Journal of Business and Economic Options

Currency Integration and Bilateral Trade: Evidence from the Eurozone

Fabrizia Mealli[1]

Abstract

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the trade effects of adopting the euro within the European Economic and Monetary Union (EMU). By employing the gravity model of international trade, the study sheds light on how the common currency impacts bilateral trade and exports among EMU member countries. The estimated results reveal a significant positive effect of the euro on bilateral trade within the EMU. Specifically, the study finds that when both trading partners within the EMU use the euro as their currency, bilateral trade increases by approximately 12%. This suggests that the adoption of the euro facilitates trade integration among EMU member countries, leading to expanded economic exchange and collaboration. Moreover, the study highlights the positive impact of the euro on exports from EMU economies. The findings indicate that EMU countries experience an increase in exports of around 7% when trading with other EMU members using the common currency. This underscores the role of the euro in enhancing export competitiveness and facilitating market access for EMU exporters within the monetary union. The implications of these findings are particularly significant for countries considering joining the eurozone. The observed trade effects provide valuable policy insights for prospective eurozone members, suggesting that adopting the euro can potentially stimulate trade and enhance economic cooperation with existing EMU members. This underscores the importance of carefully assessing the economic implications and benefits of euro adoption for aspiring member countries. This study contributes to our understanding of the economic implications of currency unions, particularly within the context of the EMU. By highlighting the positive trade effects of the euro on EMU countries, the findings offer important policy implications for both existing and prospective eurozone members, emphasizing the role of currency integration in fostering economic growth and development within the European Union.

Keywords: Euro Adoption, European Economic and Monetary Union, Bilateral Trade, Export Competitiveness
JEL Codes: F15, F33, E42

1. INTRODUCTION

The Eurozone crisis of 2009-2011 indeed sparked significant debate and soul-searching across Europe regarding the viability and sustainability of the currency union. The crisis exposed structural weaknesses within the Eurozone, including divergent economic performances among member states, fiscal imbalances, and inadequate mechanisms for crisis management and resolution. In the aftermath of the crisis, some voices within Europe argued for the need to reassess the membership of certain economies in the Eurozone. Countries facing acute structural problems, such as high public debt, sluggish growth, and competitiveness challenges, were questioned about their ability to thrive within the single currency framework. This led to discussions about the possibility of countries either exiting the Eurozone or forming separate currency unions that better suited their economic conditions. Proponents of Eurozone disintegration or restructuring argued that allowing struggling economies to exit the currency union could alleviate some of the pressures and constraints they faced. By reintroducing their national currencies, these countries would regain control over monetary policy and exchange rates, potentially enabling them to pursue policies tailored to their specific economic needs. Additionally, it was suggested that a smaller, more homogenous currency union could be more resilient and better equipped to manage economic shocks.

However, the prospect of Eurozone disintegration also raised concerns about its potential consequences. Critics warned of the economic, financial, and political risks associated with such a move. Exiting the Eurozone could lead to currency devaluation, capital flight, financial instability, and disruption of trade and investment flows. Moreover, it could undermine confidence in the euro and the European project as a whole, threatening the integrity and cohesion of the European Union. Ultimately, the decision to remain in or leave the Eurozone is complex and multifaceted, with economic, political, and social implications that vary from country to country. While some Eurozone members faced intense pressure during the crisis, concerted efforts were made to strengthen the currency union through reforms aimed at enhancing fiscal discipline, improving economic governance, and deepening integration. Moving forward, continued efforts to address structural challenges and promote economic convergence are essential to ensuring the long-term viability and stability of the Eurozone.

Studying the impact of common currency areas, such as the European Economic and Monetary Union (EMU), on bilateral trade and exports is a crucial endeavor, particularly during times of economic uncertainty and speculation about the

[1] Dipartimento di Statistica, Informatica, Applicazioni, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

