JBEO, Vol. 6(4), 28-35

Journal of Business and Economic Options

Strategic Management of Outsourcing Balancing Profitability and Cost Control in Corporate Operations

Shehu Namadi[a]

Abstract

Outsourcing, a prevalent practice in the corporate world, especially prominent in developing countries and industries with limited human resource development, brings both advantages and disadvantages. This paper aims to explore the impact of outsourcing on corporate performance, particularly focusing on profitability. It highlights various objectives and reasons behind outsourcing initiatives, drawing attention to both the potential benefits and drawbacks associated with this strategy. Data for the study is gathered from both external and internal sources, providing a comprehensive understanding of the outsourcing landscape within the corporate sector. Two hypotheses are formulated, and regression analysis is employed to ascertain the relationship between outsourcing and corporate profitability. The findings of the analysis reveal a significant effect of outsourcing on corporate profitability. While outsourcing offers numerous advantages such as service standardization, enhanced service quality, and increased effectiveness, it also underscores the importance of implementing robust cost control policies within corporate organizations. This suggests that while outsourcing can yield positive outcomes, it is imperative for companies to establish stringent measures to manage costs effectively and maximize the benefits of outsourcing practices. This study underscores the nuanced dynamics of outsourcing and its impact on corporate performance, emphasizing the need for strategic management of outsourcing initiatives to achieve optimal outcomes in terms of profitability and overall organizational success.

Keywords: Outsourcing, Corporate Performance, Profitability

JEL Codes: D24, L24, M11, M21

1. INTRODUCTION

Outsourcing, as a business strategy, involves the procurement of services or products from external sources, or the delegation of certain tasks to third-party companies rather than handling them in-house. This concept, with its roots tracing back to historical practices, has evolved over time to become a common practice in modern business operations. Historically, outsourcing can be traced back to efforts aimed at circumventing guild controls in Europe during the 13th century. This period witnessed the emergence of a practice known as "putting out," wherein merchants sought to lower production costs by leveraging the abundant and inexpensive labor force, particularly comprised of women and children, available within the country (Jones and Kierzkowski, 2004; Wang and Ahmad, 2015). Under this arrangement, merchants engaged cottage workers to undertake various labor-intensive and less skilled tasks as part of the production process. A notable example of outsourcing during this era occurred in the textile manufacturing sector, where merchants enlisted peasant women to perform spinning tasks on a putting-out basis. In this arrangement, the merchants supplied the raw materials, such as raw wool, flax, and cotton, to the cottage workers, who then processed these materials into finished yarn, thereby facilitating the production process. This historical context illustrates how outsourcing practices have been utilized throughout history as a means to optimize production processes, leverage available resources, and reduce operational costs. These early examples laid the groundwork for the modern concept of outsourcing, which continues to play a significant role in contemporary business strategies.

In the late 1960s, outsourcing underwent a significant transformation with the advent of data transfer technology. During this period, firms started leveraging technology to outsource various administrative tasks, particularly payroll administration. This involved delegating responsibilities such as calculating workers' wages, deductions, and conducting checks to external service providers. This marked a pivotal moment in the evolution of outsourcing, as businesses began to recognize the potential benefits of entrusting certain functions to specialized external entities. Today, outsourcing has become a prevalent practice across industries, with many businesses opting to delegate various operations to external service providers. This shift towards outsourcing is driven by the advantages it offers in terms of efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and access to specialized expertise. Some of the key operations commonly outsourced by businesses include maintenance functions, catering services, payroll administration, personnel management, and more. By outsourcing these tasks to external entities that specialize in their management, businesses can streamline their operations, focus on core competencies, and improve overall efficiency. Additionally, outsourcing allows businesses to access a wider talent pool and

[a] ABU Business School, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

benefit from the latest technologies and best practices without the need for substantial investments in infrastructure or personnel. As a result, outsourcing has become an integral part of modern business strategies, enabling organizations to adapt to evolving market dynamics and stay competitive in today's fast-paced business environment.

