

Journal of Energy & Environmental Policy Options



Analyzing Carbon Emissions and Trade-Related Impacts on Global Emission Levels

Martin Weber^a

Abstract

Carbon emissions represent a global challenge, one that cannot be effectively addressed by the actions of individual countries alone. The purpose of this paper is to provide an in-depth analysis of Austria's actual Carbon emissions, as well as to examine the impact of Austria's trade on Carbon emissions in other countries, both within the European Union and globally. This study focuses on a group of countries that are among the world's largest Carbon emitters, including the majority of EU member states. To obtain accurate Carbon emission data, the study employs an actual emission factor that considers the transfer of Carbon embedded in exported and imported products and services. This approach allows for a more precise measurement of a country's real Carbon emissions, taking into account the global nature of trade and its impact on emission levels. The findings reveal that Austria's real Carbon emissions are significantly lower than the gross values typically reported, which do not account for the Carbon transfers associated with international trade. This discrepancy highlights the importance of considering trade-related emissions when evaluating a country's environmental impact. Furthermore, the study underscores that isolated actions by individual EU member states are insufficient to achieve the broader regional and global objectives of Carbon emission reduction. The approach presented in this paper has significant implications for the European Union's energy policy objectives, suggesting that a more integrated and cooperative approach is necessary to make meaningful progress in reducing Carbon emissions on a global scale. By understanding the true scope of Carbon emissions, both in terms of national contributions and the global trade system, policymakers can better align their strategies with the overarching goals of sustainability and climate change mitigation.

Keywords: Carbon Emissions, International Trade, Environmental Impact, Emission Accounting

JEL Codes: Q54, F18, Q56

1. INTRODUCTION

Living in a globalized world means that the actions and policies of individual countries can directly or indirectly affect others, creating interconnectedness across economic, social, and environmental spheres. However, this interconnectedness does not imply that all countries operate uniformly or adhere to the same rules and standards. Each country has its unique economic structure, cultural values, political systems, and regulatory frameworks, which influence how it engages with the global community and responds to international challenges. While globalization promotes integration and mutual influence, disparities in economic development, governance, and cultural norms mean that countries may prioritize different objectives or adopt varying approaches to common issues such as trade, environmental protection, and social policies. For instance, some nations may emphasize economic growth over environmental sustainability, while others may prioritize social welfare or uphold stricter labor standards. This diversity in approaches can lead to a range of outcomes when global issues arise. For example, international trade policies may vary widely, with some countries advocating for free trade agreements, while others implement protective tariffs to safeguard domestic industries. Similarly, in addressing climate change, some nations may commit to ambitious carbon reduction targets, while others may focus on balancing environmental measures with economic growth.

Therefore, while global interdependence encourages collaboration and the exchange of ideas, it also highlights the importance of understanding the specific circumstances, priorities, and rules governing each country's actions. Recognizing these differences is crucial for developing effective international policies and fostering cooperation that respects the unique characteristics of each nation while addressing shared global challenges. Efforts by individual countries to reduce global CO₂ emissions in the energy sector often entail significant costs, including investments in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and shifts away from fossil fuel-based sources. Despite these efforts, the intended effects of significant global CO₂ reductions are not always achieved. Several factors contribute to this challenge. One major issue is carbon leakage, where industries relocate from countries with strict environmental regulations to those with less stringent rules, effectively "exporting" emissions. This undermines the global impact of ambitious climate policies in some regions. Additionally, the uneven participation in climate efforts across countries creates discrepancies. While some countries aggressively pursue emission reduction targets, others continue to increase their emissions, which can offset the progress made by more proactive nations.

Rising energy demand in rapidly developing economies also poses a significant challenge, as many of these countries still rely heavily on fossil fuels. This reliance can cause global emissions to grow or remain stable, even if individual

