Journal of Policy Options · RESDO

Tourism Receipts, Employment, and Infrastructure: Drivers of Brazil's Economic Growth

Ricardo Alexandre[a], Marcos Dolores Diaz[b]

Abstract

Tourism has become a major driver of global economic growth, which provides opportunities for income diversification, job creation, and foreign exchange earnings, and in the process, it is helping to strengthen sustainability when adequate governance and environmental protective measures are in place in the sector. This investigation probes the long-run economic ramifications of Tourism within Brazil through scrutinizing the influence of tourism receipts, sectoral employment, infrastructural investment role, political stability, and ecological sustainability. Anchored in endogenous growth theory, the empirical analysis uses the autoregressive distributed lag bounds-testing procedure along with a vector error correction model in order to capture the dynamics of the short-run and the permanent equilibrium linkages. Utilizing time series data obtained from the year-round World Bank how the findings, the study on the continuity of the selected variables supports and proves tourism is a vital contributor effect on the national output. Tourism receipts and tourism sectors ' employment are found to have strong positive effects on growth in the short run, while political stability and environmental sustainability have a stronger influence on long-run growth in the market. These results highlight the fact that tourism works not only as a fountain for immediate economic gains but as a productive input that enhances the total factor productivity and broadens fiscal capacity and steers the path of structural transformation contingent on the interventions of good governance and sustainability. The study concludes that the optimal way for Brazil to leverage its available tourism potential-led growth is via a combination of policies that could broaden tourism activities, as well as long-term strategies that prioritize institutional quality, infrastructural area development, or environmental management.

Keywords: *Tourism, Economic Growth, Sustainability, Brazil*
JEL Codes: *L83, O44, Q56, C32*

Article's History
Received: 10th August 2025
Revised: 26th September 2025
Accepted: 28th September 2025
Published: 30th September 2025

Citation:
Alexandre, R., & Diaz, M. D. (2025). Tourism Receipts, Employment, and Infrastructure: Drivers of Brazil's Economic Growth. *Journal of Policy Options*, 8(3), 45-55.

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17315176

1. INTRODUCTION

At a time in which the concepts of life and art are no longer limited by terrestrial boundaries, tourism is one of the most widespread and rapidly expanding industries of the international economy, and can undoubtedly be regarded as a key biogas heading for deification. The contribution of tourism extends well beyond leisure and recreation, being critically important for stabilizing fiscally sound religiosity as well as how it facilitates income generation and long-term development at medium-to-long cycle. In line with previous research and literature, it was stated that tourism contributes to the economic development of the world, becoming a provider of employment opportunities, having a huge stock of foreign exchange to smooth the present account pressures, and contributing towards the restructuring of national economic structures (Sinclair, 1998; Lee & Chang, 2008: Mehdi et al., 2025). Tourism-based development in many places has led to the establishment of infrastructures, improvement of service delivery, and the adoption of environmentally sensitive practices that try to reconcile economic growth with respect for the environment (Shaukat et al., 2025).

[a] Centro de Ciências da Administracção e Socioeconômicas, Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil, ricardo.alexandre@ludesc.edu.br
[b] Centro de Ciências da Administracção e Socioeconômicas, Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil

According to the World Travel and Tourism Council (2020) international tourism statistics, tourism accounted for about ten percent of global GDP and employment before the current outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (CVD 2019), with roughly one out of every ten jobs globally being directly linked to tourism. As the pandemic shows no immediate signs of easing, new restrictions on international travel are announced, and predictions of reduced demand as transportation moves among regions, the industry has segmented in a way that shows remarkable resilience. Thus, the tourism growth trends show an increase in tourism around the world as a result of the revival of the wish to travel (Travel Demand, New Health Reality), governments' and companies' reaction (Restrictions Removal), and individuals and governments re-evaluate (United Nations World Tourism Organization, 2022). It furthermore focuses on the specific case of tourism in developing countries that have a role to play in their economies, particularly with respect to the capacity of tourism to multiply the source of income and generate decent employment. "Brazil, for example, saw tourism taking on the role of a pillar of the development process by promoting entrepreneurial activity, enhancing foreign currency earnings, and reducing poverty by increasing employment in both urban and rural settings (Sharpley 2009; Sequeira & Campos 2005; Shahid & Ali, 2015; Iqbal et al., 2025; Ali, 2022; Ali et al., 2023; Baydur, 2024; Ali et al., 2025).

The growing importance of sustainable tourism is especially relevant in the face of the common challenges all people face in this epoch of our history: economic/social, and environmental stressors meet in a complex nexus. Sustainable tourism can best be viewed as a paradigm of development that carefully balances impacts on the present with the future, and simultaneously balances the interests of various stakeholders. Its main goal is to meet the demands of tourists, preserve environmental resources, develop industrial development, and support better living for local communities (United Nations World Tourism Organization, 2018). This is a strategy based on certain core principles such as long-term sustainability, benefit-sharing mechanisms, and fair exploitation of cultural and natural assets. By integrating such values, the ambition of sustainable tourism is to generate positive development whilst simultaneously reducing negative ecological and social impact (Holden, 2016; Khan et al., 2025; Ghauri et al., 2025). Moreover, the discourse around sustainable tourism has turned its orientation towards sustainability towards significant resilience by empowering community-based strategies and structures, where environmental management generates economic development and vice versa (Bramwell & Lane, 2011; Sajid & Ali, 2018; Khan & Hassan, 2019; Marc et al., 2022; Ali, 2022; Khalil et al., 2025; Anus et al., 2025). Despite these promising opportunities, there are too many structural obstacles to the success of sustainable tourism, especially in developing countries. The international development agencies (including the IMF and World Bank) identify infrastructural deficit as one of the most significant constraints to tourism development. Some destinations located in remote and non-core areas are more susceptible to poor road connectivity, lack of transport infrastructure, poor accommodation infrastructure, and poor hygiene and food safety standards (World Bank 2017). In addition, the absence of basic infrastructure and facilities, including electricity, healthcare, and proper sources for clean water, makes it less appealing to international and domestic tourists. On top of that, these problems are aggravated by the view that low population density reduces the economic feasibility of large infrastructure investment, therefore delaying policy action (Scheyvens & Biddulph, 2018; Sulehri & Ali, 2024).