disintegration of currency unions like the Eurozone. The establishment of a common currency area brings about significant changes in the economic landscape of participating countries, with potential implications for trade patterns, export dynamics, and overall economic integration. One significant factor to consider is the stability of exchange rates within the common currency area. By adopting a single currency, member countries eliminate exchange rate volatility among themselves. This stability can foster increased trade flows and facilitate long-term trade relationships as businesses no longer need to contend with currency fluctuations or exchange rate risk in their trade with other member states. Furthermore, the adoption of a common currency simplifies pricing mechanisms and reduces transaction costs, leading to greater price transparency and market integration within the currency area. This can stimulate trade and encourage firms to expand their export activities by enabling easier comparison of prices across borders and facilitating cross-border transactions without the need to hedge against currency fluctuations. Another potential benefit of common currency areas is the reduction of trade barriers. The elimination of currency conversion costs and exchange rate uncertainty can act as a catalyst for trade liberalization and the removal of non-tariff barriers within the common currency area. With fewer obstacles to cross-border trade, businesses may find it easier to access new markets and increase their export activities. However, despite these potential benefits, challenges exist, including asymmetric shocks, divergent economic performances among member states, and limited flexibility in monetary policy. Additionally, empirical studies examining the impact of currency unions on bilateral trade and exports have produced mixed findings, highlighting the complexity of the relationship and the importance of considering other factors such as economic integration and institutional quality. The extensive literature on the impact of common currency on trade underscores the significant influence of economic and financial ties among member countries. Studies such as Glick and Rose (2002) have provided empirical evidence suggesting a substantial increase in bilateral trade between countries sharing a common currency. Specifically, their research estimates that the adoption of a common currency leads to a nearly doubling in the volume of trade between the participating nations.

Similarly, research conducted by Micco et al. (2003) offers further insights into the trade-enhancing effects of common currency arrangements. Their findings indicate that pairs of countries that have adopted the euro, the common currency of the Eurozone, have experienced notable increases in trade volumes, ranging from approximately 4% to 16%. These findings highlight the tangible benefits of currency unions in fostering trade integration among member states. The empirical evidence provided by studies such as those by Glick and Rose (2002) and Micco et al. (2003) underscores the positive relationship between common currency adoption and bilateral trade expansion. By reducing transaction costs, eliminating exchange rate uncertainty, and promoting greater price transparency, common currency arrangements play a crucial role in facilitating trade flows and enhancing economic cooperation among participating countries.

De Nardis et al. (2008) conducted a study that delved into the effects of the euro on export flows across various industrial sectors. Their research revealed that while there was a positive impact of the euro on export flows, this effect was not uniform across all sectors. Instead, they found that certain industrial sectors experienced more pronounced benefits from the adoption of the euro, while others may have seen less significant effects or even no discernible impact. This nuanced finding suggests that the influence of the euro on export dynamics is contingent upon the specific characteristics and dynamics of individual industrial sectors. Factors such as sectoral competitiveness, trade patterns, and market structures likely play a role in shaping the extent to which the euro influences export flows within each sector. The study by De Nardis et al. (2008) highlights the importance of considering sectoral differences when assessing the impact of currency unions on trade dynamics. While the euro may have a positive overall effect on export flows, its effects may vary across different sectors of the economy, reflecting the complex interplay of factors at play in international trade.

Cieslik et al. (2012) arrived at a conclusion that the adoption of the euro leads to trade expansion for the Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries. This finding aligns with the broader theory of Optimum Currency Areas (OCA), which posits that countries sharing a common currency will experience increased trade integration due to reduced transaction costs. The theory of OCA suggests that by eliminating currency conversion and exchange rate fluctuations, a common currency facilitates smoother trade transactions and reduces the associated costs and uncertainties. As a result, countries within the currency union are expected to engage in more extensive trade relations with one another, leading to greater economic integration and cooperation. The observed trade expansion among CEE countries following the adoption of the euro lends empirical support to the OCA theory. It underscores the notion that common currencies can act as catalysts for deepening economic ties and fostering trade flows among member states, thereby contributing to regional economic integration and stability. The European Monetary Union (EMU) project, leading to the formation of the euro, was indeed motivated by the expectation that a single currency would enhance economic integration and trade among member states, as outlined in the European Commission's 1990 report. However, there remains a lack of consensus among economists regarding the true impact of adopting a common currency. While proponents argue that the permanent fixing of exchange rates under a single currency can reduce export costs and mitigate exchange rate risks, skeptics point out uncertainties regarding the extent of these benefits. The 1993 Economic Report of the President, for example, highlights such uncertainties, suggesting that the full extent of the advantages of a single currency remains unclear.

Nevertheless, it is acknowledged that EMU economies had already undergone significant integration prior to the adoption of the euro. This implies that the costs associated with transitioning to a single currency were relatively low for these economies. Moreover, the anticipated benefits, including lower export costs and reduced exchange rate volatility, incentivized EMU economies to diversify their export offerings and open up their markets further. In essence, while there may be differing

opinions on the precise impact of adopting a single currency, it is evident that the EMU project aimed to capitalize on the potential benefits of increased economic integration and reduced trade barriers among member states. The argument regarding the mixed outcomes of euro adoption, encompassing both benefits and costs for countries relinquishing their currencies, has become increasingly apparent over time. Additionally, significant advancements have been made in empirical methodologies, prompting a reevaluation of the extent of trade integration resulting from the adoption of a single currency. In our study, we aim to assess the impact of euro adoption on bilateral trade and exports, leveraging a panel dataset spanning European economies over an extended period. To ensure robust analysis, we employ a more suitable methodology, utilizing the gravity model of international trade for our estimation. This approach allows us to delve deeper into the nuanced effects of euro adoption on trade dynamics among member states, providing insights into the broader implications of currency union on economic integration and market interactions. By refining our analytical framework and drawing on comprehensive data, we seek to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between currency union and trade patterns within the Eurozone.

