.697598	-2.954021	1(1)
TRAOP	-7.969412	-2.954021	1(1)
INFL	-7.015477	-2.957110	1(1)
GDP	-6.708338	-2.954021	1(1)

The Johansen Cointegration test results in Table 2 provide critical insights into the relationship among the variables examined in the analysis. Firstly, the test explores various hypotheses regarding the number of cointegrating equations (CEs) in the model. This is crucial as it helps determine the long-term relationship between the variables, which is essential for understanding their dynamics over time. The Eigenvalue statistic indicates the strength of the cointegrating relationship, with higher values suggesting stronger long-term relationships between the variables. In this case, the Eigenvalues for different hypotheses are presented alongside their corresponding test statistics, critical values, and probabilities. Significance levels are determined based on the calculated probabilities, with lower probabilities indicating higher significance. The results show that under the hypothesis of "None," implying that there are no cointegrating equations, the p-value is extremely low (0.0002), suggesting strong evidence against this hypothesis. Instead, the hypothesis of having three cointegrating equations is supported, as indicated by the p-value and the Trace test. Overall, these findings provide valuable insights into the long-term relationship among the variables under study, informing further analysis and modeling decisions.

Table 2: Johansen Cointegration test

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace)

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None *	0.695976	122.8192	95.75366	0.0002
At most 1 *	0.602088	83.52772	69.81889	0.0027
At most 2 *	0.553116	53.11740	47.85613	0.0148
At most 3	0.359812	26.53733	29.79707	0.1134
At most 4	0.291288	11.81957	15.49471	0.1658
At most 5	0.013767	0.457456	3.841466	0.4988

Trace test indicates 3 co-integrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level.
This implies that the variables are co-integrated in the long-run.

Table 3 presents the SVAR Forecast Error Variance Decomposition of FDI, shedding light on the distribution of forecast error variance across various variables at different forecast horizons. This analysis helps understand the relative importance of each variable in explaining the fluctuations in FDI over time. At the first forecast horizon, FDI itself accounts for the majority of the forecast error variance, with a substantial contribution of 1.27E+09%, indicating the significance of FDI in explaining its own forecast variability. In contrast, OILP makes no contribution to the forecast error variance at this horizon. As the forecast horizon extends, the contributions of different variables to the forecast error variance evolve. For instance, at the tenth forecast horizon, OILP emerges as a notable contributor, explaining 10.74% of the forecast error variance of FDI. Similarly, other variables like EXCH, TRAOP, INFL, and GDP also exhibit increasing contributions to the forecast error variance over longer horizons. These findings suggest dynamic relationships among the variables included in the analysis, indicating potential impacts and dependencies that play out over different time frames. By understanding these dynamics, policymakers and analysts can better anticipate the effects of changes in variables such as oil prices, exchange rates, trade openness, inflation, and GDP on FDI inflows or outflows, aiding in informed decision-making and policy formulation.

Table 3: SVAR Forecast Error Variance Decomposition of FDI

Forecast Horizon	OILP	FDI	EXCH	TRAOP	INFL	GDP
1	0.000000	1.27E+09	-4.633259	-2.190015	-2.107160	-0.531137
2	0.169535	1.54E+09	-2.580095	1.394277	21.91369	0.231657
3	1.845413	2.16E+09	0.071690	6.561605	22.97769	0.636364
4	2.309165	2.59E+09	1.001248	13.90014	19.06710	0.617557
5	2.984324	3.03E+09	3.560937	18.11654	19.80904	0.505180
6	3.212779	3.66E+09	9.689952	22.95506	24.11978	0.259261
7	3.334187	4.36E+09	17.99060	27.28794	31.58884	0.381122
8	4.849783	5.26E+09	27.90728	32.31115	39.94859	0.792475
9	7.327896	6.42E+09	39.16293	39.09892	45.94039	1.311424
10	10.74083	7.81E+09	51.79305	47.03403	52.54442	1.842910

Table 4 presents the Structural VAR Forecast Error Variance Decomposition of GDP, providing insights into the distribution of forecast error variance across different variables at various forecast horizons. This analysis aids in understanding the relative importance of each variable in explaining the fluctuations in GDP over time. At the first forecast horizon, GDP itself accounts for the overwhelming majority of the forecast error variance, indicating the significance of GDP in explaining its own forecast variability. In contrast, other variables such as OILP, FDI, EXCH, TRAOP, and INFL make negligible

contributions to the forecast error variance at this horizon. As the forecast horizon extends, the contributions of different variables to the forecast error variance evolve. For instance, at the tenth forecast horizon, OILP emerges as a notable contributor, explaining 2.799577% of the forecast error variance of GDP. Similarly, other variables like FDI, EXCH, TRAOP, and INFL also exhibit increasing contributions to the forecast error variance over longer horizons. These findings highlight the dynamic interactions among the variables included in the analysis and underscore their roles in influencing GDP fluctuations over time. Policymakers and analysts can leverage this information to anticipate the effects of changes in variables such as oil prices, foreign direct investment, exchange rates, trade openness, and inflation on GDP, facilitating more informed decision-making and policy formulation.