^a Abteilung Wirtschaftswissenschaft und Statistik, Kammer für Arbeiter und Angestellte für Wien, Wien, Austria

nations reduce their own emissions. Furthermore, there is often a time lag in emission reductions due to the complexities of transitioning to low-carbon energy sources. Investments in renewable infrastructure, for example, may take years to manifest as actual emission reductions, given the lengthy planning and deployment phases involved. Another factor is the rebound effect, where improvements in energy efficiency lead to increased energy consumption. When energy use becomes less costly due to efficiency gains, it can result in higher overall consumption, thus undermining the anticipated reduction in emissions. Global economic dynamics also play a role, with economic growth in emerging markets often driving up energy use and emissions, offsetting reductions achieved in other regions. While individual countries' efforts are crucial in the fight against climate change, achieving effective global CO₂ reductions requires coordinated international policies and agreements. It is essential to ensure comprehensive participation and address systemic factors that contribute to global emissions. The study aims to highlight the limitations of individual countries' efforts in addressing CO₂ emissions, emphasizing that while some nations are making significant strides in reducing their emissions, the lack of a unified global approach diminishes the overall impact. Since CO₂ emissions are a global problem, the actions of a few countries alone are insufficient to make substantial progress in reducing global emissions. The issue lies in the interconnected nature of the atmosphere, where emissions from any part of the world contribute to the global concentration of greenhouse gases, affecting the planet as a whole.

This raises a fundamental question: should all countries be equally engaged in efforts to tackle climate change through a coordinated approach? The idea is that global solutions should involve all nations, introducing measures that require a similar commitment to CO₂ reduction across the board. Such an approach would ensure that efforts are not isolated or piecemeal, but instead integrated, allowing for collective action to achieve more substantial results. A more uniform and binding international policy framework could help avoid issues like carbon leakage, where emissions reductions in one country are offset by increases elsewhere. For example, introducing globally aligned carbon pricing mechanisms, emission trading systems, or carbon taxes could create a level playing field, encouraging all countries to participate actively. This would help ensure that countries with lower environmental regulations do not attract industries seeking to avoid stricter regulations elsewhere. Additionally, global cooperation could facilitate technology transfer and financial support to developing countries, enabling them to adopt cleaner energy sources and improve energy efficiency. By collectively engaging in climate action, nations could better share the economic burden, spread the benefits of technological advancements, and ensure that efforts to combat climate change are not undermined by lack of participation from certain regions. Ultimately, for global CO₂ reduction efforts to be effective, it is essential to foster a more inclusive and coordinated approach, where all countries are part of the solution, contributing to meaningful and lasting progress in mitigating climate change.

The European Union (EU) and Austria hold significant positions in international trade, with Austria ranked 19th in global exports and imports in 2016. This illustrates Austria's active engagement in the global economy and highlights the reciprocal nature of its economic relationships with other countries. As a result, Austria's economic stability and growth are interconnected with the economic conditions and trade activities of its international partners. The country's reliance on international markets for both exports and imports signifies a mutual dependency, where Austria depends on other countries for goods, services, and raw materials, while those countries, in turn, rely on Austria for trade opportunities and products. This dynamic is a characteristic feature of highly integrated economies, particularly within the EU, where member states benefit from the free movement of goods, services, and capital. Austria's trade relationships thus play a critical role in its economic development, influencing factors such as industrial production, employment, and overall economic growth. The mutual dependence inherent in these trade connections also means that global economic trends, such as shifts in demand or disruptions in the supply chain, can have a considerable impact on Austria's economy.

Moreover, Austria's position within the EU provides additional advantages, such as access to a larger market, standardized regulations, and shared economic policies, which facilitate smoother trade operations and help the country maintain its competitive edge on the global stage. The actions taken by the European Union (EU) and Austria inherently carry international significance, as both play influential roles on the global stage. As a key economy within the EU, Austria contributes to shaping and implementing policies that extend beyond its borders, setting an example for other countries. The EU, with Austria's active participation, can leverage its collective economic power, regulatory frameworks, and political influence to encourage other nations to adopt similar solutions. The EU's policies, especially in areas like environmental sustainability, trade regulations, and digital transformation, often set benchmarks that other countries may follow. For instance, the EU's ambitious climate targets, such as the Green Deal, aim to make Europe the first climate-neutral continent by 2050. Austria, as an environmentally conscious member state, actively supports these initiatives, driving national and regional policies that align with EU goals. By showcasing successful implementation, Austria and other EU members can influence global climate policy, motivating non-EU countries to adopt comparable measures.