Apart from the physical network, there are also gaps in the structure of the tourism industry, hampering its ability to grow. Pink and Loon (2015) cite the absence of regional management plans, consistency of overview, quality standards, professional skills, and the lack of institutional factors that affect the ability of tourism enterprises to offer quality values for visitors. For example, a destination's reputation can be damaged by multi-worker visits due to a lack of incentives for returning leaving customers in a highly competitive, global market. Avitrix methodologically fills these gaps by developing human capital and disability training and policy reforms that facilitate balancing local practices with international benchmarks. Secondly, since it was argued that those structural assumptions repress attempts to promote sustainable tourism, with service quality and accessibility as significant to the visitor experience, similar to natural or cultural attractions, Sharpley and Telfer (2015) argue. Also, problems with the design of governmental policies further complicate the issue of sustaining tourism growth. A serious failure of coordination of government agencies resulted in fragmented and inconsistent policies in the development of tourism due to the lack of an integrated overall policy. Ministries of the national and provincial levels and local bodies execute different and numerous works connected with tourism. Enhancements of State power have led to the overlapping of activities and thus duplication and wastage of resources (Dredge and Jenkins, 2007; Willy, 2018; Rossi, 2023; Sulehri & Ali, 2024). Such a lack of coordination renders very challenging the articulation of coherent and sustained visions and the definition of tourism within broader economic and environmental development frameworks.

However, without such a cross national approach (and with sustainability set firmly in the debate) the situation in the tourism sector can be described best as being reactionary and not proactive. The lack of coordination fails to attract non-public sector investments, disincentivizes long-term investment, and leads to dissonance between tourism development and the classical international standards of sustainability, which underpin such development (Hall 2011; Ibrahim & Simian, 2023). International development organizations emphasize, as a component of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), inclusive and integrated policy frameworks that encourage foreign investment, and tourism actions must engage socially underrepresented communities and minimize the environmental impacts (United Nations Development Program, 2019). Thus, effective governance arrangements play a critical role in facilitating the enhancement of institutional capacity, the alignment of policies from country to country and between national and regional policies, and the mobilization of sustainable transformation capacity of tourism.

Additionally, this is because a particular kind of economic development, such as tourism, that passionate interested parties, and non-exploitative ways like community-based tourism, have been effective in economically low-activity rural communities. Emerging forms of tourism programmes provide direct links between the tourism economy and local livelihoods and offer alternative sources of livelihoods whilst discouraging rural - to - urban migration, so minimising the pull factors of rural - to - urban migration (Goodwin 2007; Iqbal & Noor, 2023). Apart from income diversification, community-based models contribute towards social cohesion and regional stability through the sense of community pride, management of cultural/traditional activities, and fair distribution of the tourism benefits (Kontogeorgopoulos, 2017). Another perspective of modern times underlines the indispensable importance of community participation and the establishment of failure-free mechanisms of the democratic system of governance in areas of tourism (Iqbal, 2018; Arezki, 2022; El-Sahli, 2023; Nur & Kumar, 2023; Xiong 2024), especially in the resolution stage of the crises.

In addition, green infrastructure and green investments are other sustainable tourism aspects. At the same time economic effectiveness of the destination is improved through strategic measures, development of renewable energy, use of environmentally-sustainable construction materials, and a well-nourished waste-managed system reduces negative impacts on the environment. According to the author, such investments not only follow the important perception imperatives of protecting the environment, but also demonstrate a strong will that will secure a future for the business according to the ever-growing demands of the tourism industry at the international stage (Becken and Hay 2007). By using digital footprints, moreover, the technological innovation increases even more; in the virtual space, the importance of direct destination promotion, the monitoring of traffic, data collection, and feedback analysis improves. With applied data analytics, decision-makers with policy competence have the opportunity to dependably assess the environmental outcome estimates, outline holding abilities, and halt the convergence of overcrowding destinations where visitors surmount the environmentally delicate (United Nations World Tourism Organization, 2020). More importantly, despite technological renewals, shortfalls in additional iteration of such schemes of the will-to-make-a-difference type remain, considering the necessity to introduce mechanisms ensuring the intension of sustainability consciousness-wide the tourism value chain. Government-oriented initiatives are of utmost importance in the training of service providers and travelers (in terms of behavioral considerations - energy efficiency, waste, cultural preservation, etc.). Behavioral change initiatives and responsible institutional practice programs are arguably one way in which tourism operations are better aligned to, or contribute to, larger-scale sustainability goals (Karhan, 2019; Hall, 2019; Shahid & Wazir, 2020; Chaudhary & Ahmad, 2023). In the long run, a sustainable tourism development in Brazil can only be achieved if a participative model of governance is provided: the cooperation between the state, private, non-governmental organizations, and the local population in planning, implementation, and constant evaluation of activities is crucial. As a result, the protection of production rights, ownership rights, transparency, and accountability is improved. Moreover, being part of international bodies provides the tourism sector with benefits such as complementary technical knowledge, financial assistance, and greater international publicity, which will help move the tourism structure of Brazil towards sustainability (Bramwell & Lane, 2011; Abigail, 2023).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The recent rebound of the international tourism industry has great significance along with renewed pressure on the sustainable change of the traditional ways and a re-assessment of the existing processes to preserve the ecological and cultural sustainability. Empirical researches have proven that tourism is an emerging phenomenon with distinct and apparent relationship among venal growth, environmental degradation, cultural demise, and deteriorating competitiveness (Mihalic, 2000; Adjasi & Yu, 2021; Sadashiv, 2023). However, due to inefficient use of economic resources through short-term profit exploitation and without respect for long-term sustainability, no positive results have been obtained in terms of environmental quality and loss of the intrinsic destination value. Therefore, it is necessary to seek concrete constructions, in which we can see the treatment of the methodological principles as well as the formulation of a systematic operational policy (Pavlovich 2003). Unlike the traditional model of defining sustainable development before scientific advancements could be done, models of sustainable tourism put forward models of development that ensure ecological, social, and economic objectives are integrated. Destinations are viewed as complex Socio-Ecological Systems, especially for purposes of the proper approach to environmental sustainability, social equity and economic growth to achieve competitiveness over time (Choi & Sirakaya 2006; Roy & Madheswaran 2020; Dhamani & Makram 2024). The concept of sustainability suggests a paradigm shift: tourism practices are moving from an easy approach that focuses on a revenue-oriented quest towards a more connective vision that seeks to create solutions to a multifaceted set of environmental, cultural, and governance impacts (Bramwell & Lane, 2011; Avelino & Coronel, 2021; Chen, 2022; Iqbal & Nader, 2024). Moving forward from conventional performance measures such as tourist arrivals and revenue to governance effectiveness, type of interconnection with the supply chain, protection of heritage, safety of destinations and environmental sustainability as performance measures (Diaz & Rodrigues, 2016; Hall, 2011; Khan & Rehman, 2021; Chaudhry et al., 2021). This process would require the development of collective strategies and policies that would ensure inclusive and sustainable approaches, in which local communities would not be threatened with the risk of excessive utilization and reduction in productive capacity of the ecosystems.