Table 4: Structural VAR Forecast Error Variance Decomposition of GDP

Forecast Horizon	OILP	FDI	EXCH	TRAOP	INFL	GDP
1	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	1.178977
2	2.034225	4.83E+08	-1.320634	0.926604	1.820129	0.370117
3	0.845469	5.86E+08	-1.460281	4.843713	-2.025841	-0.096000
4	0.304184	5.30E+08	-0.709723	2.921869	3.829481	-0.077237
5	0.470385	7.09E+08	1.804673	4.186477	6.814370	-0.168490
6	-0.006636	8.04E+08	3.631031	4.974490	6.988587	0.036694
7	0.760578	9.60E+08	5.121185	5.667666	9.075839	0.234763
8	1.415293	1.23E+09	7.090946	7.587094	8.140302	0.305146
9	1.931149	1.48E+09	9.187109	9.103260	9.307757	0.370883
10	2.799577	1.80E+09	12.08552	10.84309	11.47377	0.416786

4. CONCLUSIONS

The impact of oil price shocks on investment, both domestic and foreign, in Nigeria is predominantly negative. Fluctuations in oil prices, driven by various factors such as changes in global demand-supply dynamics and geopolitical tensions, can significantly disrupt investment patterns within the Nigerian economy. For domestic investment, oil price shocks often lead to uncertainty and instability in the business environment. The volatility in oil prices can undermine investor confidence, causing businesses to postpone or scale back their investment plans. This reluctance to invest domestically can stifle economic growth and development, as businesses may opt to adopt a wait-and-see approach until the economic landscape becomes more predictable. Similarly, foreign investment in Nigeria is also adversely affected by oil price shocks. Foreign investors are particularly sensitive to economic uncertainty, and fluctuations in oil prices can raise concerns about the stability of the investment climate. The unpredictability of oil revenues can deter foreign investors from committing capital to Nigeria, as they may perceive the country as a risky investment destination. Consequently, oil price shocks can result in reduced inflows of foreign direct investment (FDI), constraining the country's ability to attract external capital for economic development projects. Overall, the negative effects of oil price shocks on both domestic and foreign investment underscore the importance of economic diversification and policy measures aimed at reducing Nigeria's reliance on oil revenues. By promoting investment in non-oil sectors and implementing reforms to enhance the business environment, Nigeria can mitigate the adverse impacts of oil price volatility and foster sustainable economic growth.

The study reveals a concerning trend: despite the significant contribution of oil prices to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of Nigeria, it does not translate into an enhancement of foreign direct investment (FDI). Furthermore, the research underscores that oil price shocks exert a negative influence on both economic growth and FDI inflows. These findings highlight a critical issue within the Nigerian economy, suggesting the presence of a high level of mismanagement and embezzlement. The disconnect between the positive impact of oil prices on GDP and the lack of corresponding benefits for FDI indicates systemic challenges within Nigeria's economic framework. Despite the substantial revenues generated from oil exports, the economy fails to attract significant foreign investment, pointing to underlying issues such as corruption, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and inadequate infrastructure. Moreover, the detrimental effects of oil price shocks on economic growth and FDI further exacerbate concerns regarding the management of Nigeria's economy. The volatility and unpredictability associated with oil prices create an uncertain investment climate, deterring both domestic and foreign investors from making long-term commitments. This suggests a need for robust governance mechanisms and policy reforms to address the root causes of economic instability and improve the investment environment. In conclusion, the findings of the study underscore the urgent need for measures to combat mismanagement and embezzlement within the Nigerian economy.

Addressing these issues is crucial for unlocking the full potential of Nigeria's oil wealth and fostering sustainable economic growth and development. This necessitates comprehensive reforms aimed at enhancing transparency, strengthening institutions, and promoting accountability at all levels of governance. Indeed, it is imperative for the government to take decisive actions to address the leakages stemming from oil price volatility and to diversify Nigeria's revenue sources away from its heavy dependence on oil. Diversification efforts are crucial to reduce the economy's vulnerability to fluctuations in oil prices and to ensure sustainable economic growth and development. To effectively block leakages arising from oil price volatility, the government must prioritize measures to enhance transparency, accountability, and governance within the oil

sector. This may include implementing stricter regulatory frameworks, improving oversight mechanisms, and combating corruption and embezzlement. By enhancing transparency and accountability, the government can mitigate the negative impacts of oil price shocks on the economy and maximize the benefits derived from oil revenues. Furthermore, diversifying the economy away from oil as a major revenue source is essential for long-term economic stability and resilience. The government should explore opportunities to develop and invest in non-oil sectors such as agriculture, manufacturing, tourism, and technology. By diversifying the economy, Nigeria can reduce its reliance on oil revenues and create new sources of growth and employment opportunities. In addition, the government should actively seek alternative means of revenue generation to offset the effects of falling oil prices and declining oil revenues. This may involve exploring options such as taxation reforms, increasing non-oil exports, attracting foreign investment in key sectors, and leveraging natural resources other than oil. Importantly, any additional revenue generated should be utilized effectively and efficiently to support the development of the Nigerian economy. This includes investing in critical infrastructure, education, healthcare, social welfare programs, and initiatives aimed at fostering inclusive growth and reducing poverty. Overall, implementing these necessary measures will be instrumental in safeguarding Nigeria's economy against the adverse effects of oil price volatility and ensuring its long-term prosperity and sustainability.

REFERENCES

Baumeister, C., & Peersman, G. (2009). Sources of the volatility puzzle in the crude oil market. Available at SSRN 1471388.

Giraud, P. N. (1995). The equilibrium price range of oil: Economics, politics and uncertainty in the formation of oil prices. *Energy Policy*, 23(1), 35-49.

Olomola, P. A., & Adejumo, A. V. (2006). Oil price shock and macroeconomic activities in Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 3(1), 28-34.

Umar, G., & Kilishi, A. A. (2010). Oil price shocks and the Nigeria economy: A variance autoregressive (VAR) model. *International Journal of Business and Management,* 5(8), 39.