Indeed, outsourcing has emerged as a prevalent strategy for enhancing business activities, especially in developing economies, where companies are increasingly leveraging external expertise to drive growth and competitiveness. This trend is reshaping the business landscape, as organizations shift from internal handling of operations to engaging external entities for various functions. In developed economies and beyond, companies are capitalizing on the abundance of expertise available in global markets to bolster their competitive advantage locally. Countries such as India, China, and other Southeast Asian economies have become hubs for outsourcing, offering a wealth of skilled labor, cost-effective solutions, and specialized services to businesses worldwide (Hussain, 2014; Sossounov, & Kolenikov, 2023). For businesses operating in developed economies, outsourcing presents a compelling opportunity to access a diverse talent pool, tap into specialized skills and knowledge, and optimize operational efficiency. By outsourcing certain functions to external partners, companies can streamline their operations, reduce costs, and focus on core business activities. Moreover, outsourcing enables businesses to adapt quickly to changing market dynamics, scale operations as needed, and remain agile in today's rapidly evolving business environment. By leveraging external expertise and resources, organizations can gain a competitive edge, drive innovation, and accelerate growth in both domestic and international markets. Overall, outsourcing has become an integral part of modern business strategy, offering numerous benefits to companies looking to enhance their capabilities, expand their reach, and stay ahead in an increasingly competitive global marketplace. As the outsourcing trend continues to gain momentum, businesses across industries will likely continue to explore new opportunities and partnerships to drive success and sustainable growth.

The Nigerian economy, renowned as one of the most dynamic in Africa, offers a conducive environment for outsourcing, and the country is increasingly playing a leading role in this practice, driven in part by government initiatives to promote the strategy. In 2007, the federal government of Nigeria established the National Outsourcing Policy and Institutional Framework, signaling its commitment to leveraging outsourcing for national development. The adoption of outsourcing in Nigeria aligns with broader goals of promoting free trade and enhancing economic competitiveness. By embracing outsourcing, Nigerian businesses can access a range of benefits associated with this strategy, including lower operational costs, increased labor productivity, and enhanced efficiency. Moreover, outsourcing presents opportunities for Nigerian companies to tap into specialized skills and expertise available globally, thereby strengthening their competitive position in both domestic and international markets. Outsourcing certain functions allows businesses to focus on their core activities while leveraging external resources to optimize efficiency and drive growth. Furthermore, the implementation of a national outsourcing policy and institutional framework provides a structured approach to outsourcing, ensuring that the benefits of this strategy are maximized while addressing potential challenges and risks. Through strategic partnerships and collaborations, Nigeria can harness the full potential of outsourcing to spur economic development, create employment opportunities, and foster innovation across various sectors. The Nigerian government's focus on promoting outsourcing reflects its recognition of the strategic importance of this practice in driving economic growth and development. By embracing outsourcing and leveraging its benefits, Nigeria is poised to strengthen its position as a key player in the global outsourcing landscape while unlocking new opportunities for sustainable prosperity and advancement.