Furthermore, the EU and Austria can achieve significant international influence through the use of relevant instruments such as trade agreements, diplomatic efforts, and economic incentives. Trade policies that emphasize sustainable practices, for example, can promote eco-friendly standards globally by setting conditions that trading partners must meet. Diplomatic engagement and foreign aid can be directed towards encouraging sustainable development practices in other regions. Through collaborative action, the EU, with Austria as a driving force, can harness its international role to foster policy alignment across different nations, enhancing the global impact of its initiatives in various areas such as climate action, human rights, and technological standards. The primary aim of this paper is to highlight the actual CO₂ emissions

in Austria, as part of the European Union, while considering the influence of trade with its main trading partners. It focuses not just on the gross value of emissions, but rather on the real volume, taking into account the transfer of CO₂ embedded in the export and import of products and services. A significant aspect of this analysis is to demonstrate that isolated measures, such as reforms in Austria's energy sector, will not achieve the desired outcomes unless there is a comparable level of commitment from other EU member states and major global economies.

The paper emphasizes the interconnected nature of international trade and environmental policies, where emissions are not confined to national borders but are instead transferred through the global movement of goods and services. Thus, Austria's efforts to reduce CO₂ emissions might be undermined by higher emissions in countries where its imports originate or by trading partners that do not follow similarly stringent environmental standards. The analysis aims to provide a more comprehensive view of Austria's carbon footprint by considering not just the emissions generated within the country, but also those associated with its international trade. By doing so, it stresses the need for a coordinated approach among EU countries and major global economies to effectively tackle climate change. Without similar levels of commitment and action, individual efforts may be insufficient to meet global climate targets and could potentially lead to carbon leakage, where emissions are simply shifted to countries with less stringent regulations.

2. DISCUSSION

The core objective of the European energy policy is to attain the "3 × 20%" targets by 2020. This strategy includes three primary goals: reducing CO₂ emissions by 20% compared to 1990 levels, increasing the share of renewable energy sources to 20% of total energy consumption, and enhancing energy efficiency by 20% relative to 1990. These targets aim to combat climate change, promote sustainable energy practices, and reduce dependency on fossil fuels. Achieving these goals is expected to drive significant transformation in the energy sector, encouraging the adoption of cleaner technologies and the development of renewable energy industries, such as wind, solar, and bioenergy. Additionally, improvements in energy efficiency across various sectors—transport, buildings, and industry—are essential to reduce energy consumption and lower greenhouse gas emissions. The "3 × 20%" initiative is designed to set a strong example for international climate action, urging other regions and countries to adopt similar ambitious targets. It reflects the EU's commitment to leading global efforts in mitigating climate change and fostering sustainable economic growth. However, realizing these targets requires coordinated policies, substantial investments, and technological advancements to ensure a successful transition toward a low-carbon economy.

It is important to emphasize that the "3 × 20%" targets are closely interconnected, meaning progress in one area can significantly influence outcomes in others. For instance, improving energy efficiency directly contributes to reducing CO₂ emissions by lowering overall energy consumption. When less energy is used, especially if sourced from fossil fuels, it results in fewer emissions. This makes energy efficiency an essential component of any strategy aimed at reducing greenhouse gases. Similarly, increasing the share of renewable energy sources such as wind, solar, or hydropower in the energy mix reduces the reliance on carbon-intensive fossil fuels. As renewables produce little to no direct CO₂ emissions during energy generation, their increased use substantially lowers the carbon footprint of the energy sector. The integration of renewables can thus accelerate progress toward meeting the emission reduction targets. Conversely, the effort to reduce CO₂ emissions can prompt policy shifts or adjustments in other energy policy objectives. For example, stricter emission reduction targets might push for more aggressive adoption of renewable energy technologies or demand even greater improvements in energy efficiency. The interconnected nature of these aims underscores the need for a holistic approach in the EU's energy policy, ensuring that progress in one area supports overall climate and energy goals rather than causing unintended trade-offs.