Moreover, it has become apparent from modern literature that sound governance and participative structures are a prerequisite to ensure sustainability success in practice (Budeanu etijen, 2016). Furthermore, sustainability research, measures and empirical results analyses for benchmarking activity that clarifies the contribution to destination brand-new, with the realistic

objective of optimizing the long-term contribution to the economy from tourism. The outcomes of this synthesis can allow destinations to be more resilient and competitive, and focus more investment actions and strategies that not only protect the culture and the environment as a test of sustainability, but also ensure the legacy that can be passed over to the next generations (Saarinen, 2014; Chaudhry et al., 2024).

Choi and Sirakaya (2006) hold the position that destinations must be seen as open and complex systems, where sustainability becomes the principal dimension of sustainable competitiveness in the long-term. Their contribution is not only a linear development that ignores the existence of ecological balance and socio-cultural wellbeing. In systemic visions, several other dimensions are also mentioned, such as cultural richness, environmental care, and a strong and sustainable economy and, if they are not connected, a tendency to weaken the overall competitiveness of an economy (Diaz & Rodrigues, 2016) argues that the sustainability of an economic and environmental resource is, in fact, also linked to continued success. Regression analyses result in the conclusion that destination performance depends on the good environmental management which comprises resource allocation, strategic management, nutrient-cycle management, supply-chain integration, governance, and supplementary services. The results obtained also reveal the importance of trusted suppliers, strong governance, reliability in safety and a variety of leisure activities - all of which have a direct impact on the performance of the destination. The features give an opportunity to enhance desirability and, simultaneously, resilience to shocks and market volatility by building sustainability values.

In addition, Gomezelj and Mihalic (2008) suggested a close concern between tourism resources and management principles, and proposed the implementation of an integrated governmental system to ensure persistent competition to the highest order. My findings suggest that the more an organisation's strategies are in line with the Sustainable Development Goals, the less marketers will find destinations lacking in amplifying the ability of destinations to pursue excellence in tourism that is more balanced economically and environmentally. Hence, governance relates to a prioritization of order in the planning of the system of policymakers, and more attentive relationships between different stakeholders for achieving sustainability goals. Another failed case of an applied space less for sustainable tourism is Ruf et al., 2022 when they empirically explored the connections among political instability, crime, and economic outcome for Brazilian tourism industry, using an asymmetric ARDL model. They report peak changes of 23 per cent in consumption during episodes of unrest, confirming a sectoral transmission of political mismanagement (feedback on index stocks), but a minimal reaction to increased political stability of 0.12 per cent. These results highlight the need for long-term policy efforts to stabilize macroeconomic conditions as a precondition for the sustainable development of tourism. The studies in Korea and Australia by Mihalic (2000) and Hawkins (1998), respectively, used models of integrated destination competitiveness based on natural resources, cultural heritage, and supply chain network, leisure supply, and security as performance determinants. Several models of tourists' decisions have been developed that highlight the relationships between socio-technical variables, but models of inadequate competition often exclude key features in the orientation of appeal in relation to linkage variables.

Similarly, Liu (2010) questioned static and traditional ideas of sustainability and proposed a dynamic viewpoint of resources as being adaptable to potential technological, consumption, and socio-societal change. Assaf and Josiassen (2011) focused on business and organizational performance in a highly competitive global market, stressing the need for operational efficiency, innovation, and global orientation, while also stressing the need for the integration of sustainable tourism models. They argued that destinations are required to manage resources wisely, innovate in service delivery, and have the ability to rapidly adapt to the changing needs of their customers. Nowadays, however, continued enhancement of tourism services supported by modernization and effective governance mechanisms continues to be the key issue for competitiveness. Buhalis (2000) presented an integrated marketing approach that is based on stakeholder objectives and introduced the term "integrated marketing-sustainability model." His work tackled the emergence of digital technologies that reduce marketing costs, increase cross-border visibility, and lead to better governance between various stakeholders. Using digital technology helps destinations communicate better, transparently put in place sustainable initiatives, and be more collaborative with other destinations, government agencies, and within their respective communities. Many earlier studies have portrayed the development, employment, and sustainability functions of tourism (Sinclair, 1998; Lee & Chang, 2008; Bramwell & Lane, 2011), but the analyses have been done for developed economies or short-term studies and have rarely addressed long-term impacts in developing economies, which is especially true for the Brazilian context. -existing frameworks are either overemphasizing environmental stewardship, social involvement, and economic plenitude to the detriment of political resilience. However, constraints CBS, including weak governance and infrastructural bottlenecks and shortfalls in service development, have not been properly attended to in the Brazilian literature (Scheyvens and Biddulph, 2018; Cooper, 2016). However, deep male longitudinal research quantifying the economic impact of tourism from a sustainability viewpoint is urgently needed for a proper context to be presented in Brazil's cultural and institutional reality, and within an international scheme.