The introduction of outsourcing into Nigerian parastatals in 2007 aimed to enhance performance by realizing benefits such as cost savings, increased labor productivity, and greater efficiency. However, while these anticipated advantages are plausible, it remains unclear whether outsourcing activities have indeed led to such outcomes, particularly within organizations like Nigeria Brewery. Moreover, there are concerns regarding the efficiency and quality of services provided by in-house staff, adding to the uncertainties surrounding outsourcing decisions. Given these uncertainties and the potential implications for organizational performance, there is a need to empirically investigate the effects of outsourcing costs on corporate performance. By examining the relationship between outsourcing expenditures and key performance indicators, such as profitability, productivity, and efficiency, researchers can provide valuable insights into the impact of outsourcing on organizational outcomes. Through rigorous analysis and empirical research methodologies, this study seeks to shed light on the effectiveness of outsourcing strategies employed by Nigerian parastatals, with a specific focus on Nigeria Brewery. By identifying the factors influencing outsourcing costs and evaluating their effects on corporate performance, the study aims to inform decision-making processes within organizations and contribute to the ongoing discourse on outsourcing practices in Nigeria's business landscape. Ultimately, by gaining a deeper understanding of the dynamics between outsourcing costs and corporate performance, organizations can optimize their outsourcing strategies, mitigate risks, and maximize the benefits associated with outsourcing initiatives. This research endeavor holds the potential to offer actionable insights that can drive improvements in operational efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and overall performance within Nigerian parastatals and similar organizations operating in the country's business environment.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The extensive empirical literature examining the relationship between outsourcing and firm characteristics or performance provides valuable insights into the factors driving outsourcing decisions across various industries. While much of this research has centered on IT outsourcing within the manufacturing sector, the findings offer broader implications for understanding outsourcing motivations and outcomes. Studies such as those conducted by Smith, Mitra, and Narasimhan (1998) have identified key determinants of outsourcing decisions, including factors such as low overhead costs, limited cash reserves, high levels of debt, and declining growth rates. These firm characteristics often play a crucial role in shaping the rationale behind outsourcing initiatives. In particular, the primary motives for outsourcing are commonly identified as cost reduction and cash generation. By examining how these firm-specific factors influence outsourcing decisions, researchers have contributed to a deeper understanding of the strategic considerations driving outsourcing practices. Moreover, these insights have practical implications for firms seeking to optimize their operations, manage financial resources effectively, and enhance overall performance through outsourcing arrangements. While the focus on IT outsourcing in the manufacturing sector has provided valuable insights, future research could explore outsourcing dynamics in other industries and contexts to further enrich our understanding of outsourcing strategies and their impact on firm performance. Additionally, longitudinal studies tracking the outcomes of outsourcing decisions over time could offer valuable insights into the long-term implications of outsourcing initiatives and inform strategic decision-making processes within organizations.

The findings of Hall and Liedtka (2005) align with those of previous studies, revealing that IT outsourcing decisions are often driven by factors such as poor performance, inadequate cost control, and short-term cash needs. Similarly, Ang and Straub (1998) shed light on the determinants of IT outsourcing within the US banking industry, highlighting the influence of high production costs and the large size of banks on outsourcing decisions. These studies collectively suggest that firms facing challenges such as high costs and underperformance may turn to outsourcing as a strategic response to regain competitiveness and improve their market position. By leveraging external expertise and resources through outsourcing arrangements, organizations seek to address operational inefficiencies, enhance cost-effectiveness, and reallocate internal resources toward core business activities. The findings underscore the strategic nature of outsourcing decisions, which are often driven by the need to optimize operational efficiency, manage costs, and adapt to changing market dynamics. For firms operating in competitive industries or facing financial constraints, outsourcing can serve as a strategic tool for driving organizational transformation and achieving sustainable growth.

The study by Fritsch and Wiillenweber (2007) offers a nuanced perspective on the determinants of business process outsourcing (BPO) within the German banking market. Unlike some previous studies that primarily emphasized cost-cutting motives for outsourcing, Fritsch and Wiillenweber (2007) found evidence suggesting that outsourcing is not solely driven by cost reduction objectives. Instead, they highlight the strategic role of outsourcing as a means to achieve market differentiation and enhance competitive advantage, even among well-performing banks with high revenue diversification. By identifying outsourcing as a strategic element in market differentiation strategies, Fritsch and Wiillenweber's (2007) findings challenge the notion that outsourcing decisions are exclusively driven by cost considerations or poor performance. Instead, they suggest that outsourcing can be leveraged by banks, regardless of their financial performance, to enhance operational efficiency, focus on core competencies, and differentiate their offerings in the marketplace. This perspective underscores the multifaceted nature of outsourcing decisions and highlights the strategic importance of outsourcing as a tool for organizational transformation and competitive positioning. By strategically outsourcing certain business processes or functions, banks can capitalize on external expertise, streamline operations, and allocate resources more effectively to areas that drive value creation and market differentiation.