The EU's commitment to reducing CO₂ emissions by 20% relative to 1990 levels underscores its prioritization of climate change mitigation. This target is part of the broader EU energy policy, which aims to transition toward a low-carbon economy and reduce the environmental impact of energy production and consumption. Austria, as an EU member, is also aligned with this target, implementing national measures to achieve the common goal. However, the challenge lies in the EU's limited ability to compel non-EU countries to adopt similar emission reduction commitments. The EU can encourage other nations to follow suit through diplomatic efforts, international agreements, and trade incentives, but ultimately, it lacks the authority to enforce such measures globally. As CO₂ emissions are a worldwide issue, isolated efforts by the EU will have a limited impact unless other major economies adopt comparable policies. The EU's strategy for influencing global climate policy relies on setting an example through its ambitious targets and demonstrating that economic growth can coexist with emission reductions. By leading in renewable energy adoption, energy efficiency improvements, and sustainable development, the EU aims to inspire other countries to take similar actions. However, without broader international cooperation, the overall effect on global CO₂ levels may be constrained, highlighting the need for stronger global agreements like the Paris Agreement to ensure widespread commitment to climate action.

Gross CO₂ emissions represent the total volume of CO₂ released by a country's economic activities, encompassing industries, transportation, energy production, and other sectors. For Austria and its 63 trade partners, the emissions data reveals significant disparities, with some countries contributing far more to global CO₂ levels than others. In 2015, the largest emitters of CO₂ were China, the United States, India, Russia, and Japan, accounting for a substantial share of global emissions. Together, these countries represented the primary sources of CO₂ pollution worldwide, driven largely by their industrial activities, energy consumption, and population sizes. The European Union collectively accounted for 10.17% of global CO₂ emissions during this period, positioning it as the third-largest emitter when viewed as a single entity. Austria, while much smaller in terms of absolute emissions, ranked twenty-first globally. This relatively lower

ranking reflects Austria's smaller economy and population compared to the largest emitters. However, even though Austria's emissions are lower, the country still plays a role in the global carbon footprint, particularly when trade-related CO₂ emissions (embedded in imports and exports) are considered. These rankings highlight the need for collective global efforts to reduce CO₂ emissions. While the EU and Austria are implementing policies to curb their emissions, achieving meaningful global reductions will require significant participation from the largest emitters, as well as a comprehensive approach that accounts for trade-related carbon transfers. If the EU energy policy guidelines for CO₂ reduction had been uniformly applied to all of Austria's trade partners, only 17 out of the 63 countries analyzed would have met the targets for reducing CO₂ emissions by 2015. This suggests that most countries, including some of Austria's significant trading partners, would not have reached the objectives set out in the EU's ambitious plan. Austria itself is among the countries that would have achieved the reduction targets, indicating that it has made substantial progress in curbing its emissions. However, the emissions considered here represent total CO₂ emissions from all sectors, not just those originating from power generation. This broader view includes emissions from various sources such as transportation, industry, and residential energy use. The finding highlights the uneven progress made across different countries in terms of CO₂ reduction. It also underscores the challenge of achieving global emission targets, as success in some countries is offset by continued high emissions in others. This reinforces the need for more coordinated international efforts to ensure that CO₂ reduction policies are implemented more widely, thereby amplifying the impact of individual countries' initiatives like those of Austria and the EU.

The actual CO₂ emissions in Austria during 2015 differed notably from the gross emissions figures. Austria managed to export 110.50 million tons (MT) of CO₂ through goods and services while importing 78.44 MT of CO₂ from its trade partners. This resulted in a net positive CO₂ balance for Austria, as the country effectively offset its gross emissions by 32.06 MT. Consequently, the actual CO₂ emissions in Austria amounted to 263.78 MT for that year. Compared to 1990 levels, the actual CO₂ emissions in Austria in 2015 represented 70.52%, showing a substantial reduction. This reduction indicates that Austria successfully met the EU's target of a 20% decrease in emissions by 2015. This achievement reflects not only Austria's progress in reducing domestic emissions but also the impact of international trade on the overall CO₂ balance, highlighting the complexity of global emission accounting. The net CO₂ emissions related to Austria's trade partners, focusing solely on exchanges with Austria, show distinct patterns. In 2015, the main net exporters of CO₂ to Austria included China, Russia, Ukraine, India, and Vietnam. These countries contributed significantly to the CO₂ footprint associated with imported goods and services into Austria.