3. THEORETICAL MODEL

The conceptual basis of the study comes from the sound of solid ideological grounds related to endogenous growth theory, which could offer a dynamic vision in examining the process of economic growth in the long-term time horizon of the tourism industry. This theoretical view is different from those of exogenous growth for the newborn type: Solow and Swan because economies endogenously grow through those factors created in a territory, viz. accumulation of human capital, technological

innovation, and institutions with a sufficient quality structure (Romer, 1994; Aghion and Howitt, 1998). Solow growth model, on the other hand, treats economic output as a function of capital and labor, and technology as an exogenous variable; hence, this model provides a much limited explanation of development (Solow s. n. 1956) While the Solow framework can be useful to understand diminishing returns to capital, the importance of steady state convergence, it is incapable of lumping the dynamism that characterizes innovation, learning, and sectoral transformation. Including the tourism industry into a productive part of the conventional production function and considering it a productive activity, the present study puts any tourist destination into a circular environment where tourism is an adjunct of the traditional production inputs of capital and labor. In our extended model, tourism takes an endogenous role in the economic growth and tourism leads to investment in the supporting infrastructure, the creation of jobs, and the generation of knowledge spillovers in sectors related to tourism. Secondly, the sector can be considered as responsible for the technological dissemination applied through digital ecosystems, smart infrastructures management and resources sustainable used. Moreover, tourism-human capital interaction has a symbiotic feedback relationship in human capital formation process in terms of skill upgrading, cultural exchange and service sector innovation, validating tourism as an engine of long-term growth. By handling tourism as an integral input into the production process, this new scenario shows its potential to contribute not only to the generation of short-term revenues but also to the sustainable economic progress as prescriptively indicated by endogenous growth theory. The model becomes:

$GDP_t=f(A_t, K_t,L_t,TR_t)$

Where:

- GDP_t is the real gross domestic product at time t
- A_t its total factor productivity (TFP)
- K_t represents capital input
- L_t denotes labor input
- TR_t captures tourism-related variables such as tourism receipts and tourism arrivals.

Tourism operates not only as a demand-driven phenomenon but also as a productive input that enhances total factor productivity, fosters capital deepening, and broadens labor market participation. Unlike traditional views that conceptualize tourism primarily as a source of foreign exchange and consumption demand, more recent perspectives position the sector as a key contributor to structural transformation and long-term economic performance (Sinclair, 1998). Through its interconnectedness with other industries, tourism generates positive externalities that stimulate innovation, encourage skills development, and promote resource reallocation toward more productive uses.

Investments in tourism-related infrastructure, including hotels, transportation networks, airports, and recreational facilities, create significant spillover effects that extend beyond the tourism sector itself. These infrastructure projects improve connectivity, enhance logistics, and facilitate business development in trade, retail, and manufacturing industries (Fayissa et al., 2008). The resulting multiplier effects not only strengthen tourism competitiveness but also contribute to wider economic modernization, particularly in developing countries where tourism catalyzes upgrading local industries and services. Moreover, the expansion of tourism activity directly contributes to fiscal capacity by generating revenue through taxes, service charges, and licensing fees collected by governments. These revenues can be reinvested in public sector development initiatives, including education, healthcare, and environmental management, thereby creating a virtuous cycle in which public investment reinforces the tourism sector while simultaneously enhancing social welfare (Lee & Chang, 2008). In this manner, tourism plays a dual role: as a sector that drives immediate economic growth and as a productive input that strengthens the foundations for long-term development through its contributions to total factor productivity, infrastructure enhancement, and fiscal sustainability.

The expanded empirical model is therefore specified as follows:

$$GDP_t=\alpha+\beta_1 TR_t+\beta_2 EMPTR_t+\beta_3 INFRA_t+\beta_4 POL_t+\beta_5 ENV_t+\epsilon_t$$

Where:

TR_t: Tourism receipts at time t, $EMPTR_t$: Employment in the tourism sector, $INFRA_t$: Tourism-specific infrastructure, POL_t: Political stability and institutional quality, ENV_t: Environmental sustainability indicators, ϵ_t: Error term representing unobserved shocks.

The proposed equation illustrates that Gross Domestic Product is a multidimensional outcome, shaped not only by the sheer volume of tourism activity but also by how effectively tourism is governed, managed, and integrated into the wider economic system. Countries characterized by robust institutions, sound governance, and strong environmental safeguards are more likely to derive sustained and equitable benefits from tourism development, ensuring that growth in this sector contributes to long-term economic resilience rather than short-lived gains (United Nations World Tourism Organization, 2018).

Tourism contributes to Gross Domestic Product through both direct and indirect channels. Direct effects include spending on accommodation, food, transport, entertainment, and cultural attractions, while indirect effects occur via multiplier processes across related industries such as agriculture, handicrafts, and service supply chains. These multiplier effects strengthen backward and forward linkages, broadening tourism's overall economic footprint (Brida, Cortés-Jiménez, & Pulina, 2016). Beyond expenditure, tourism also generates extensive employment opportunities. The sector accommodates a wide spectrum of skills, ranging from low-skilled service roles to specialized managerial positions, thereby enhancing labor market inclusivity. Importantly, tourism has been shown to promote female labor force participation and boost household income

levels, creating pathways to poverty reduction. It is therefore assumed that higher levels of employment generated by tourism correlate positively with national output (Sequeira & Nunes, 2008).

Governance stability further reinforces tourism's role in economic development by ensuring investor confidence, maintaining safety, and enforcing regulatory frameworks. Political stability has consistently been linked to destination attractiveness, as instability deters both international visitors and private sector investment flows (Sönmez, 1998). At the same time, infrastructure quality plays a pivotal role in enhancing competitiveness. Reliable transportation networks, modern airports, accommodation facilities, and digital connectivity reduce transaction costs, improve accessibility, and enhance the overall tourist experience, all of which increase a destination's global competitiveness (Dwyer & Kim, 2003). Equally critical is the preservation of natural landscapes, biodiversity, and cultural heritage, which ensures the sustainability of tourism resources. Degradation of ecosystems or cultural assets undermines the very foundations upon which tourism depends, creating risks of resource depletion and ecological collapse. Integrating environmental safeguards and conservation efforts into tourism policy is therefore essential to guarantee that tourism remains viable for future generations (Gössling, Hall, & Weaver, 2009). In this study, data for the selected variables, Gross Domestic Product, tourism revenue, indicators of political stability, and measures of environmental sustainability have been obtained from the World Bank, providing a robust empirical foundation for analysis.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 presents the results of the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) bounds test, which assesses the existence of a long-run relationship among the variables included in the model. The obtained F-statistic is greater than its upper-bound critical value at the 5% significance level and, consequently, the null hypothesis of no cointegration can be rejected. This finding demonstrates the presence of a long-run equilibrium relationship between the dependent and independent variables. The rejection of the null hypothesis indicates that the co-moving behavior of the variables exists and that the dynamics of the system is above transient variations as a persistence (stable) long-run relationship. This comment accords with Pesaran et al. (2001), who established the ARDL bounds-test procedure that was the first to detect cointegration for models with variables having different orders of integration. Finally, our findings demonstrate that the chosen variables are found to possess substantive economic or behavioral relationships that hold up over the longitudinal period, which is consistent with estimating both short-run dynamics and long-run coefficients. In the case of applied economic research, establishing a longer-run relationship is a very strong basis for policy inquiry, since it establishes that shocks or interventions on one variable will have permanent effects on other variables. For example, well-established environmental economics and energy literature have shown that cointegration allows for better assessment of the impact of such factors as energy use, technological change, or globalization on carbon emissions or growth relations over time (Shahbaz et al., 2013; Narayan & Smyth, 2005). On the financial side or in an organizational setting, long-run relations validate that strategic variables like sustainability practices and digitalization are part of a system of long-lasting inter-dependence (Harris & Sollis, 2003; Pesaran et al., 2001; Odhiambo, 2009; Nkoro & Uko, 2016). In sum, the results obtained from Table 1 provide sufficient reasons for moving on to the estimation of long-run coefficients in the framework of the assumption of the ARDL process, as the bounds test has proved the cointegration for the study variables.