2. MEASUREMENTS AND DATA SOURCES

The scope of our study is confined to the European Union (EU), encompassing a sample of 29 countries, which includes the 17 nations within the Eurozone. These countries are Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom, and Iceland. Our analysis spans the period from 1994 to 2011, with 1994 chosen as the starting point in alignment with previous research. This timeframe enables us to capture significant trends and fluctuations in trade dynamics over nearly two decades. Considering the permutations of 29 countries into country pairs, we have a total of 812 (29*28) bilateral trade flows, serving as our cross-sectional units for analysis. The dataset comprises a total of 14,185 observations. Data on exports and imports, measured in current US dollars at prevailing exchange rates, have been sourced from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) Direction of Trade Statistics (DOTS). This comprehensive dataset provides the necessary foundation for our empirical analysis, facilitating a thorough examination of the impact of euro adoption on bilateral trade within the EU.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 1: Coefficient Estimates of the Gravity Equation (HT Estimator)

Variable	HT_Trade		HT_Exports	
PCGDPI	0.800***	(0.015)	0.839***	(0.021)
PCGDPII	0.673***	(0.015)	0.694***	(0.021)
PCLANDI	-0.278***	(0.094)	-0.086	(0.125)
PCLANDII	-0.658***	(0.094)	-0.715***	(0.126)
CUI	0.133***	(0.015)	0.134***	(0.021)
CUII	0.173***	(0.015)	0.166***	(0.020)
CU	0.116***	(0.017)	0.068***	(0.024)
ERV	0.603***	(0.226)	1.340***	(0.305)
DISTW	-1.780***	(0.143)	-1.890***	(0.157)
CNTGTY	1.280***	(0.288)	1.330***	(0.314)
COMLANGO	-1.850***	(0.476)	-1.930***	(0.518)
COMLANGE	1.270***	(0.467)	1.330***	(0.508)
LANDLKDI		--	--	--
LANDLKDII	-0.067	(0.228)	-0.679***	(0.247)
LANDLKD	-0.800***	(0.170)	-0.530***	(0.185)
NumCUI	0.064***	(0.014)	0.090***	(0.015)
NumCUII	0.071***	(0.014)	0.058***	(0.015)
MinCU	0.123***	(0.015)	0.133***	(0.016)
_cons	17.900***	(1.050)	17.100***	(1.150)
No. of Groups	812		812	
No. of Observations	14185		14155	
sigma_u	1.75		1.9	
sigma_e	0.395		0.531	

Table 1 presents coefficient estimates of the Gravity Equation using the HT Estimator, with separate columns for HT_Trade and HT_Exports. The variable PCGDPI shows coefficients of 0.800 and 0.839 for HT_Trade and HT_Exports respectively, both statistically significant at the 1% level. Similarly, PCGDPII exhibits coefficients of 0.673 and 0.694 for HT_Trade and