On the other hand, within the European Union, countries such as Germany, the United Kingdom, France, Italy, and the Czech Republic were the main recipients of Austria's net export of CO₂ in 2015. When considering only the EU countries analyzed, Austria's CO₂ balance was favorable, with a net positive balance amounting to -57.89 MT of CO₂. This implies that Austria's exports to these EU countries effectively transferred more CO₂ emissions abroad than it imported, contributing to a lower actual emission footprint domestically. This highlights Austria's progress in maintaining a lower net carbon footprint through its international trade relations, particularly within the EU. However, it also reflects the global nature of emissions accounting, where CO₂ emissions are essentially outsourced through the import and export of goods and services. The efforts made by Austria and certain EU member states in implementing their own energy policies may indeed be viewed as insufficient, especially when considering the global nature of CO₂ emissions. Although their effectiveness within the EU has been gradually increasing, suggesting progress towards achieving the EU energy policy objectives, this effectiveness remains confined to the EU's borders.

A contributing factor is that the EU's energy policy is not universally regarded as a comprehensive sustainable development policy. It is often associated with high costs, which can discourage broader adoption or more ambitious targets outside of the EU. The limited scope of the EU energy policy highlights the need for stronger international cooperation and more inclusive global agreements on emissions reductions. The ineffectiveness of certain international agreements, such as the Kyoto Protocol, in achieving substantial global CO₂ reductions further underscores this point. Despite setting binding targets for industrialized countries, these agreements have often failed to bring about significant reductions in emissions, largely due to non-participation or lack of enforcement mechanisms for major emitters outside the EU. As a result, the emissions reduction efforts led by the EU and individual member states may not significantly impact global CO₂ levels without more robust international collaboration. The limitations of the current policy become evident when actual CO₂ emissions are considered. While statistical data may show some progress, the reality highlights the weaknesses of isolated actions in addressing the global nature of the CO₂ emissions problem. The efforts made by individual countries or regions, such as Austria and the EU, may appear effective within their own territories, but the overall impact on global emissions is minimal. CO₂ emissions do not adhere to national boundaries; hence, unilateral or region-specific policies may reduce local emissions but fail to make a substantial difference globally. The benefits of these isolated actions are often less significant than statistics suggest, as reductions in one area may be offset by increases in another, especially in countries not bound by strict emissions targets. This situation underscores the need for comprehensive, coordinated international strategies that address emissions reduction on a global scale, ensuring that all major emitters are engaged in meaningful efforts to combat climate change.

3. CONCLUSION

Austria may not be seen as a global leader in the fight against climate change on its own, but as part of the European Union, it contributes to the EU's reputation as a leader in the battle against global warming, clean energy promotion, and

CO₂ emissions reduction. The EU's proactive policies and ambitious targets set it apart in the international arena as a region committed to environmental sustainability. However, these efforts often remain limited to EU member states, leading to isolated impacts rather than global-scale change. This isolation results in situations where even countries like Poland, which are closely interconnected with other economies through trade, struggle to effect significant change in emissions outcomes. Despite being part of the EU's shared environmental goals, Poland's reliance on carbon-intensive energy sources and economic factors can limit the effectiveness of the EU's broader strategy. For meaningful global progress, there is a need for more comprehensive international collaboration beyond regional initiatives to ensure that climate actions lead to substantive reductions in global emissions.

The EU, along with Austria as one of its prominent member states, holds a strong position in global trade, ranking 19th in international trade and as the 19th largest economy in 2016. This influential position presents an opportunity for the EU and Austria to leverage their trade relationships to achieve energy policy goals, especially in reducing CO₂ emissions. Trade flows involve significant amounts of "hidden" CO₂, embedded in the goods and services imported into Austria and other EU countries. For Austria, the impact of these imported CO₂ emissions on actual domestic emissions is not as disadvantageous as it is for some other EU member states. In many countries within the EU, however, the import of CO₂-intensive products adds to their carbon footprint, offsetting some of the progress made in domestic emissions reductions. This highlights the importance of considering the global carbon balance when formulating energy and trade policies. Addressing the hidden emissions in trade could help ensure that efforts to reduce CO₂ emissions within the EU do not simply transfer the emissions burden to trading partners. Consequently, Austria successfully met its CO₂ reduction targets in line with its energy policy by 2015. However, the research findings underscore that CO₂ emissions represent a global challenge, and actions taken by individual countries or even regional entities like the EU are insufficient to alter the overall trends significantly.