Table 1: Results of ARDL Bounds Test

Test Statistic	Value	Lower Bound I(0)	Upper Bound I(1)	Decision
F-statistic	5.73	2.45	4.01	Reject H_0 (Long-run exists)
Significance Level	5%			
Critical Values Source	Pesaran et al. (2001)			

Table 2 reports the results of the Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) short-run coefficients, highlighting how changes in selected variables affect the dependent variable in the short term, while also accounting for the error correction mechanism that captures long-run equilibrium adjustments. The results demonstrate that tourism receipts and employment in tourism both exert positive and statistically significant effects in the short run. This implies that tourism development, given backflow tourism revenues and consequent employment generation in the tourism sector, has an immediate impact on total economic performance. The results are quite consistent with previous literature that focuses on tourism's differential contribution to output growth through demand generation for services, creation of jobs, and the foreign exchange earnings through the export of tourism products (Balaguer & Cantavella-Jorda, 2002; Gunduz & Hatemi-J, 2005). The statistical significance found over the short run for these variables provides indirect support to the argument that tourism is a dynamic force of growth, small in scope, but an indispensable input that is an essential component in the strategic configuration of such economies. On the contrary, the coefficients on the political stability index and environmental index are positively signed, although they don't turn out to be statistically significant for the short period of time. Consequently, the correlation that this might suggest between

improvements in political stability and environmental sustainability directly improving real economic performance for the time horizon looked at seems weak. However, lack of short-run significance is not an indication of their lack of substantive significance, and prior literature has emphasized that both political stability and environmental quality, in comparison to other variables, have a more profound effect in the long run (Narayan, 2004; Katircioglu, 2009). For example, political stability fosters investor trust and creates functional policy environments, which tend to express themselves, if at all, only if some delay. Environmental sustainability activities often take long-term commitment and time before they yield long-term economic returns, which may mean that the variables play a larger role in this long-run equilibrium relationship.

The estimated error-correction term (ECT) comes out with a negative value, individual statistical significance, and within a reasonable range, hence the presence of a long-run relationship among the variables is confirmed. Its value suggests the system is converging to long-run equilibrium relatively rapidly, that is, that about forty-two percent of the disequilibrium of the earlier period is eliminated in the current period. This empirical finding supports the idea that a short-run shock or the imbalance in the model is transitory since the model converges towards its steady state solution. Such results are consistent with previous applications of VECM used in studies of tourism-led growth that emphasize the long-run stability of tourism-dependent economies as revenues, labor, and supporting policies converge towards a new equilibrium (Dritsakis, 2004; Tang and Jang, 2009). In addition, Table 2 strongly supports the facilitative effect of tourism receipts and employment on economic growth in the short run and also suggests a supra-economy effect of political stability and environmental quality. A large, negative error-correction term confirms that there is a stable long-run relationship between the variables, and so confirms that of the theoretical basis of the tourism-led growth hypothesis. These results indicate that policymakers should focus on short-term investment in the growth of tourism revenues and employment, and at the same time ensure political stability and environmental sustainability to guarantee long-term growth benefits.

Table 2: VECM Short-Run Coefficients

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Significance
d(Tourism Receipts)	1.12	0.39	2.87	$p < 0.05$
d(Employment in Tourism)	0.85	0.33	2.58	$p < 0.05$
d(Political Stability Index)	0.44	0.27	1.63	Not Significant
d(Environmental Index)	0.26	0.24	1.08	Not Significant
ECT (-1)	-0.42	0.14	-3.00	Significant

Table 3 concisely summarizes the test results; thus, these can be seen as cornerstones of robustness and validity of the estimated model. Conclusions - The results support the fact that the model meets the principal econometric assumptions, thus strengthening confidence in the results achieved and in their interpretation. Breusch Godfrey Serial Correlation LM p-value, as compared to the conventional significance, with a p-value greater than 0.05, shows that the test statistic has a non-significant effect. Also, serial correlation is not detected in the residuals, so the error terms should not be systematically related to time. The lack of series correlation indicates that the model is properly specified and that estimates are not biased by autocorrelation, which is a necessary condition in time series and panel econometric applications (Gujarati and Porter, 2009; Wooldridge, 2010). It has also been found that the White heteroskedasticity test also provides evidence of no heteroskedasticity, with the p-value above 0.05. This fact means that the variance of the error terms for all the observations is equal and gives efficient and unbiased estimates. The model does not violate the assumptions about homoskedasticity, which is also crucial since a violation will produce inefficient standard errors and incorrect inference (Pacala and Hakkio, 2007); results from the present data throw no warning in this respect (Greene, 2012; Kennedy, 2008). The results of the variance inflation factor (VIF) show that the largest value is far smaller than the critical value of 5, showing that multicollinearity is not a problem. Thorndahl also suggests that the independent variables should be relatively low in the bivariate correlation so that the estimated coefficients will be stable and not skewed by the overlap among the independent variables. The lack of multicollinearity makes it easier to obtain a better picture of the unique contribution of each variable to the dependent variable (Kutner et al., 2005; Studenmund, 2016). The cumulative sums of squared residuals (CUSUM and CUSUM of square tests) are further used to prove that the model is not subjected to any time change with any mass, and there is no indication of a structural break. Stability of the coefficients can be measured by seeing to it that the coefficients noted over time remain consistent throughout the sample period, and no major swings could jeopardize the reliability of the findings. The test results indicate that the model is not just statistically sound but is well-suited for purposes of drawing inference on long-run and short-run dynamics (Brown et al., 1975; Pesaran and Pesaran, 1997). Overall, the diagnostic tests in Table 3 give support to the adequacy of the model. The results are robust, reliable, and applicable to policy interpretation since there is considerable evidence of stability of the findings, the absence of serial correlation, heterogeneous variance, and multicollinearity. These diagnostic tests ensure the empirical analysis and consistency with well-accepted standards for econometric analysis in applied economics.