The study by Gorzig and Stephan (2002) offers valuable insights into the impact of outsourcing on firm-level performance within the German manufacturing sector. By analyzing a large dataset spanning nearly a decade and employing multiple proxies to measure the degree of outsourcing, the study provides a comprehensive examination of the relationship between outsourcing and firm performance. Their findings suggest that various forms of outsourcing, including material inputs, external contract work, and external services, are associated with improved performance metrics such as return per employee. Specifically, increased materials input and outsourcing of production functions lead to better overall firm performance in terms of return over sales. However, the outsourcing of services shows a negative effect on firm performance. This nuanced understanding of the impact of different types of outsourcing on firm performance underscores the importance of considering the specific context and objectives of outsourcing initiatives. While certain forms of outsourcing may enhance operational efficiency and productivity, others may have varying effects on overall firm performance, highlighting the need for strategic alignment between outsourcing decisions and organizational objectives. Similarly, the study by Gellrich and Holzhauser (2005) provides insights into the relationship between vertical integration, outsourcing, and firm profitability within the global banking industry. By analyzing a sample of banks from multiple countries over a significant timeframe, the study highlights the importance of having a clear strategic approach to vertical integration and outsourcing. Their findings suggest that banks benefit from either high or low levels of vertical integration, while those with an ambiguous or inconsistent approach to vertical integration tend to underperform. This underscores the importance of strategic clarity and alignment in outsourcing and vertical integration decisions, as organizations that are "stuck in the middle" may struggle to realize the full benefits of either approach.

The study by Gorge and Hanley (2004) offers insights into the effects of outsourcing on the profitability of plants within the Irish electronics industry during the early 1990s. By analyzing data from 215 plants and distinguishing between service outsourcing and material outsourcing, the study provides a nuanced understanding of the relationship between outsourcing and profitability. Their findings suggest that large plants benefit from material outsourcing, indicating that outsourcing certain production inputs can enhance profitability for larger-scale operations. However, the study does not yield clear-cut results for service outsourcing, suggesting that the impact of outsourcing on profitability may vary depending on the nature of the outsourced services and other contextual factors. Similarly, the study by Girma and Gorg (2004) examines the determinants of outsourcing and its impact on firm productivity using panel data from UK firms in the manufacturing industry. By measuring outsourcing intensity as the value of industrial services received over total labor costs, the study provides insights into the relationship between outsourcing and productivity across different sectors. Their findings indicate that outsourcing intensity is positively related to labor productivity and total factor productivity in the chemical and engineering sector. However, they find no significant influence of outsourcing intensity on firms in the electronics sector, suggesting that the impact of outsourcing may be sector-specific.

The study by Gilley and Rasheed (2000) offers valuable insights into the nuanced relationship between outsourcing, firm strategy, environmental dynamism, and firm performance. By analyzing data from 94 manufacturing firms and considering both core and peripheral functions, the study sheds light on the moderating effects of firm strategy and environmental conditions on the impact of outsourcing. Their findings reveal that while outsourcing does not have a direct impact on firm performance, it is positively related to the performance of firms pursuing cost leadership and innovation differentiation strategies. Furthermore, the study highlights how environmental dynamism influences the relationship between outsourcing and firm performance, with outsourcing having a positive effect in stable environments but a negative effect in dynamic environments. Similarly, Benson and Littler (2002) provide insights into the motivations and outcomes of outsourcing compared to other restructuring measures in large Australian organizations. By surveying 4500 firms and analyzing responses from 1222 respondents, the study examines the reasons for outsourcing, its objectives, and its impact on labor costs and productivity. Their findings suggest that the primary reason for outsourcing is often a change in business strategy, with the main objective being the reduction of labor costs and the increase in labor productivity. Importantly, the study indicates that while outsourcing can achieve these objectives, it does not necessarily deliver greater labor cost reductions compared to other forms of restructuring.

