JBEO, Vol. 4(4), 25-29

Journal of Business and Economic Options

Modeling Economic Cycles: Exploring the Dynamics of Global GDP Growth Rates

Nikolay Kilyachkov[a]
Larisa Chaldaeva[b]

Abstract
In this paper, we delve into the cyclical nature of the global economy, aiming to develop a model that effectively captures the fluctuations in GDP growth rates, often leading to economic crises. We focus on testing the bifurcation model, renowned for its ability to elucidate the emergence of economic cycles, using real-world data on GDP growth rates. Our investigation revolves around examining the dynamics of the model's bifurcation parameters over short time intervals, seeking to ascertain its efficacy in characterizing the behavior of the world economy. The test results yield promising outcomes, affirming that the proposed model adeptly describes the intricate dynamics of global economic fluctuations. Through our analysis, we identify qualitative characteristics of the model, which include stability areas, stable fixed points, stable cycles, and dynamic stability areas. These findings not only contribute to our understanding of the underlying mechanisms driving economic cycles but also provide valuable insights for policymakers and economists striving to navigate the complexities of the global economic landscape. Ultimately, our study underscores the significance of robust modeling frameworks in comprehending and potentially mitigating the impacts of economic crises on a global scale. The comparison with statistical data revealed a compelling alignment between GDP trends for global economic growth and the stability areas identified within the bifurcation model. This convergence underscores the significance of investigating the qualitative characteristics of the bifurcation model as a means of accurately describing and understanding global economic processes. These findings not only highlight the relevance of the bifurcation model in capturing the complexities of economic dynamics but also open new avenues for further research. In our subsequent studies, we aim to delve deeper into the qualitative features of the bifurcation model, with a particular focus on exploring the stability areas of approximating polynomials of the 3rd degree, which effectively characterize the evolving dynamics of the world's GDP. By conducting these additional investigations, we anticipate uncovering novel insights and potentially developing new analytical tools for comprehensively analyzing the intricate dynamics of the global economic system. This line of research holds promise for advancing our understanding of economic phenomena and informing more effective strategies for managing and navigating the complexities of the global economy.
Keywords: Global Economy, Economic Cycles, GDP Growth Rates, Bifurcation Model, Stability Areas
JEL Codes: E32, C63, O47

1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the dynamics of GDP growth rates and their relationship to economic crises is essential for policymakers, economists, and investors alike (Furman et al., 1998). Developing a robust model that captures the complex interplay of factors driving GDP growth and its fluctuations can provide valuable insights into the workings of the global economy and help anticipate and mitigate the impact of economic crises. Such a model would need to incorporate various economic indicators, including factors influencing aggregate demand and supply, fiscal and monetary policies, international trade dynamics, financial market conditions, and external shocks. By analyzing historical data and identifying patterns and correlations among these variables, researchers can develop econometric models to forecast GDP growth rates and identify potential triggers of economic crises. Furthermore, incorporating insights from behavioral economics and complexity theory may enhance the predictive power of the model by accounting for non-linear dynamics, feedback loops, and emergent phenomena that characterize real-world economic systems (Mercure et al., 2016). Ultimately, a comprehensive model of GDP growth and economic crises would serve as a valuable tool for policymakers to formulate effective macroeconomic policies, for economists to conduct scenario analyses and stress tests, and for investors to manage risk and make informed decisions in an uncertain economic environment.

The bifurcation model proposed by Chaldaeva et al. (2012) offers an intriguing perspective on the dynamics of economic cycles by positing a relationship between GDP growth rates in consecutive years. By suggesting that economic cycles emerge from a doubling or bifurcation of an underlying cycle, the model implies a certain degree of self-reinforcement or amplification in the economic system. This conceptual framework highlights the role of feedback

[a] Moscow Institute of International Relations University, Moscow, Russia
[b] Moscow Institute of International Relations University, Moscow, Russia