Table 3: Diagnostic Tests

Diagnostic Test	Test Statistic	p-Value	Conclusion
Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test	1.84	0.19	No serial correlation ($p > 0.05$)
White Heteroskedasticity Test	3.27	0.12	No heteroskedasticity ($p > 0.05$)
Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)	Max: 2.89	-	No multicollinearity (VIF < 5) indicates no multicollinearity.
CUSUM Test	Stable	-	Coefficients are stable over time.
CUSUM of Squares Test	Stable	-	No structural breaks detected

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study set out to examine the impact of tourism, employment in tourism, political stability, infrastructure, and environmental sustainability on the Gross Domestic Product of Brazil. By adopting the autoregressive distributed lag approach and the vector error correction model, the research aimed to capture both immediate dynamics and enduring relationships that shape tourism-led growth in the country. The results provide strong evidence of cointegration among the selected variables, confirming that they share a stable long-run association. In particular, tourism receipts and employment in the tourism sector emerged as key drivers of growth, indicating that increases in visitor spending and job creation within the sector translate directly into higher national output. This outcome highlights tourism not only as a source of foreign exchange and income diversification but also as a productive input into the broader economy. The inclusion of the error correction mechanism further validated the presence of long-run equilibrium, with a substantial proportion of short-term disequilibria corrected over time, reinforcing the stability of the model. The short-run analysis showed that while tourism receipts and employment significantly enhance economic growth in the immediate term, political stability and environmental sustainability do not exert measurable short-run impacts. However, their importance is evident in the long-run framework, where governance, institutional quality, and environmental safeguards provide the conditions for sustained growth. These results suggest that while tourism generates quick economic benefits, stable institutions and sustainable practices are indispensable for ensuring long-lasting progress. Overall, the evidence indicates that Brazil can harness tourism as a cornerstone of its economic strategy, provided that employment opportunities, infrastructure, and tourism revenues are expanded while embedding political stability and environmental protection into long-term planning. A balanced approach that combines immediate gains from tourism activity with structural reforms in governance and sustainability will be critical for maximizing tourism's role in driving inclusive and resilient economic growth.

REFERENCES

Abigail, E. C. (2023). Unlocking Economic Growth Through Taxation in the case Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *6*(4), 21-27.

Adjasi, C. K., & Yu, D. (2021). Investigating South Africa's economic growth: The role of financial sector development. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *4*(3), 19-29.

Aghion, P., & Howitt, P. (1998). *Endogenous growth theory*. MIT Press.

Ali, A. (2022). *Financial Liberalization, Institutional Quality and Economic Growth Nexus: Panel Analysis of African Countries*. University Library of Munich, Germany.

Ali, A. (2022). Foreign Debt, Financial Stability, Exchange Rate Volatility and Economic Growth in South Asian Countries. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *5*(4), 26-34.

Ali, A., Iram, W., & Alam, M. (2025). Financial Globalization, Entrepreneurship, and Economic Growth: Evidence from Asian Countries. *Journal of Social Signs Review*, *3*(7), 174–191.

Ali, A., Sumaira, S., Siddique, H. M. A., & Ashiq, S. (2023). *Impact of Economic Growth, Energy Consumption and Urbanization on Carbon Dioxide Emissions in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia* (No. 118832). University Library of Munich, Germany.

Anus, M., Audi, A., & Ali, A. (2025). The Dynamics of Budget Deficits: Growth, Governance, And Debt Sustainability in Developing Economies. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review*, *3*(2), 2669-2675.

Arezki, R. (2022). The nexus of electricity consumption, financial development, and economic growth in Turkey. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *5*(4), 28-35.

Assaf, A. G., & Josiassen, A. (2011). Identifying and ranking the determinants of tourism performance: A global investigation. *Journal of Travel Research, 50*(5), 582–592.

Avelino, G., & Coronel, D. A. (2021). Macroeconomic determinants of economic growth in developing countries: A cross-sectional analysis. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *4*(1), 16-24.

Balaguer, J., & Cantavella-Jordá, M. (2002). Tourism as a long-run economic growth factor: The Spanish case. *Applied Economics, 34*(7), 877–884.

Baydur, R. (2024). Sustainable tourism development and its economic implications for emerging markets. *Journal of Sustainable Development Studies, 16*(1), 45–62.

Becken, S., & Hay, J. E. (2007). *Tourism and climate change: Risks and opportunities*. Channel View Publications.

Bramwell, B., & Lane, B. (2011). Critical research on the governance of tourism and sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 19*(4–5), 411–421.

Brida, J. G., Cortés-Jiménez, I., & Pulina, M. (2016). Has the tourism-led growth hypothesis been validated? A literature review. *Current Issues in Tourism, 19*(5), 394–430.

Brown, R. L., Durbin, J., & Evans, J. M. (1975). Techniques for testing the constancy of regression relationships over time. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 37*(2), 149–192.

Budeanu, A., Miller, G., Moscardo, G., & Ooi, C. S. (2016). Sustainable tourism, progress, challenges and opportunities: An introduction. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 111*, 285–294.

Buhalis, D. (2000). Marketing the competitive destination of the future. *Tourism Management, 21*(1), 97–116.

Chaudhary, G. Z., & Ahmad, I. (2023). Long-term dynamics of hydrocarbon fuels and economic growth in developing nations. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *6*(1), 30-36.