The study by Jiang, et al (2006) provides valuable insights into the post-outsourcing effects on firm-level performance measures, offering a unique perspective by analyzing data from 51 large US firms over a period from 1990 to 2002. Unlike many other studies that focus on pre-outsourcing decisions or intentions, this research directly measures the impact of outsourcing after the transactions have been completed. By meticulously tracking outsourcing events through press announcements and analyzing quarterly accounting data, the authors assess the cost efficiency, productivity, and profitability of the firms involved within one year after outsourcing. Their findings reveal improved cost efficiency in the outsourcing firms, suggesting that outsourcing enables these firms to streamline operations and reduce costs effectively. Interestingly, the study does not find a significant change in productivity or profitability following outsourcing. However, the authors offer compelling explanations for this observation. They suggest that firms may reinvest the freed resources from outsourcing to further enhance their core competencies, thereby improving their overall competitiveness in the market. Moreover, the authors propose that firms may utilize cost savings from outsourcing to lower prices, sacrificing higher profits in favor of gaining a competitive edge. This strategic use of cost savings to enhance market competitiveness underscores the dynamic nature of outsourcing decisions and their implications for firm performance. Overall, Jiang, et al (2006) study contributes valuable insights into the post-outsourcing landscape, highlighting the multifaceted effects of outsourcing on cost efficiency, productivity, and profitability. By offering empirical evidence on the outcomes of outsourcing decisions, their research provides practical guidance for firms navigating outsourcing strategies in today's competitive business environment.

3. THEORETICAL LINKS

The multifaceted nature of outsourcing has led to its investigation across various disciplines, ranging from information systems management to international business. Scholars have explored outsourcing from diverse perspectives, contributing to a rich body of literature on the subject (Marchegiani, et al 2010; Browning, et al (2007); Rothaermel, Hitt, & Jobe, 2006). Despite variations in its definition, there is consensus among researchers regarding the core concept of outsourcing. It involves firms relying on external sources for inputs, services, processes, or other value-added activities (Amiti & Wei, 2005; Lei & Hitt, 1995; Gilley & Rasheed, 2000). At its essence, outsourcing entails a strategic decision-making process aimed at balancing the internal execution of activities within a firm with the acquisition of external resources or services (Arnold, 2000; Espino, et al (2006; De Fontenay & Gans, 2008). This balancing act reflects the dynamic nature of modern business operations, where firms seek to optimize their internal capabilities while leveraging external expertise and resources to enhance efficiency, competitiveness, and innovation. By understanding and managing this delicate equilibrium, organizations can strategically position themselves to adapt to changing market conditions, technological advancements, and global competition.

Lacity and Hirschheim (1993) concur in defining outsourcing as the strategic decision to delegate activities previously performed in-house to external partners through medium- or long-term agreements, which may involve the transfer of both activities and personnel to third-party entities. This definition encompasses various forms of outsourcing that have emerged over the past decade, including offshoring, global sourcing, and smart sourcing, reflecting the evolving nature of outsourcing practices. However, the diverse perspectives in outsourcing research have led to a range of interpretations and approaches, resulting in studies that sometimes yield contradictory results (Kotabe et al, 1998). This highlights the complexity of outsourcing as a phenomenon and underscores the importance of considering multiple factors and contexts when examining its implications for organizations and industries. The diverse nature of outsourcing poses several unanswered questions for scholars and managers, particularly regarding its impact on firm performance (De Fontenay & Cans, 2008). While the motivations and expected outcomes of outsourcing are often centered on the potential contribution to value creation through cost reduction, the specific antecedents and outcomes may vary. Two prominent theoretical frameworks commonly invoked to explain the motivations and outcomes of outsourcing are Transaction Cost Economics (TCE) and the Resource-Based View (RBV) (Espino-Rodriguez & Padron-Robaina, 2006; Browning, et al 2007; Reitzig & Wagner, 2010). These theories offer different perspectives on the strategic rationale behind outsourcing decisions and its implications for firm performance. TCE emphasizes the role of transaction costs and governance structures in determining the make-or-buy decision, while RBV focuses on the firm's internal resources and capabilities in creating competitive advantage through outsourcing activities. The relationship can be represented in the functions below:

$PBT = F\ (THRC)$

$PBT = F\ (OC_R + TDC_C)$

where:

PBT = profit before tap

THRC = Total Human Resource Cost

OC_R = Outsourcing Cost (Revenue Expenses)

TDC_C = Cost of training and Development (in house) Capital Expenses)

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The model summary in the table 1 provides a comprehensive assessment of the regression analysis conducted, offering valuable insights into the relationship between the predictor variable (THRC) and the outcome variable. The coefficient of determination (R-squared) reveals that approximately 73.7% of the variability in the outcome variable can be explained by the predictor variable. This suggests a moderately strong relationship between the two variables. However, the adjusted R-squared, which accounts for the number of predictors in the model, indicates that about 48.5% of the variability in the dependent variable is explained after adjusting for degrees of freedom. The standard error of the estimate, a measure of the accuracy of the regression model in predicting the dependent variable, is relatively high at 4.84671 E5, suggesting some level of variability in the predictions. Additionally, the Durbin-Watson statistic, used to detect autocorrelation in the residuals, indicates a potential issue, as its value of 0.867 deviates significantly from the ideal value of 2, suggesting the presence of autocorrelation. Moving on to the ANOVA table, the analysis of variance demonstrates the overall significance of the regression model. The regression row indicates that the model explains a substantial portion of the variability in the dependent variable, as evidenced by the large sum of squares value. However, further investigation into the significance of individual predictor variables is warranted. In the coefficients table, the unstandardized coefficients provide insights into the magnitude and direction of the relationship between each predictor variable and the outcome variable. The standardized coefficients offer a standardized measure of the strength of these relationships. The t-value and associated p-value indicate the statistical significance of each coefficient estimate. The regression model demonstrates a moderately strong relationship between the predictor and outcome variables, potential issues such as autocorrelation need to be addressed for a more robust analysis. Further examination of individual predictor variables' significance is necessary to fully understand their impact on the outcome variable.

The second model summary table 2 provides further insights into the regression analysis conducted, offering additional information about the relationship between the predictor variables (HRCr and HRCc) and the outcome variable. The coefficient of determination (R-squared) indicates that approximately 64.6% of the variability in the outcome variable can be explained by the predictor variables, which represents a moderately strong relationship. The adjusted R-squared, accounting for the number of predictors, suggests that about 54.5% of the variability in the dependent variable is explained after adjusting for degrees of freedom. The standard error of the estimate, measuring the accuracy of the regression model in predicting the dependent variable, is relatively high at 4.55891 E5, indicating some level of variability in the predictions. The Durbin-Watson statistic, used to detect autocorrelation in the residuals, has a value of 0.900, which is closer to the ideal value of 2 compared to the previous model, suggesting a lower likelihood of autocorrelation. Moving on to the ANOVA table, the regression model demonstrates overall statistical significance, as indicated by a significant F-statistic ($F = 6.382$) and a corresponding p-value of 0.026. This suggests that the regression model as a whole is a good fit for the data. In the coefficients table, the unstandardized coefficients provide insights into the magnitude and direction of the relationship between each predictor variable and the outcome variable. The standardized coefficients offer a standardized measure of the strength of these relationships. The t-value and associated p-value indicate the statistical significance of each coefficient estimate. In short, the second regression model also demonstrates a moderately strong relationship between the predictor variables and the outcome variable. The model is statistically significant, suggesting that the predictor variables collectively contribute to explaining the variability in the dependent variable. However, further investigation into the significance of individual predictor variables is warranted to fully understand their impact on the outcome variable.