The problem of global emissions requires a coordinated international effort. Therefore, it is crucial for the EU to contemplate the introduction of new mechanisms that would incentivize non-EU countries to undertake effective measures for CO₂ reduction. Such instruments could include trade-related incentives or penalties, technological cooperation, or financial assistance programs aimed at supporting sustainable energy transitions in developing nations. By encouraging broader participation in emissions reduction efforts, the EU can help ensure that global climate goals are met more effectively. Introducing an ecology-energy tax could be a strategic tool for the EU to push for global CO₂ reduction. The proposed tax would apply to all trade partners, either individual countries or regional groups, based on the total volume of exports to the EU. This tax would create an economic incentive for trading partners to adopt cleaner production practices, as countries exporting goods to the EU would need to meet certain environmental standards or face financial penalties. The ecology-energy tax would encourage countries to lower the carbon footprint of their exported products, aligning their energy policies with more sustainable practices. It could also generate revenue that the EU could reinvest in green technologies, renewable energy projects, or climate adaptation programs, both within and outside Europe, thereby supporting global sustainability efforts. However, implementing such a tax would require careful consideration to avoid trade disputes or conflicts with international trade agreements. The EU would need to ensure that the tax is compliant with World Trade Organization (WTO) rules, potentially by framing it as a border adjustment mechanism that levels the playing field for EU businesses already facing stringent environmental regulations.

REFERENCES

- Bielecki, S., Zalewski, P., & Fortuński, B. (2016). *Wybrane Problemy zarządzania energetyką*. Warszawa: Texter.
- Bogrocz-Koczwara, M., & Herlender, K. (2008). Bezpieczeństwo energetyczne a rozwój odnawialnych energii. *Energetyka*, 3.
- Fortuński, B. (2012). „Wyniki” proekologicznego podejścia do energetyki w Unii Europejskiej w oparciu o model EFQM. In Borys, T., & Rogala, P. (Eds.), *Orientacja na wyniki we współczesnej gospodarce*, 265, 113-125. Wrocław: Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego we Wrocławiu.
- Fortuński, B. (2013). Wyzwania i problemy zrównoważonego rozwoju w energetyce światowej w kontekście polityki energetycznej UE. In Kryk, B. (Ed.), *Handel wewnątrzny*, 6, 299-309. Warszawa: IBRKK.
- Fortuński, B. (2013a). Wykorzystanie wybranych surowców energetycznych w kontekście polityki energetycznej Unii Europejskiej. In Graczyk, A. (Ed.), *Efektywne gospodarowanie zasobami przyrodniczymi i energią*, 317, 13-22. Wrocław: Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego we Wrocławiu.
- Fortuński, B. (2016). Polityka energetyczna Unii Europejskiej – 3x20. Diagnoza i perspektywy w kontekście zrównoważonego rozwoju. In Becla, A., & Kociszewski, K. (Eds.), *Ekonomia środowiska i polityka ekologiczna*, 453, 179-189. Wrocław: Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego we Wrocławiu.
- Fortuński, B. (2016a). Wpływ handlu zagranicznego Unii Europejskiej na rzeczywistą emisję CO₂. In Michalczyk, W. (Ed.), *Ekonomia XXI Wieku*, 3(11), 109-120. Wrocław: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego we Wrocławiu.
- Fortuński, B. (2016b). Globalna sprawiedliwość a polityka energetyczna Unii Europejskiej. In Janikowska, O., & Słodczyk, J. (Eds.), *Globalna sprawiedliwość*, 163-183. Opole: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Opolskiego.
- IEA (2016). *CO₂ Emissions From Fuel Combustion Highlights (2015 Edition)*. Paris: OECD/IEA.
- Kaczmarowski, M. (2010). *Bezpieczeństwo energetyczne Unii Europejskiej*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwa Akademickie i Profesjonalne.

- Kryk, B. (2012). Kontrowersje polskiej polityki energetycznej w kontekście realizacji wymogów unijnych. *Ekonomia i Prawo*, XI, 4, 151–166.
- Kryk, B. (2012a). Wzrost efektywności energetycznej – wyzwanie inwestycyjne dla polskiego sektora energetycznego. In Dymek, Ł., & Bedrunka, K. (Eds.), *Kapitał ludzki i społeczny w rozwoju regionalnym*, I. Opole: Politechnika Opolska.