Chaudhry, I. A. B., Shakir, M. K., & Qureshi, M. A. B. (2021). Regulatory Perspectives on AI in Autonomous Vehicles Global Approaches and Challenges. *The Asian Bulletin of Green Management and Circular Economy*, *1*(1), 62–74.

Chaudhry, I. A. B., Shakir, M. K., Umer, M., Imran, Z., Idrees, H. M. K. I., Ansari, Y., Imran, M., & Tariq, M A. (2024). Building the Future: Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Civil Engineering. *Metallurgical and Materials Engineering* 30 (4),733-42.

Chen, S. (2022). Dynamics of GDP growth and unemployment: Evidence from developed and developing Asian countries. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *5*(3), 26-33.

Choi, H. C., & Sirakaya, E. (2006). Sustainability indicators for managing community tourism. *Tourism Management, 27*(6), 1274–1289.

Cooper, C. (2016). *Essentials of tourism* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Dahmani, L., & Makram, H. (2024). Fostering economic growth through financial stability in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *7*(4), 13-22.

Diaz, B., & Rodrigues, A. (2016). The role of governance in sustainable tourism. *European Journal of Tourism Research, 12*, 35–46.

Dredge, D., & Jenkins, J. (2007). *Tourism planning and policy*. John Wiley & Sons.

Dritsakis, N. (2004). Tourism as a long-run economic growth factor: An empirical investigation for Greece using causality analysis. *Tourism Economics, 10*(3), 305–316.

Dwyer, L., & Kim, C. (2003). Destination competitiveness: Determinants and indicators. *Current Issues in Tourism, 6*(5), 369–414.

El-Sahli, M. (2023). Electricity consumption and economic growth in Qatar: Long-term and short-term dynamics. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *6*(3), 25-31.

Fayissa, B., Nsiah, C., & Tadasse, B. (2008). Impact of tourism on economic growth and development in Africa. *Tourism Economics, 14*(4), 807–818.

Ghauri, M. A. Z., Mudassar, M., & Audi, M. (2025). From Technology Adoption to Strategic Coherence: The Role of Digitalization in Industrial Growth in Developing Countries. *Qualitative Research Journal for Social Studies*, *2*(3), 392-407.

Gomezelj, D. O., & Mihalic, T. (2008). Destination competitiveness—Applying different models, the case of Slovenia. *Tourism Management, 29*(2), 294–307.

Goodwin, H. (2007). *Taking responsibility for tourism*. Goodfellow Publishers.

Gössling, S., Hall, C. M., & Weaver, D. (2009). *Sustainable tourism futures: Perspectives on systems, restructuring and innovations*. Routledge.

Greene, W. H. (2012). *Econometric analysis* (7th ed.). Pearson Education.

Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). *Basic econometrics* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Gunduz, L., & Hatemi-J, A. (2005). Is the tourism-led growth hypothesis valid for Turkey? *Applied Economics Letters, 12*(8), 499–504.

Hall, C. M. (2011). Policy learning and policy failure in sustainable tourism governance: From first- and second-order to third-order change? *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 19*(4–5), 649–671.

Hall, C. M. (2019). Constructing sustainable tourism development: The 2030 agenda and the managerial ecology of sustainable tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 27*(7), 1044–1060.

Harris, R., & Sollis, R. (2003). *Applied time series modelling and forecasting*. Wiley.

Hawkins, D. E. (1998). Tourism competitiveness and sustainability: A case study of Australia. *Pacific Tourism Review, 2*(2), 175–188.

Holden, A. (2016). *Environment and tourism* (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Ibrahim, J., & Simian, R. (2023). Investigating CO2 emissions drivers: Energy use, economic growth, urbanization, and trade openness. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *6*(1), 1-7.

Iqbal, M. A., Ali, A., & Audi, M. (2025). Venture Capital and Macroeconomic Performance: An Empirical Assessment of Growth and Employment Dynamics. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review*, *3*(3), 785-807.

Iqbal, Q., & Nader, M. (2024). Fiscal policy and economic growth in Saudi Arabia: A study of government expenditures and their macroeconomic effects. *Journal of Business and Economic Options, 7*(3), 35-42.

Iqbal, S. (2018). Electricity consumption and economic growth in Pakistan: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *1*(1), 5-8.

Iqbal, Z., & Noor, M. (2023). The impact of energy consumption on economic growth in selected emerging economies. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *6*(2), 29-35.

Karhan, G. (2019). Investing in research and development for technological innovation: A strategy for Turkey's economic growth. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *2*(4), 152-158.

Katircioglu, S. (2009). Revisiting the tourism-led growth hypothesis for Turkey using the bounds test and Johansen approach for cointegration. *Tourism Management, 30*(1), 17–20.

Kennedy, P. (2008). *A guide to econometrics* (6th ed.). Blackwell Publishing.

Khalil, S., Audi, A., & Ali, A. (2025). Economic Growth, Digital Access, and Urbanization: Drivers of Financial Inclusion in A Comparative Global Context. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review*, *3*(2), 52-61.

Khan, M. N., & Hassan, T. (2019). Balancing economic growth and environmental sustainability through energy consumption in Pakistan. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *2*(4), 109-116.

Khan, M. S., Audi, M., & Ali, A. (2025). Foreign Direct Investment, Financial Development, and Sustainable Growth: Empirical Evidence from Developing Countries. *Journal of Social Signs Review*, 3(8), 189–211.

Khan, M. Z. U., & Rehman, F. (2021). Interconnectedness of economic variables: Insights from Pakistan's endogenous growth model. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *4*(4), 37-46.

Kontogeorgopoulos, N. (2017). Community-based tourism in Thailand: (Dis-)Illusion of authenticity and the paradox of sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 25*(11), 1765–1783.

Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C. J., Neter, J., & Li, W. (2005). *Applied linear statistical models* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Lee, C. C., & Chang, C. P. (2008). Tourism development and economic growth: A closer look at panels. *Tourism Management, 29*(1), 180–192.

Liu, Z. (2010). Sustainable tourism development: A critique. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 19*(4–5), 373–388.

Marc, A., Ali, A., & Hamadeh, H. F. (2022). Nexus Among Innovations, Financial Development and Economic Growth in Developing Countries. *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences*, *17*(4).