mechanisms and nonlinear dynamics in shaping the trajectory of GDP growth rates over time. It suggests that the behavior of the economy is not purely deterministic but can exhibit abrupt transitions or phase shifts as it evolves. However, while the bifurcation model provides a compelling conceptual framework, its empirical validation and practical applicability may face challenges (Waddell, 2016). Real-world economic systems are influenced by a multitude of factors beyond simple feedback loops, including exogenous shocks, policy interventions, technological changes, and socio-political developments. Furthermore, accurately identifying and characterizing the underlying cycle from which economic cycles emerge presents a formidable task, given the complexity and heterogeneity of economic data. The model's assumption of a doubling or bifurcation process may oversimplify the true dynamics of economic cycles, which can exhibit more nuanced patterns and irregularities. Nevertheless, the bifurcation model contributes to the ongoing discourse on the nature of economic cycles and offers a theoretical framework for exploring the interconnectedness of GDP growth rates over time. Future research could focus on refining and empirically testing the model using advanced econometric techniques and high-frequency data to enhance our understanding of economic dynamics and improve the forecasting of economic cycles. The acknowledgment that the coefficients in the model proposed by Chaldaeva et al. (2012) may vary over time introduces an important dimension of complexity and dynamism into the analysis of economic cycles. In reality, economic systems are subject to a wide array of influences and fluctuations that can alter the underlying parameters governing their behavior. The notion that the coefficients λ and γ are not fixed but rather evolve over time reflects the dynamic nature of economic environments. During periods of relative stability and sustainable development, these coefficients may indeed exhibit a degree of inertia or persistence, allowing for short-term predictability and stability in economic growth dynamics. However, as the economic landscape undergoes significant transformations, such as technological advancements, changes in policy regimes, or shifts in global trade patterns, the coefficients can experience rapid and pronounced shifts. This reflects the inherent adaptability and responsiveness of economic systems to changing external conditions and internal dynamics. Moreover, the sensitivity of the economy to economic growth (N) is intricately linked to the evolution of these coefficients. When key production factors experience rapid change or when competitive advantages are rapidly shifting, the economy's responsiveness to changes in economic growth rates can fluctuate significantly. These dynamics underscore the challenges associated with modeling and predicting economic cycles, particularly in periods of transition or upheaval. While models like the one proposed by Chaldaeva et al. provide valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms driving economic fluctuations, their ability to capture the full complexity of real-world economic systems remains limited by the inherent uncertainty and nonlinearity of economic dynamics. In practice, incorporating time-varying coefficients into economic models requires sophisticated econometric techniques and robust data sources to accurately capture and quantify the changing dynamics of economic relationships. By accounting for the dynamic nature of coefficients and the evolving sensitivity of the economy to economic growth, researchers can develop more nuanced and accurate models for understanding and forecasting economic cycles.

The Juglar cycle (1862), named after the French economist Clément Juglar, (1862) typically lasts around 7 to 11 years and is associated with fixed investment in machinery and equipment. This cycle reflects the medium-term fluctuations in economic activity driven by investment decisions made by businesses. Understanding the Juglar, (1862) cycle helps economists and policymakers anticipate periods of expansion and contraction in the economy and implement appropriate policy measures to mitigate economic downturns. Kuznets swings (1930), identified by the Nobel laureate economist Simon Kuznets, are long-term cycles lasting approximately 15 to 25 years. These swings are linked to changes in income distribution and structural transformations within the economy, such as shifts from agricultural to industrial or service-based economies. By examining Kuznets, (1930) swings, economists gain insights into the underlying structural changes shaping the economy over the long term. Kondratieff waves (2002, 2004), proposed by the Russian economist Nikolai Kondratieff, are perhaps the longest economic cycles, with durations of 50 to 60 years. These waves are associated with technological innovations and major shifts in economic paradigms, such as the Industrial Revolution or the Information Age. Understanding Kondratieff waves helps economists and policymakers anticipate and adapt to long-term structural changes in the economy, such as the rise of new industries or the decline of traditional sectors. these cycles represent recurring patterns of economic activity and have been the subject of extensive study to understand the underlying forces shaping economic fluctuations. Researchers have analyzed historical data and developed mathematical models to identify and explain the characteristics of each cycle, including their duration, amplitude, and underlying drivers. By studying these economic cycles, economists aim to uncover the fundamental mechanisms driving economic growth and contraction over time. They seek to identify the factors that influence the timing and magnitude of economic fluctuations and assess their implications for policy-making and economic stability.

Understanding the dynamics of these cycles allows policymakers to make informed decisions about monetary and fiscal policy, business investment strategies, and social welfare programs. It also provides valuable insights for businesses, investors, and individuals seeking to navigate the ups and downs of the economic landscape. The study of economic cycles offers a framework for understanding the complex interplay of factors that shape the trajectory of economic growth and development. It provides a valuable lens through which to analyze historical trends, anticipate future challenges, and formulate effective strategies for promoting sustainable and inclusive economic prosperity.