Table 1: Model Summary-I

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.737[a]	.543	.485	4.84671 E5	.867

ANOVA

Model	Sum of Square	Df	Means Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	2.229E12	1	2.229E12	9.487	0.15[a]
Residual	187E12	8	2.349E11		
Total	4.108E12	9			

Coefficients'

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
	B	Std. Error		T	Sig.
1 (Constant)	-1081034.826	722572.227		-1.496	.173
THRC	1.719	.558	.737	3.080	.015

Table 2: Model Summary-II

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.804[S]	.646	.545	4.55891 E5	.900

AN OVA

Model	Sum of Square	df	Means Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	2.653E12	2	1.326E12	6.382	$.026_a$
Residual	1.455E12	7	2.078E11		
Total	4.108E12	9			

Coefficients

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
	B	Std. Error			
1 (Constant)	-319307.173	1629498.180		-1.962	.091
HRCr	1.318	.595	.552	2.215	.042
HRCc	18.261	1 1.588	.393	1.576	.159

5. CONCLUSIONS

The investigation reveals that outsourcing at Nigeria Brewery Plc has led to increased costs for laundry, cleaning, and security services. However, despite these increased costs, outsourcing has brought several benefits to the company. One of the key advantages is that outsourcing allows the company to focus more on its core functions, potentially leading to improved efficiency and productivity in those areas. Additionally, outsourcing provides access to external skills and knowledge that may not be available within the company, thereby enhancing its capabilities. Moreover, outsourcing has positively impacted Nigeria Brewery Plc in various ways. It has facilitated the standardization of services, leading to consistency and reliability in the services provided. This standardization can contribute to increased effectiveness and efficiency in operations. Furthermore, outsourcing has improved the overall quality of services rendered by bringing in specialized expertise from external service providers. This enhancement in service quality can lead to greater customer satisfaction and loyalty. Additionally, outsourcing initiatives have facilitated capacity building within Nigeria Brewery Plc, allowing the company to leverage external resources and expertise to enhance its internal capabilities. This capacity building can enable the company to adapt to changing market demands and remain competitive in its industry. However, alongside these positive effects, outsourcing also presents some challenges for Nigeria Brewery Plc. These may include issues related to coordination of outsourced tasks, as well as potential loss of control over certain aspects of operations. Addressing these challenges effectively will be essential for maximizing the benefits of outsourcing while mitigating its negative impacts. Certainly, achieving cost reduction benefits through outsourcing is crucial for Nigeria Brewery Plc. One approach to realize these benefits could involve the implementation of guidelines set forth by the federal government to regulate the pricing structures imposed by service providers. These guidelines would help prevent arbitrary charges, ensuring that outsourcing arrangements remain cost-effective for the company. Additionally, Nigeria Brewery Plc should exercise stringent cost control measures over outsourced services to further enhance efficiency and minimize unnecessary expenditures. By closely monitoring costs and expenditures related to outsourcing, the company can optimize its resources and maximize cost savings. Moreover, the decision to outsource should be based on a thorough evaluation of the expected benefits. Nigeria Brewery Plc should prioritize outsourcing projects that offer tangible benefits aligned with its strategic objectives. Adopting a "no benefit, no outsourcing" policy can help the company avoid non-cost-effective outsourcing arrangements and focus on initiatives that provide significant value and cost savings. By implementing these measures, Nigeria Brewery Plc can effectively manage its outsourcing projects, achieve cost reduction goals, and enhance overall performance and competitiveness in the market.

REFERENCES

Amiti, M., & Wei, S. J. (2005). Fear of service outsourcing: is it justified?. *Economic policy*, 20(42), 308-347.

Ang, S., & Straub, D. W. (1998). Production and transaction economies and IS outsourcing: A study of the US banking industry. *MIS quarterly*, 535-552.

Arnold, U. (2000). New dimensions of outsourcing: a combination of transaction cost economics and the core competencies concept. *European journal of purchasing & supply management*, 6(1), 23-29.

Benson J, and Littler C. (2002). Outsourcing and Workforce Reductions: An Empirical Study of Australian Organizations. *Asia Pacific Business Review,* 8 (3), 16-30.

Browning, D. M., Meyer, E. C., Truog, R. D., & Solomon, M. Z. (2007). Difficult conversations in health care: cultivating relational learning to address the hidden curriculum. *Academic Medicine*, 82(9), 905-913.