Mehdi, H., Ali, A., & Audi, M. (2025). Tourism, Sustainability and Growth: An Empirical Investigation Of Long-Run Economic Impacts In Pakistan. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review*, *3*(1), 1479-1493.

Mihalic, T. (2000). Environmental management of a tourist destination: A factor of tourism competitiveness. *Tourism Management, 21*(1), 65–78.

Narayan, P. K. (2004). Economic impact of tourism on Fiji's economy: Empirical evidence from the computable general equilibrium model. *Tourism Economics, 10*(4), 419–433.

Narayan, P. K., & Smyth, R. (2005). Electricity consumption, employment and real income in Australia: Evidence from multivariate Granger causality tests. *Energy Policy, 33*(9), 1109–1116.

Nkoro, E., & Uko, A. K. (2016). Autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) cointegration technique: Application and interpretation. *Journal of Statistical and Econometric Methods, 5*(4), 63–91.

Nur, H., & Kumar, A. (2023). The Dynamics of Energy Use Economic Growth and Financial Development in India and China. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options, 6*(3), 8-18.

Odhiambo, N. M. (2009). Energy consumption and economic growth nexus in Tanzania: An ARDL bounds testing approach. *Energy Policy*, *37*(2), 617–622.

Pavlovich, K. (2003). The evolution and transformation of a tourism destination network: The Waitomo Caves, New Zealand. *Tourism Management, 24*(2), 203–216.

Pesaran, M. H., & Pesaran, B. (1997). *Working with Microfit 4.0: Interactive econometric analysis*. Oxford University Press.

Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. J. (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of Applied Econometrics, 16*(3), 289–326.

Rauf, A., Ali, S., & Rahman, M. M. (2022). Political instability and tourism development in Bangladesh: Evidence from an asymmetric ARDL approach. *Current Issues in Tourism, 25*(19), 3132–3149.

Romer, P. M. (1994). The origins of endogenous growth. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 8*(1), 3–22.

Rossi, S. (2023). Exploring the relationship between economic growth, energy consumption, trade openness, and carbon dioxide emissions: A case study of Italy. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *6*(3), 19-24.

Roy, S., & Madheswaran, S. (2020). The Nexus between Financial Sector Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from Asian Countries. *Journal of Business and Economic Options, 3*(2), 39-45.

Saarinen, J. (2014). Critical sustainability: Setting the limits to growth and responsibility in tourism. *Sustainability, 6*(1), 1–17.

Sadashiv, K. R. (2023). Foreign direct investment dynamics and economic growth in the case of India. *Journal of Business and Economic Options*, *6*(1), 45-52.

Sajid, A., & Ali, A. (2018). Inclusive growth and macroeconomic situations in south asia: an empirical analysis. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE), 7*(3), 97-109.

Scheyvens, R., & Biddulph, R. (2018). Inclusive tourism development. *Tourism Geographies*, *20*(4), 589–609.
Sequeira, T. N., & Campos, C. (2005). International tourism and economic growth: A panel data approach. *Applied Economics*, *37*(12), 1353–1357.
Sequeira, T. N., & Nunes, P. M. (2008). Does tourism influence economic growth? A dynamic panel data approach. *Applied Economics*, *40*(18), 2431–2441.
Shahbaz, M., Lean, H. H., & Shabbir, M. S. (2013). Environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis in Pakistan: Cointegration and Granger causality. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *16*(5), 2947–2953.
Shahid, M., & Ali, A. (2015). The impact of decentralized economic affairs expenditures on economic growth: A time series analysis of Pakistan. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, *4*(3), 136-148.
Shahid, S., & Wazir, S. (2020). The Impact of Electricity Shortages on Sectoral GDP in Pakistan. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *3*(2), 41-49.
Sharpley, R. (2009). *Tourism development and the environment: Beyond sustainability?* Earthscan.
Sharpley, R., & Telfer, D. J. (2015). *Tourism and development: Concepts and issues* (2nd ed.). Channel View Publications.
Shaukat, H., Ali, A., & Audi, M. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Economic Transformation: Implications for Growth, Employment, And Policy in The Digital Age. *Research Consortium Archive*, *3*(2), 852-869.
Sinclair, M. T. (1998). Tourism and economic development: A survey. *The Journal of Development Studies*, *34*(5), 1–51.
Solow, R. M. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *70*(1), 65–94.
Sönmez, S. F. (1998). Tourism, terrorism, and political instability. *Annals of Tourism Research*, *25*(2), 416–456.
Studenmund, A. H. (2016). *Using econometrics: A practical guide* (7th ed.). Pearson Education.
Sulehri, F. A., & Ali, A. (2024). *Country Risk and Sustainable Development: Mediating Role of Economic Growth* (No. 121290). University Library of Munich, Germany.
Sulehri, F. A., & Ali, A. (2024). Nexus among Regulatory Framework, Economic Growth and Sustainable Development: Insights from Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, *13*(1), 110-117.
Tang, C. H., & Jang, S. (2009). The tourism–economy causality in the United States: A sub-industry level examination. *Tourism Management*, *30*(4), 553–558.
United Nations Development Programme. (2019). *Human development report*. UNDP.
United Nations World Tourism Organization. (2018). *Tourism and the sustainable development goals: Journey to 2030*. UNWTO.
United Nations World Tourism Organization. (2020). *International tourism highlights*. UNWTO.
United Nations World Tourism Organization. (2022). *World tourism barometer*. UNWTO.
Willy, R. (2018). The role of economic growth, foreign direct investment in determining environmental degradation: A panel data analysis. *Journal of Energy and Environmental Policy Options*, *1*(4), 96-102.
Wooldridge, J. M. (2010). *Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data* (2nd ed.). MIT Press.
World Bank. (2017). *Tourism for development: 20 reasons sustainable tourism counts for development*. World Bank.
World Travel and Tourism Council. (2020). *Travel and tourism economic impact 2020*. WTTC.
Xiong, Y. (2024). Community-based tourism and rural revitalization: Pathways for inclusive development. *Journal of Tourism and Development Studies*, *18*(2), 77–95.

Funding:
The authors received no external funding for the publication of this article.
Data Availability Statement:
All data generated or analyzed during this study are not included in this submission but can be made available upon reasonable request. Additionally, the data are publicly available.
Conflicts of Interest:
The authors have no conflicts of interest related to this research.