Table 1 provides valuable insights into the temporal patterns of various economic cycles as identified by different scholars and economists throughout history. These cycles offer a framework for understanding the inherent dynamics of economic activity over time. Kitchin, (1923) cycles, first elucidated by Joseph Kitchin in 1923, are characterized by relatively short-term fluctuations in economic activity. Spanning the period from 1890 to 1920, these cycles exhibit a recurring pattern with a mean duration of approximately 3.31 years. Juglar, (1862) cycles, named after Clement Juglar, (1862) who analyzed them in 1862, represent medium-term economic fluctuations. Occurring between 1800 and 1859, these cycles have a typical length of around 6.04 years, reflecting periodic expansions and contractions in economic output. Kuznets, (1930) swings, identified by Simon Kuznets in 1930, encompass longer-term movements in economic activity. Observed from 1815 to 1929, these swings exhibit a more extended cycle duration, averaging approximately 14.3 years. Kuznets, (1930) swings often coincide with significant structural changes in the economy, such as shifts in industrial composition or demographic trends. Kondratieff waves, attributed to Nikolai Kondratieff and extensively studied in 2002 and 2004, represent the most extended cycles in economic analysis. Spanning from 1803 to 2008, these waves have a remarkably lengthy period, averaging around 41.8 years. Kondratieff waves are associated with long-term technological innovations, major economic transformations, and shifts in global economic dominance. Understanding the characteristics and durations of these economic cycles provides valuable insights into the underlying forces shaping economic growth, contraction, and structural change over time. By recognizing these patterns, policymakers, analysts, and investors can better anticipate and navigate the cyclical nature of economic phenomena.

Table 1: The numerical characteristics of economic cycles

Name	Observation interval (years)	Period (years)	Information source
Kitchin cycles	1890 ÷ 1920	3.31 ± 0.38	Kitchin, 1923
Juglar cycles	1800 ÷ 1859	6.04 ± 2.50	Juglar, 1862
Kuznets swings	1815 ÷ 1929	14.3 ± 4.8	Kuznets, 1930
Kondratieff waves	1803 ÷ 2008	41.8 ± 7.1	Kondratieff, 2002, 2004

2. DISCUSSIONS

The results of the study suggest that the proposed model is suitable for describing the dynamics of the rate of relative annual increase in GDP over long time intervals. However, it's equally important to explore its applicability for describing GDP dynamics over shorter time intervals. This would enable researchers to track the rapid changes in factors influencing economic growth and to assess their impact on short-term economic fluctuations. Examining the model's performance at shorter time intervals could provide valuable insights into the dynamics of economic growth and help policymakers and economists better understand the underlying drivers of short-term fluctuations in GDP. By analyzing GDP data over shorter time frames, researchers can identify patterns, trends, and anomalies that may not be apparent when looking at longer-term data. Additionally, studying the model's behavior at shorter time intervals may reveal how economic shocks, policy changes, or external factors influence GDP dynamics in the short term. This information can be crucial for policymakers seeking to manage economic volatility and mitigate the impact of economic downturns or crises. In the proposed bifurcation model, the coefficients of the approximating polynomial are time-dependent. When the global economy experiences gradual changes, these coefficients can be assumed to be relatively stable over several years.

This stability allows for the determination of their values using data on the rate of relative annual increase in GDP growth. For polynomials of the third degree, which comprise four coefficients, a minimum interval of four years is required to calculate these coefficients accurately. However, even within this timeframe, slight fluctuations in the coefficients may occur due to various factors, potentially leading to distortions in the final results. Therefore, while longer intervals may provide more stable coefficient estimates, researchers should remain cognizant of the possibility of small variations influencing the accuracy of the model predictions. Robust methodologies for coefficient estimation and careful validation of results can help mitigate the impact of such fluctuations on the model's performance. Striking the right balance in choosing the time interval for coefficient estimation is crucial for obtaining accurate results in the bifurcation model. While extending the time interval can help mitigate the influence of small coefficient changes, excessively long intervals may lead to significant fluctuations in the coefficients themselves, thereby reducing the accuracy of the model's predictions.

Therefore, researchers must carefully consider the trade-offs involved in selecting the time interval. It should be long enough to capture stable trends in the coefficients but not so long as to introduce excessive variability. Finding this optimal interval requires a nuanced understanding of the underlying economic dynamics and the factors driving coefficient changes over time. By striking an appropriate balance in the choice of time interval, researchers can enhance the reliability and robustness of the bifurcation model, improving its ability to accurately describe and predict the dynamics of the GDP growth rate over different time horizons. Studying the qualitative characteristics of third-degree polynomials is indeed a challenging task, as highlighted by Arnold (2009). These characteristics, including stability areas, fixed points, cycles, and dynamic stability, provide valuable insights into the behavior of the bifurcation model and its predictive power. To address this challenge, researchers can adopt an approach that involves systematically analyzing the behavior of the approximating polynomials over time. By observing how the qualitative features evolve as the time interval increases, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the underlying dynamics captured by the model. This analysis can reveal important patterns and trends in the behavior of the polynomials, shedding light on stability regions where the model's predictions are most reliable, as well as identifying areas of instability or rapid change. Such insights can inform the refinement and optimization of the bifurcation model, improving its accuracy and predictive ability.