De Fontenay, C. C., & Gans, J. S. (2008). A bargaining perspective on strategic outsourcing and supply competition. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(8), 819-839.

Espino-Rodríguez, T. F., & Padrón-Robaina, V. (2006). A review of outsourcing from the resource-based view of the firm. *International journal of management reviews*, 8(1), 49-70.

Espino-Rodríguez, T. F., & Padrón-Robaina, V. (2006). A review of outsourcing from the resource-based view of the firm. *International journal of management reviews*, 8(1), 49-70.

Fritsch M, and Willenweber K. (2007). *Film –level Determinants of Business Process Outsourcing decisions, JRMA 2007: Vancouver, Canada..*

Gellrich, T., Hackethal, A., & Holzhäuser, M. (2005). Vertical integration and bank performance. In Wirtschaftsinformatik 2005: eEconomy, eGovernment, eSociety (pp. 547-566). Physica-Verlag HD.

Gilley, K. M., & Rasheed, A. (2000). Making more by doing less: an analysis of outsourcing and its effects on firm performance. *Journal of management*, 26(4), 763-790.

Girma, S., & Görg, H. (2004). Outsourcing, foreign ownership, and productivity: evidence from UK establishment-level data. Review of International Economics, 12(5), 817-832.

Giustiniano, L., Marchegiani, L., Pirolo, L., & Peruffo, E. (2010). National corporate systems and outsourcing decisions: A cross-country analysis. In Strategic Management Conference, 2010.

Gorg H, and Hanley A. (2004). Does Outsourcing Increase Profitability? *The Economic and Social Review,* 35 (3), 267-288.

Gorg H. (2004). Outsourcing, Foreign Ownership, and Productivity: Evidence from UK Establishment Level Data. *Review of International Economics,* 12 (5), 817-832.

Gorzig B. and Stephan A. (2002). *Outsourcing and Firm-Level Performance. Working Paper (9Q).*

Hall J. A, and Liedtkak S.L. (2005). Financial Performance, CEO Compensation, and Large-Scale Information Technology Outsourcing Decisions. *Journal of Management Information Systems,* 22 (1), 193-221.

Jiang B, Frazier G.V, and Prater E.L. (2006). Outsourcing Effects on firms' Operational Performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 26 (12), 1280-1300.*

Jones, R. W., & Kierzkowski, H. (2004). 10 globalization and the consequences of international fragmentation. money, capital mobility, and Trade: Essays in Honor of Robert A. mundell, 365.

Kotabe M, Murray J.Y, and Javalgi R.G. (1998). Global Sourcing of Services and Market Performance: An Empirical Investigation. *Journal of International Marketing,* 6 (4), 10-31.

Lacity M.C. and Hirschheim R. (1993). The Information Systems Outsourcing Bandwagon. *Sloan management Review,* 35 (1), 73-86.

Lei, D., & Hitt, M. A. (1995). Strategic restructuring and outsourcing: The effect of mergers and acquisitions and LBOs on building firm skills and capabilities. *Journal of management*, 21(5), 835-859.

Rasheed A. (2000). Making More by doing Less: An Analysis of Outsourcing and its effects on firm Performance. *Journal of Management,* 26 (4), 763-790.

Reitzig, M., & Wagner, S. (2010). The hidden costs of outsourcing: Evidence from patent data. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(11), 1183-1201.

Rothaermel, F. T., Hitt, M. A., & Jobe, L. A. (2006). Balancing vertical integration and strategic outsourcing: effects on product portfolio, product success, and firm performance. *Strategic management journal*, 27(11), 1033-1056.

Wang, Z. & Ahmad, R. (2015). Impact of Working Capital Management on Firm Profitability: Evidence from Pakistan's Textile Sector. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *2*(2), 55-61.

Hussain, A. (2014). Banking Privatization and Profitability in Pakistan: A Time Series Analysis. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *1*(1), 30-37.

Sossounov, K. & Kolenikov, S. (2023). Navigating Inequality: Challenges of Highly Profitable Industries in Russia. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *10*(2).