HT_Exports respectively, also statistically significant at the 1% level. PCLANDI and PCLANDII have coefficients of -0.278 and -0.658 for HT_Trade, with only PCLANDI being statistically significant at the 1% level. For HT_Exports, PCLANDI has a coefficient of -0.086, which is not statistically significant. CUI and CUII show coefficients of 0.133 and 0.173 for HT_Trade, and 0.134 and 0.166 for HT_Exports, all statistically significant at the 1% level. Similarly, CU exhibits coefficients of 0.116 and 0.068 for HT_Trade and HT_Exports respectively, both statistically significant at the 1% level. ERV shows coefficients of 0.603 and 1.340 for HT_Trade and HT_Exports respectively, both statistically significant at the 1% level. DISTW exhibits coefficients of -1.780 and -1.890 for HT_Trade and HT_Exports respectively, both statistically significant at the 1% level. CNTGTY shows coefficients of 1.280 and 1.330 for HT_Trade and HT_Exports respectively, both statistically significant at the 1% level. COMLANGO and COMLANGE have coefficients of -1.850 and 1.270 for HT_Trade, and -1.930 and 1.330 for HT_Exports, all statistically significant at the 1% level. LANDLKDI and LANDLKD show coefficients for HT_Exports, with LANDLKD exhibiting a statistically significant coefficient of -0.530. NumCUI, NumCUII, and MinCU exhibit statistically significant coefficients for both HT_Trade and HT_Exports. The constant term (_cons) is statistically significant for both HT_Trade and HT_Exports. The number of groups is 812, and the number of observations is 14185 for HT_Trade and 14155 for HT_Exports. The estimated values of sigma_u and sigma_e are provided as 1.75 and 0.395 for HT_Trade, and 1.9 and 0.531 for HT_Exports.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This study represents an important endeavor to deepen our understanding of the ongoing ramifications of currency integration within the Eurozone. By focusing on recent data and employing robust empirical methods, we aim to offer fresh insights into the trade dynamics among member countries following the adoption of the euro. Through our comprehensive analysis, we endeavor to provide policymakers, researchers, and industry stakeholders with valuable information regarding the economic effects of currency union within the EMU. Our research seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse surrounding the efficacy and implications of monetary integration in Europe, thereby informing future policy decisions and academic inquiry in this field. Employing the gravity model of international trade and utilizing the HT estimator allows us to capture the complex interplay of factors influencing bilateral trade relationships among EMU countries. This methodological approach enables us to account for various economic, geographical, and institutional factors that may affect trade patterns within the Eurozone. By applying the HT estimator, we can address potential issues such as heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation, thereby enhancing the robustness and reliability of our empirical findings. Through this rigorous analytical framework, we endeavor to provide a comprehensive understanding of the impact of currency integration on trade dynamics within the EMU, shedding light on both the opportunities and challenges associated with monetary union in Europe. The findings from the HT estimator underscore the significant and positive impact of euro adoption on bilateral trade and exports among European economies. Specifically, our analysis reveals that when both trading partners utilize the euro as their currency, bilateral trade increases by approximately 12%, while exports experience a notable uptick of around 7%. These results provide compelling evidence of the substantial benefits derived from currency integration within the Eurozone, offering valuable insights for policymakers contemplating membership in the euro area. By elucidating the positive trade effects associated with euro adoption, our research contributes to a deeper understanding of the economic dynamics and potential advantages of monetary union in Europe. These insights can inform strategic decisions and policy initiatives aimed at fostering greater economic integration and cooperation among European nations.

REFERENCES

Anderson, J. E. (1979). A Theoretical Foundation for the Gravity Equation. *American Economic Review*, 69(1), 106–16.

Anderson, J. E. and Wincoop, E. (2003). Gravity with Gravitas: A Solution to the Border Puzzle. *American Economic Review*, 93(1), 170–92.

Baldwin, R. E. Di Nino, V. Fontagné, L. De Santis, R. and Taglioni, D. (2008). Study on the impact of the euro on trade and foreign direct investment. *European Economic and Monetary Union* Working Paper, 321.

Bergstrand, J. H. (1985). The Gravity Equation in International Trade: Some Microeconomic Foundations and Empirical Evidence. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 67(3), 474–81.

Cieślik, A. Michalek, J. J. and Mycielski, J. (2012). Measuring the Trade Effects of the Euro in Central and Eastern Europe." *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*, 21(1), 25–49.

Commission, E. C. (1990). One Market, One Money. An Evaluation of the Potential Benefits and Costs of Forming an Economic and Monetary Union. *European Economy* 44, October 1990.

Congress, U. S. (1993). *Economic Report of the President*. Washington DC: Government Printing Office, January, 1993.

De Nardis, S. De Santis R. and Vicarelli, C. (2008). The Euro's Effects on Trade in a Dynamic Setting. *The European Journal of Comparative Economics*, 5(1), 73–85.

Deardorff, A. (1998). *Determinants of Bilateral Trade: Does Gravity Work in a Neoclassical World*? In The Regionalization of the World Economy, 7–32. University of Chicago.

Glick, R. and Rose, A. K. (2002). Does a Currency Union Affect Trade? The Time-Series Evidence. *European Economic Review* 46 (6): 1125–51.

Hausman, J. A. and Taylor, W. E. (1981). Panel Data and Unobservable Individual Effects. *Econometrica: Journal of the*

Econometric Society, 49(6), 1377–98.
Helpman, E. Melitz, M. and Rubinstein, Y. (2008). Estimating Trade Flows: Trading Partners and Trading Volumes. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 123(2), 441–87.
Micco, A. Stein, E. and Ordoñez, G. (2003). The Currency Union Effect on Trade: Early Evidence from EMU. *Economic Policy*, 18(37), 315–56.
Pöyhönen, P. (1963). A Tentative Model for the Volume of Trade between Countries. *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv 90*, 93–100.
Tinbergen, J. (1962). *Shaping the World Economy; Suggestions for an International Economic Policy*. Twentieth Century Fund, New York.