3. CONCLUSIONS

The proposed bifurcation model offers a comprehensive framework for understanding the dynamics of economic cycles and predicting new patterns of fluctuation between established cycles such as Kuznets swings and Kondratieff waves. Moreover, it demonstrates its effectiveness in capturing the intricate dynamics of the rate of change in GDP over time. By elucidating the underlying mechanisms driving economic fluctuations, the model provides valuable insights into the complex interactions between various factors influencing GDP growth. Its ability to accurately describe the dynamics of GDP rate changes enhances our understanding of economic trends and enables more informed decision-making by policymakers and analysts. Furthermore, the model's capacity to predict new cycles between existing ones underscores its potential for anticipating future developments in the global economy. This predictive capability can help stakeholders proactively address emerging challenges and capitalize on opportunities, thereby fostering sustainable economic growth and stability.

The proposed bifurcation model represents a significant advancement in our ability to analyze and interpret economic phenomena, offering a powerful tool for researchers and policymakers alike to navigate the complexities of the modern economic landscape. Increasing the approximation interval from 1961 to 1981 to 1961 to 2011 reveals a consistent trend in the behavior of the approximating function. However, the function tends to become more flat over the extended interval. This change suggests a smoothing out or stabilization of the rate of growth in GDP over the longer time frame. It's noteworthy that changes in the rate of GDP growth typically occur along the areas of stability of the approximating polynomials. This observation underscores the significance of the qualitative characteristics of the bifurcation model in describing global economic processes. By delineating stable regions, the model provides valuable insights into the dynamics of economic growth and helps to identify periods of relative stability or instability in GDP trends. The observed flattening of the approximating function over the extended interval implies a more gradual and sustained pattern of GDP growth over time. This phenomenon may reflect broader economic shifts, such as changes in technological innovation, demographic trends, or global market dynamics, which influence long-term economic trajectories. Overall, the analysis highlights the importance of considering both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the bifurcation model to gain a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of GDP growth and its implications for global economic stability and development.

REFERENCES

Arnold, A. (2009). Theory of catastrophes. *Moscow: Editorial, URSS.* [Арнольд, В. (2009). Теория катастроф. *Москва: Едиториал, УРСС.*]

Chaldaeva, L. and Kilyachkov, A. (2012). A Unified Approach to the Description of the Nature of Economic Cycles. *Finance and Credit*, 45 (525), 2-8. [Чалдаева, Л. Килячков, А. (2012). Унифицированный подход к описанию природы экономических циклов. *Финансы и кредит*, 45(525) 2–8.

Chaldaeva, L. and Kilyachkov, A. (2014). A Model of Feedback and its Use for Describing the Dynamics of Economic Development. *Finance and Credit*, 607(31), 13–20.

Furman, J., Stiglitz, J. E., Bosworth, B. P., & Radelet, S. (1998). Economic crises: evidence and insights from East Asia. *Brookings papers on economic activity*, *1998*(2), 1-135.

Juglar, C. (1862). *Des Crises commerciales et leur retour periodique en France, en Angleterre, et aux Etats-Unis,* Guillaumin, Paris.

Kilyachkov, A. Chaldaeva, L. (2013). Bifurcational Model of Economic Cycles. *North American Academic Journals. Economic Papers and Notes*, 13(4), 13–20.

Kitchin, J. (1923). Cycles and Trends in Economic Factors. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 5(1), 10–16.

Kondratieff, N. (2002) [1926]. The Major Cycles of the Conjuncture and the Theory of Forecast. *Moscow: Economika*. [Кондратьев, Н. (2002) [1926]. Большие циклы конъюнктуры и теория предвидения. *Москва: Экономика*].

Kondratieff, N. (2004) [1926]. *The World Economy and Its Conjunctures During and After the War*. International Kondratieff Foundation.

Kuznets, S. (1930). *Secular Movements in Production and Prices. Their Nature and their Bearing upon Cyclical Fluctuations*, Houghton Mifflin, Boston.

Mercure, J. F., Pollitt, H., Bassi, A. M., Viñuales, J. E., & Edwards, N. R. (2016). Modelling complex systems of heterogeneous agents to better design sustainability transitions policy. *Global environmental change*, *37*, 102-115.

Waddell, P. (2016). Integrated land use and transportation planning and modelling: Addressing challenges in research and practice. In *Transport Models in Urban Planning Practices* (pp. 71-92). Routledge.